

Due Wed. Mar. 22 in class.

1. Read lecture notes Chapter 3.6.
2. Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  be i.i.d. random variables with exponential distribution:  $\mathbb{P}(X_1 > x) = e^{-x}, x > 0$ . We prove

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{X_n}{\log n} = 1 \text{ a.s.}$$

For this purpose, we proceed in two steps.

(a) Show

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\frac{X_n}{\log n} > 1 + \epsilon \text{ i.o.}\right) = 0, \text{ for all } \epsilon > 0.$$

(b) Show

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\frac{X_n}{\log n} \geq 1 - \epsilon \text{ i.o.}\right) = 1, \text{ for all } \epsilon > 0.$$

3. Suppose a light bulb in the math department lounge burns for an amount of time  $X$ , and then remains burned out for an amount of time  $Y$  until being replaced. Let  $X_i$  and  $Y_i$  denote the corresponding times for the  $i$ -th light bulb. Assume that  $\mathbb{E}X_1 < \infty$  and  $\mathbb{E}Y_1 < \infty$ . All these random variables are assumed to be independent. Let  $R_t$  denote the amount of time during the period  $[0, t]$  such that the light bulb is working. Show that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{R_t}{t} = \frac{\mathbb{E}X_1}{\mathbb{E}X_1 + \mathbb{E}Y_1} \text{ a.s.}$$

- (a) Consider  $Z_n := X_n + Y_n, n \in \mathbb{N}, S_n := Z_1 + \dots + Z_n, S_0 := 0$ , and

$$N_t := \sup\{n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} : S_n \leq t\}, t > 0.$$

Consider  $T_n := X_1 + \dots + X_n, T_0 := 0$ . Then one can write

$$R_t = T_{N_t} + \zeta_t \tag{1}$$

for some non-negative random variable  $\zeta_t$ . Express  $\zeta_t$  in terms of random variables  $X, Y, S, T$  and  $N$ .

- (b) From (1) it follows that  $T_{N_t} \leq R_t < T_{N_t+1}$  almost surely. Prove the desired result. Hint: write

$$\frac{T_{N_t}}{t} = \frac{T_{N_t}}{N_t} \cdot \frac{N_t}{t}.$$

4. Mr. Smith decided to investigate a total wealth of  $W_0 = w > 0$  (in dollars) from next year. By the end of  $n$ -th year, his investment becomes  $W_n$ , and he reinvests all  $W_n$  at the beginning of the next year, using the same strategy. His strategy at the beginning of each year is the following: (a) a total  $p \cdot 100\%$  of his wealth is spent to buy bonds, which yields  $\$a$  for each  $\$1$  invested by the end of the year;

(b) the rest  $(1 - p) \cdot 100\%$  is spent to buy stocks, which yields  $V_n$  for each \$1 invested by the end of the year. In short, we have

$$W_n := (ap + (1 - p)V_n)W_{n-1}, n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Assume  $a > 0$ ,  $p \in [0, 1]$  and  $\{V_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  are i.i.d. non-negative random variables.

- (a) Show that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-1} \log W_n = c$  almost surely for some constant  $c$ . Provide an expression of  $c$ .
- (b) Suppose  $\mathbb{P}(V_1 = 1) = \mathbb{P}(V_1 = 4) = 1/2$ . The  $c$  depends only on  $a$  and  $p$ . Determine the optimal investment strategy  $p$  as a function of  $a$ , so that  $c$  is maximized.

5. (\*\*\*) [Numerical justification of limit theorems]

Justify, by numerical simulations, limit theorems in the following examples that we have seen in class: the coupons collector problem (Example 3.3.2), box occupation problem (Example 3.3.4), and St. Petersburg's parabox (Example 3.4.3).

Pick your most favorite example from above, and write an R code (or by your favorite programming language) to run numerical simulations that could provide justifications to theoretical results. Is your result convincing (and as expected)? Provide a brief summary of your experiments, using either plots or tables, and in particular explain what is your *design of the simulation* and *how the outcomes support the limit theorem*.

Hint: you may want to start by thinking of *how to justify/demonstrate the Strong Law of Large Numbers by simulations*. The simulations will not be as convincing as proofs, but they can be very helpful when explaining limit theorems to people not having enough background in (proofs of) probability theory.

**This problem is for extra credits only. Deadline before the exam week.**