





# measurement of $|V_{cb}|$ via semileptonic B decay

![](_page_1_Figure_1.jpeg)

•  $|V_{cb}| \sim$  "side" of the Unitarity Triangle; tests Standard Model by alternative route to ( $\rho, \eta$ )

![](_page_1_Figure_3.jpeg)

- measurement precision limited by understanding of hadronic components
- inclusive semileptonic B decay:
  - Theoretically attractive: minimal hadronics, small uncertainty
  - Experimentally: high rate -> amenable to detailed dissection

APS-DPF 2006 + JPS 2006

### Theory of inclusive semileptonic B decay

- Well understood at tree level
- Treatment in 2 regimes:
   "short distance": perturbative approach
   "long distance" nonperturbative

![](_page_2_Picture_3.jpeg)

- Degrees of freedom: hadronic recoil (X) 4-vector/polarization -> M<sub>x</sub>, momentum
- well-separated "small" corrections, described by Heavy Quark Expansion (HQE):

$$\begin{split} \Gamma_{sl}(B \to X_c \ell \nu) &= \frac{G_F^2 m_b^5}{192 \pi^3} |V_{cb}|^2 |(1 + A_{ew}) A_{nonpert} A_{pert}| \\ & \inf 1/m_b & \inf \alpha_s \\ & \inf 0(1/m_b^3) & \text{to } O(\alpha_s^2) \end{split}$$

## Nonperturbative parameters of HQE

- $A_{nonpert}$  expressed in terms of OPE, to  $1/m_b^3$
- Coefficients at each order depend on definition of  $m_b$ : several schemes; we use
  - Kinetic running mass (P. Gambino, N. Uraltsev, Eur. Phys. J C34, 181 (2004)) parameters: (7 dof)  $m_b, m_c, \mu_{\pi}^2, \mu_G^2, \tilde{\rho}_D^3, \rho_{LS}^3, |V_{cb}|$
  - 15 mass

(C. Bauer, Z. Ligeti, M. Luke, A. Manohar, M. Trott, PRD70, 094017) parameters: (7 dof)  $\Lambda(m_b), \lambda_1, \rho_1, \mathcal{T}_{1-3}, |V_{cb}|$ 

![](_page_4_Figure_0.jpeg)

# Strategy

APS-DPF 2006 + JPS 2006

K. Kinoshita

![](_page_5_Figure_1.jpeg)

# Event/flavor/momentum tag: full B reconstruction

![](_page_6_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_6_Picture_2.jpeg)

- Fully reconstruct one B ("tag")
  - High purity of correct tags
  - identify flavor of tag -> opp to other B
  - Reconstruct momentum -> know CMS of recoil
  - Low efficiency, but plenty of data at Belle!

- "signal" B = remainder of event
  - $E_1$  in rest frame of signal B
  - E<sub>1</sub> moments: electrons,
     p\*<sup>B</sup>>0.4 GeV/c
  - M<sub>X</sub>: electrons+muons, all remaining particles (X), missing 4-momentum consistent with neutrino (m<sub>miss</sub><sup>2</sup><3 GeV<sup>2</sup>/c<sup>4</sup>)

#### Observed electron energy spectrum

![](_page_7_Figure_1.jpeg)

- B<sup>+</sup>, B<sup>0</sup> analyzed separately, tag in modes  $D^{(*)}{\pi/\rho/a_1}$ 
  - N<sub>tag</sub> B<sup>+</sup>: 63,185±621, B<sup>0</sup>: 39,504±392
- recover photons from FSR/bremsstrahlung (E<1 GeV, angle w. e < 0.05)</li>
   B±: require e charge consistent with flavor

![](_page_7_Figure_5.jpeg)

# Back to the source

![](_page_8_Picture_1.jpeg)

- Derive "root" spectrum, to compare with theory:
  - Subtract backgrounds including b->u
  - Unfold detector resolution/effects
  - Correct for final state radiation (PHOTOS)
- Combine B<sup>±</sup>, B<sup>0</sup>

![](_page_8_Figure_7.jpeg)

#### Moments of electron spectrum

![](_page_9_Picture_1.jpeg)

 Moments (0-4) for many cuts:
 E<sub>1</sub>>0.4, 0.6, 0.8, 1.0, 1.2, 1.4, 1.6, 1.8, 2.0 GeV

![](_page_9_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Figure_4.jpeg)

# Hadronic mass spectrum $M_X$

![](_page_10_Picture_1.jpeg)

distribution for several different E<sub>1</sub> cuts entries / 0.333 GeV<sup>2</sup>/c<sup>4</sup> entries / 0.333 GeV<sup>2</sup>/c  $E_1^* > 1.1 \text{ GeV}$  $E_1^* > 0.7 \text{ GeV}$  data  $B \to X_{\rm o} l \nu$  $B \rightarrow X \hat{J} v$ fake/secondary combinatorial  $E_1^* > 1.5 \text{ GeV}$  $E_1^* > 1.9 \text{ GeV}$  $M_X^2 (GeV^2/c^4)$  $M_X^2$  (GeV<sup>2</sup>/c<sup>4</sup>)

•

# Hadronic mass spectrum

![](_page_11_Picture_1.jpeg)

 $4.403 \pm 0.036 \pm 0.052$  $1.494 \pm 0.173 \pm 0.327$  $20.88 \pm 0.48 \pm 0.77$  $0.515 \pm 0.061 \pm 0.064$  $4.144 \pm 0.028 \pm 0.022$  $17.69 \pm 0.28 \pm 0.23$ 

# Global fits

![](_page_12_Picture_1.jpeg)

- Use lepton,  $M_X^2$  moments, + moments of photon from B->X<sub>s</sub>  $\gamma$
- $\chi^2$  minimization, including correlations (remove points: highly correlated or w no theoretical prediction as yet)

	1S scheme	kinetic scheme
	$n=0E_{\rm min}=0.6,1.0,1.4$	$n=0E_{ m min}=0.4,0.8$
Lepton moments $\langle E_{\ell}^n \rangle_{E_{\min}}$	$n = 1 E_{\min} = 0.6,  0.8,  1.0,  1.2,  1.4$	$n=1\ E_{\min}=0.4,\ 0.8,\ 1.0,\ 1.2\ 1.4$
	$n=2~E_{ m min}=0.6,1.0,1.4$	$n=2~E_{\min}=0.4,~0.8,~1.0,~1.2~1.4$
	$n=3\;E_{\min}=0.8,1.2$	$n=3\;E_{\min}=0.4,0.8,1.0,1.2\;1.4$
Hadron moments $\langle M_X^{2n}\rangle_{E_{\min}}$	$n=1\ E_{\rm min}=0.7,1.1,1.3,1.5$	$n=1\ E_{\rm min}=0.7,\ 0.9,\ 1.1,\ 1.3$
	$n=2E_{ m min}=0.7,0.9,1.3$	$n=2E_{ m min}=0.7,0.9,1.1,1.3$
Photon moments $\langle E_{\gamma}^n\rangle_{E_{\min}}$	$n=1\;E_{\min}=1.8,2.0$	$n=1\ E_{\rm min}=1.8,1.9,2.0$
	$n=2\;E_{ m min}=1.8,2.0$	$n=2\ E_{\rm min}=1.8,1.9,2.0$

# Global fit - kinetic scheme

![](_page_13_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Figure_2.jpeg)

 $\chi^2$  /dof =17.8/24

#### Global fit - kinetic scheme

![](_page_14_Figure_1.jpeg)

15

Correlations illustrate power of combining different moments

![](_page_15_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### Fit to all published values (Buchmuller, Flacher PRD73:073008 (2006))

parameter	Belle (preliminary)	Buchmüller & Flächer
$m_b \; ({\rm GeV}/c^2)$	$4.564 \pm 0.076$	$4.590 \pm 0.025 \pm 0.030$
$m_c \; ({\rm GeV}/c^2)$	$1.105\pm0.116$	$1.142 \pm 0.037 \pm 0.045$
$\mu_{\pi}^2 ~({ m GeV^2})$	$0.557 \pm 0.091$	$0.401 \pm 0.019 \pm 0.035$
$\mu_G^2 \; (\text{GeV}^2)$	$0.358 \pm 0.060$	$0.297 \pm 0.024 \pm 0.046$
$\tilde{ ho}_D^{3}$ (GeV <sup>3</sup> )	$0.162\pm0.053$	$0.174 \pm 0.009 \pm 0.022$
$ ho_{LS}^{\overline{2}}$ (GeV <sup>3</sup> )	$-0.174 \pm 0.098$	$-0.183 \pm 0.054 \pm 0.071$
$\mathcal{B}(B \to X_c \ell \nu) \ (\%)$	$10.59\pm0.16$	$10.71 \pm 0.10 \pm 0.08$
$ V_{cb}  \times 10^3$	$41.93 \pm 0.65 \pm 0.48 \pm 0.63$	$41.96 \pm 0.23 \pm 0.35 \pm 0.59$
	$lpha_s$ $\Gamma_{SL}$	, HQE $\Gamma_{SL}$

#### Global fit - 15 scheme

Belle

1

Belle preliminary

1.2

1

preliminary

![](_page_16_Picture_1.jpeg)

(Bauer et al., PRD70, 094017(2004)):  $|V_{cb}| = (41.5 \pm 0.4_{fit} \pm 0.1_{\tau}) \times 10^{-3}$ 

2006 APS-DPF 2006 + JPS 500 0.1k 0.16 0.14 0.14 0.14 0.14 0.14 0.14 0.14 0.14 0.14 0.14 0.16 0.14 0.16 0.14 0.16 0.08 0

**201** 0.12 → 0.11 → 0.11 0.11 0.11

0.09

0.08

0.07

0.06

0.05

0.04

0.03

0.06

0.04

0.02

0.4

BR

0.4 0.6 0.8

0.6 0.8

#### Summary

![](_page_17_Picture_1.jpeg)

- $|V_{cb}|$ : currently, best precision via inclusive semileptonic B decays
  - Full reconstruction tag allows study w very low bg, in cms of B
  - HQE parametrizes nonperturbative effects to  $O(1/m_b^3)$  via shape of  $E_1$ ,  $M_X$  distributions
  - Mature experiment; well understood bg subtraction, unfolding
  - Shapes represented by moments w varying E<sub>1</sub> cuts
  - global fit of parametrized HQE to measured moments
    - good consistency between 2 schemes
    - Constrain HQE parameters
    - -> lower theory uncertainty on  $|V_{ub}|$  as well as  $|V_{cb}|$
  - Belle: |V<sub>cb</sub>|=(41.93±0.65±0.48±0.63)×10<sup>-3</sup> (kinetic scheme)
    - <2% uncertainty, agrees w fit to published results</p>
- References
  - Electron moments hep-ex/0610012
  - M<sub>X</sub><sup>2</sup> moments Belle-CONF-0668 (available soon at <u>http://belle.kek.jp/conferences/ICHEP2006/</u>)
  - B-> sy moments hep-ex/0508005
  - Global fits Belle-CONF-0669