

POL 7080 PROSEMINAR IN INTERNATIONAL RELATIONS THEORY¹

*Fall Semester 2014
Department of Political Science
University of Cincinnati*

Meeting time: Fridays 2:30-5:20pm
Location: Rieveschl 616D (except 8/29)
Dr. Ivan Dinev Ivanov
Phone: 513-556-3318
EMAIL: Ivan.Ivanov@uc.edu*

Office Hours:
Mon and Wed 1:00-3:30 pm
or by appointment
Location: 1121 Crosley Tower

SEMINAR DESCRIPTION AND OBJECTIVES:

POL 7080 is an advanced proseminar in IR theory aimed at developing skills and foundation necessary to examine critically and theoretically international relations. It is a reading intensive seminar, which surveys core theoretical pieces of international relations literature with particular emphasis on traditional theories such as realism, liberalism, and novel approaches such as constructivism. This seminar has two objectives: First, to develop the skills and foundation necessary to examine critically and theoretically various aspects of international relations from an academic perspective; Second, to prepare graduate students for the comprehensive exam in international relations theory. Master's students should recognize that this course is an excellent preparation for the literature review section of their theses or exams.

The syllabus contains two main sections:

A) Section One provides the weekly reading assignments. Students are required to complete these readings **prior to each seminar** and prepare notes with points for discussion. The seminar sessions in 7080 will be used to discuss and critique substantive arguments based on the selected readings from the required texts. The students are expected to focus on specific readings rather than conduct a broad survey of literature. In this manner, they develop critical analytical skills that they can then use later as they survey the broader literature outlined in Section Two.

B) Section Two represents the literature expected to be commonly understood for the purposes of comprehensive exams and/or literature reviews in IR theory. This extensive reading list is provided by the department and the students will be expected to know this literature when they sit for the comprehensive exams. You need to set aside time weekly to begin moving through this literature. This additional reading should inform the critical analyses of the assigned work and should find its way into our seminar discussions. It is highly recommended that students create peer review groups to share the workload of preparing in depth reviews. We will discuss the strategy in the seminar.

POL 7080 as well as other IR graduate courses (6000- and 7000-level courses) share similar learning objectives:

¹ This syllabus is subject to change. I reserve the right to add supplementary readings if necessary.

1. Students should familiarize with the IR literature – concepts, authors, theories, debates.
2. Students should be able to compare and critically analyze and evaluate theories/approaches.
3. Students should develop skills for teaching, critical thinking and moderation in the field (i.e. be able to serve as a successful instructor, discussant or moderator).
4. Students should advance their own research agenda: develop a research design which you can turn into a dissertation proposal or a research article.
5. Students should professionalize within the discipline, including the sources and venues of IR literature (e.g. academic journals). Learn the path to successful publications; get to know the IR/CP field.

REQUIREMENTS AND GRADING:

<i>Seminar Requirements:</i>	<u>% of final grade</u>	<u>Due Date</u>
Three Critical Analysis Papers	30%	9/19; 10/17; 11/17
Book Review	15%	12/5
Final Paper	35%	12/14 @ 11:59 pm
Participation in the peer review process	5%	throughout the quarter
Seminar input and discussion	15%	throughout the quarter

Students should prepare a critical analysis outline for each week’s readings (one per assigned reading, 1 page single-spaced and a total of 3-4 pages a week). Each outline should contain 5 components listed below. Once we finish each of the main approaches in the course (realism, neo-liberalism and constructivism), students will need to submit an essay that includes: (1) a brief introduction; (2) outlines with critical analysis; (3) a brief conclusion. Every book, article or edited volume’s chapter should be analyzed separately within a single-page outline (more than 1 page is allowed for a book-length manuscripts). We will discuss how to prepare these analyses during our first meeting; each critical analysis should follow a similar template that includes:

1. Author/ Title
2. Main Assumptions, Definitions and Concepts
3. Relevant Theoretical Framework
4. Central Argument/Conclusion
5. Critical Analysis (strengths and weaknesses of the argument)

Seminar input and discussion: students are required to participate actively in seminar discussions. Additionally students will need to serve once as seminar leaders (2 students per topic). Seminar leaders should take 15-20 min to introduce the topic, highlight strengths and weaknesses of the arguments across assigned readings and pose central questions for discussion. Visual materials (e.g. PowerPoint and/ or handouts are welcome but these should be very short and concise). Leaders’ time management is essential for the success of seminar discussions.

Book review: all students enrolled in 7080 will be required to review a recently published (2-3 years) scholarly book on a topic related to their own research interests and the seminar’s content. Doctoral students are also required to contact an academic journal

in their area of interest and arrange for the reviewed book to be published in this journal. The process of writing a book review and submitting it for publications takes 2-3 months. I would encourage you to select a book and/ or journal and to contact the journal's editor within the first 2-3 weeks. Once they receive the book they have several weeks to complete the review and submit it to the journal. Once completed, a copy of the book review should be posted on Blackboard; students should also prepare a brief (3-5 min) oral report/ presentation in class. If you have problems with academic journals or selecting, please let me know so I can discuss this issue with you.

Final exam/ assignment: there are two options: (1) write a paper that reviews critically and analyses literature on a topic of their interest related to IR theory (basically a lit review paper); (2) write a research paper on an IR theory topic of their interest related to MA thesis/ paper. As the centerpiece of this course, each student will write a 15-20 page original research paper as the culmination of a semester-long individual research project. In order to facilitate completion of the paper in a timely fashion, students will complete a series of small assignments outlined in a separate "research project milestones" document posted to Bb and discussed in class. Students are advised to meet individually with the instructor after week 7 and are required to prepare a 10-15 min presentation to the class (weeks 12-15) as a part of panel. The presentations on the panel will be followed by questions and recommendations by the assigned peers. The instructor will later provide feedback. While you are encouraged to incorporate into the final paper previous works that you have done for other classes (such as research design, graduate thesis coursework, honors/ research course, capstone, project, etc), the paper submitted for this class should be unique and cannot be used in its entirety for the purpose of completing requirements for other courses. Feel free to build the book review assignment into your final paper.

Peer review process: to facilitate better feedback, each paper will be assigned two reviewers among seminar participants. They will review the project proposal/ prospectus (3-5 pages double-spaced which should be sent to discussants in advance). Upon the completion of the panel presentations, the reviewers will ask questions and offer feedback and recommendations how to improve the quality of the paper. Further details will be provided in class.

MA professional paper or thesis: Master Students (MA and 4+1 programs) can use the 7080 final paper as a lit review and/ or foundation for their final project (MA paper or thesis). However, they should be aware of several pitfalls and plan accordingly: (1) the expectations for the MA paper/ thesis are much more rigorous than the 7080 final paper—they include original research and (possibly) primary data; (2) the structure of the MA paper/ thesis usually follows the format of academic articles published in the leading journals in the field. For details please consult with the Graduate Handbook or grad director. Therefore, students should plan ahead the topic of the MA paper/ thesis and discuss it faculty advisor(s) who could serve as readers. MA theses/ papers can take 3-6 months to write and 1-2 additional months to go through several rounds of revisions before they are being accepted by the committee. Faculty members have the right to decline a request to serve as a reader. In these cases, students should find another faculty member (could be from another department as long as they are approved by the grad

director). Students should plan ahead and submit their work for review at least two months before the expected graduation date. The fact that an MA student has applied for graduation does not constitute responsibility or commitment by faculty readers to accept their work. The department maintains high academic standards and will not accept sub-par work.

READINGS (PART 1):

The following books are required for purchase:

- 1) Kenneth Waltz, *Theories of International Politics* (McGraw Hill, 1979)
- 2) John Mearsheimer, *The Tragedy of Great Power Politics*, (WW Norton, 2001)
- 3) Alexander Wendt, *Social Theory of International Politics* (Cambridge University Press, 1999)

Selected chapter from the following books have been made available via Blackboard. The books are available on 2 hr hold in the Langsam Library:

WEEKLY SEMINAR SCHEDULE:

Week	Topics for discussion
Week 1 8/29	<u>Introduction to the field and debates</u> Steve Walt, “One World, Many Theories,” <i>Foreign Policy</i> 110 (Spring 1998), 29-46. Kenneth Waltz, “Evaluating Theories,” <i>The American Political Science Review</i> 91 no. 4, (December, 1997), 913-917 Daniel Malinak, Amy Oakes, Susan Peterson and Michael Tierney, “International Relations in the U.S. Academy” <i>International Studies Quarterly</i> 55 (2011), 437-64.
Week 2 9/5	<u>Varieties of realism</u> Morgenthau, <i>Politics Among Nations</i> , Chapters 1-4, 3-44 (Blackboard) Waltz, <i>Theory of International Politics</i> , Chapters 1, 3, and 6 (skim thru the rest of the book)
Week 3 9/12	<u>Offensive Realism</u> Mearsheimer, <i>The Tragedy</i> , the whole book
Week 4 9/19	Critical Analysis Paper 1 due <u>Neo-liberalism and its critique</u> Baldwin, <i>Neorealism and Neoliberalism</i> , Ch. 1, 1-28 (Blackboard). Keohane, <i>After Hegemony</i> , Chapters 1, 4 and 6 (Blackboard). Ikenberry, <i>After Victory</i> , Chapters 1, and 6 (Blackboard).
Week 5 9/26	<u>Anarchy, Hierarchy and International Cooperation</u> Baldwin, ch. 6, 143-170 (Milner) David Lake, <i>Hierarchy in International Relations</i> , pp. 1-62 (Blackboard) Baldwin, Ch. 2, 29-60 (Blackboard). Final Papers: first cut discussion
Week 6	<u>Issues of Neoliberalism and Neorealism</u>

10/3	Gilpin, <i>War and Change</i> , Chapters 1, 2 and epilogue (Blackboard) Baldwin, ch. 8, 209-233 (Powell) absolute vs. relative gains Baldwin, ch. 12, 301-338 (Grieco): the limits of neoliberalism
Week 7	NB: Class on 10/10 cancelled due to Fall Reading Day
Week 8 10/17	Critical Analysis Paper 2 due <u>Constructivism</u> Wendt, <u>Four Sociologies in Int'l Politics and Part 1</u> , 1-190
Week 9 10/24	<u>Constructivism (part 2) and Activism</u> Book reviews: presentations Wendt, <u>Part 2</u> , 193-419 Keck and Sikkink, <i>Activists beyond Borders</i> , pp. 1-38 (Blackboard)
Week 10 10/31	<u>Power and National Security</u> Katzenstein (ed), <i>The Culture of National Security</i> , Ch. 1, 1-32; Ch. 2, 33-75; Ch. 5, 153-185 (Blackboard) Joseph Nye, <i>Soft Power</i> , 1-32 (Blackboard)
Week 11 11/7	Critical Analysis Paper 3 due <u>Internal Variables and IR Theory</u> Snyder, <i>Myths of Empire</i> , Ch. 1 and 2, 1-65 (Blackboard) Skocpol, <i>States and Social Revolutions</i> , 3-43 (Blackboard) Reiter & Stam, <i>Democracies at War</i> , 1-57 (Blackboard)
Week 12 11/14	Presentations: panel 1
Week 13 11/21	Presentations: panel 2
Week 14	NO classes on 11/28: Thanksgiving break
Week 15 12/5	Presentations: panel 3 Concluding remarks

Final papers due during 12/14 @11:59 pm. Please, contact the instructor if unable to complete the assignment on time.

READINGS (PART 2): SURVEY OF IR THEORY

The following readings are required for those who are going to sit for comprehensive exams:

I. Analytical Distinction

Waltz, Kenneth N. 1954. *Man, the State and War: A Theoretical Analysis*. New York: Columbia University Press. (main chapters on First, Second, Third Image)

Singer, J. David. 1981. "The Levels-of-Analysis Problem in International Relations." In Klaus Knorr and Sidney Verba (eds) *The International System*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press. (p. 77-92)

Wolfers, Arnold. 1976. "The Actors in International Politics." *Discord and Collaboration*. Baltimore, MD: Johns Hopkins University Press. (p. 13-31)

Jervis, Robert. 1976. *Perception and Misperception in International Politics*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.

II. Classical Realism

Bull, Hedley, "Hobbes and the International Anarchy," *Social Research* 48, no.4 (Winter 1981)

Carr, E. H. 1946. *The Twenty Years' Crisis: 1919-1939*. New York: Harper Torchbooks.

Gulick, Edward V. 1955. *Europe's Classical Balance of Power*. New York: WW Norton. Chapters 1-3 (pp. 3-91).

Hoffman, Stanley, "Rousseau on war and peace," *American Political Science Review* 57, no. 2 (June 1963)

Thompson, Kenneth W. and Hans J. Morgenthau. 1985. *Politics Among Nations: The Struggle for Power and Peace*. Brief 6th Edition. New York: Knopf.

Thucydides. 1951. *The Peloponnesian War*. Crawley Translation. New York: The Modern Library.(other editions are fine substitutes; read up through Melian Dialogue)

Machiavelli, Niccolo. 1950. *The Prince and Discourses*. New York: The Modern Library.

Hobbes, Thomas. 1991. *Leviathan*. New York: Cambridge University Press.

Baldwin, David. 1979. "Power Analysis and World Politics," *World Politics* 31 (January) pp.161-194.

III. Structural Realism

Waltz, Kenneth N. 1979. *Theory of International Politics*. New York: Random House.

Gilpin, Robert. 1981. *War and Change in World Politics*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Jervis, Robert. 1978. "Cooperation Under the Security Dilemma," *World Politics*, 167-214.

- Vasquez, Waltz, Christensen, Snyder, Elman, Elman, Schweller, and Walt debate on the Realist Research Program. 1997. *American Political Science Review* 91/4 (December) 899-934.
- Keohane, Robert O. (ed). 1986. *Neorealism and Its Critics*. New York: Columbia University Press.
- Ruggie, John Gerard. 1983. "Continuity and Transformation in World Polity: Toward ad Neorealist Synthesis." *World Politics* 35, no. 2 (January), 261-285.
- Schroeder, Paul. 1994. "Historical Reality versus Neo-Realist Theory." *International Security*, 19/1 (Summer), 108-48.
- Harknett, Richard J. and Hasan B. Yalcin, "The Struggle for Autonomy: A Realist Structural Theory of International Relations," *International Studies Review*, 14, no. 4, (December 2012), 499–521

IV. Anarchy and Polarity

- Grieco, Joseph, "Anarchy and the Limits of Cooperation" *International Organization* 42, no. 3 (Summer 1988), 485-507.
- Milner, Hellen, "The Assumption of Anarchy in International Relations Theory: A Critique." *Review of International Studies* 17 (January 1991), 67-85. Reprinted in David A. Baldwin (ed.) *Neorealism and Neoliberalism: The Contemporary Debate*. New York: Columbia University Press, 1993.
- Wendt, Alexander. 1992. "Anarchy is What States Make of It: The Social Construction of Power Politics," *International Organization* 46, no. 2 (Spring), 391-425.
- Mercer, Jonathan. 1995. "Anarchy and Identity." *International Organization* 49, no. 2 (Spring), 229-252
- Deutsch, Karl W., "Multipolar Systems and International Stability" *World Politics* 16, no.3 (April 1964): 390-406.
- Waltz, K. "The Stability of a Bipolar World" *Daedalus* 93 (Summer 1964), 881-909.
- Snyder, J. and Christensen, Thomas, "Predicting Alliance patterns in Multipolarity" *International Organization*, Vol.44, No.2 (Spring 1990), 137-168.
- Rosecrance, Richard, "Bipolarity, Multipolarity and the Future," *Journal of Conflict Resolution* 10, no.3 (Sept.1966), 314-327.
- Hopf, Ted. 1991. "Polarity, the Offense-Defense Balance, and War." *American Political Science* 85, no. 2 (June 1991), 475-493.
- Deutch, Karl and J. David Singer. 1964. "Multipolar Power Systems and International Stability." *World Politics* 16 (April), 390-406.
- Cederman, Lars-Erik. 1994. "Emergent Polarity: Analyzing State-Formation and Power Politics. *International Studies Quarterly*, 38, 4 (December), 501-33.
- Lake, David, 2009. *Hierarchy in International Relations* Cornell University Press.

V. Alliance Patterns and Balance of Power

- David, Steven, 1991. *Choosing Sides*. Baltimore, The Johns Hopkins University Press.

- Walt, Stephen. 1987. *The Origins of Alliances*. Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press.
- Weisman, Patricia, 2004. *Dangerous Alliances: Proponents of Peace, Weapons of War*. Stanford University Press.
- Harknett, R and J. VanDenBerg, "Alignment Theory and Interrelated Threats," *Security Studies* (Spring 1997).
- Christensen, Thomas J. and Jack Snyder. 1990. "Chain Gangs and Passed Bucks: Predicting Alliance Patterns in Multipolarity," *International Organization* 44, 261-295.
- Schweller, Randall. 1994. "Bandwagoning for Profit: Bringing the Revisionist State Back In," *International Security* 19, no. 1 (Summer): 72-107.
- American Historical Review. 1992 (97, 3) special issue on Balance of Power
- Leeds, Brett Ashley and Sezi Anac, "Alliance Institutionalization and Alliance Performance," *International Interactions* 31 (2005) 183-202.
- Bearce, David, Kristen Flanagan, and Katharine Floros, "Alliances, Internal Information, and Military Conflict among Member-States," *International Organization* 60, no. 3 (Summer 2006), 595-625.
- Resnick, Evan N., "Strange Bedfellows: U.S Bargaining Behavior with Allies of Convenience," *International Security* 35, no. 3 (Winter 2010/11), 144-84.

VI. Question of Stability

- Mueller, John, "The Essential Irrelevance of Nuclear Weapons," *International Security* 13, no. 2 (Fall 1988), 55-80.
- Kaysen, "Is War Obsolete?" *International Security* 14, no. 4 (Spring 1990): 42-64.
- Snyder, Jack, "Averting Anarchy in the New Europe," *International Security* 14, no. 4 (Spring 1990): 5-41.
- Mearsheimer, "Back to the Future" *International Security* 15, no. 1 (Summer 1990), 5-57.
- Van Evera, Stephen, "Primed for Peace" *International Security* 15, no.3 (Winter 1990/1991),7-58 or
- Van Evera, Stephen, 1999. *Causes of War*. Cornell University Press, 1999.

VII. Liberalism and Institutions

- Krasner, Stephen D. (ed). 1983. *International Regimes*. Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press. Special edition on "Regimes" *International Organization* 36 (Spring 1982).
- Oye, Kenneth (ed). 1986. *Cooperation Under Anarchy*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Axelrod, Robert. 1984. *The Evolution of Cooperation*. New York: Basic Books.
- Stephen Haggard and Beth Simmons, "Theories of International Regimes," *International Organization* 41 (Summer 1987), 491-518.
- Keohane, Robert. O. 1984. *After Hegemony: Cooperation and Discord in the World Political Economy*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Mearsheimer, John. 1994/5. "The False Promise of International Institutions." *International Security* 19, no. 3 (Winter): 5-49.

- Keohane, Robert O. and Lisa L. Martin. 1995. "The Promise of Institutional Theory," *International Security* 20, no. 1(Summer): 39-51.
- Martin, Lisa. 1992. "Interests, Power, and Multilateralism," *International Organization* 46 (Autumn): 765-792.
- Gourevitch, Peter. 1978. "The Second Image Reversed," *International Organization*, 32, 4 pp. 881-912.

More on Relative and Absolute Gains

- Powell, Robert. 1994. "Anarchy in International Relations Theory: The Neorealist-Neoliberal Debate," *International Organization* 48 (Spring): 313-344.
- Rousseau, David L. 2001. "Motivations for Choice: The Salience of Relative Gains in International Relations," *Journal of Conflict Resolution* 46, no. 3 (June), 394-426.
- Morrow, James D. 1997. "When Do "Relative Gains" Impede Trade?" *Journal of Conflict Resolution* 41/1 (February) 12-27.
- Matthews, John C. 1996. "Current gains and Future Outcomes: When Cumulative Relative Gains Matter," *International Security* 21, 1 (Summer 1996), 112-146.
- Liberman, Peter. 1996. "Trading With the Enemy: Security and Relative Economic Gains," *International Security* 21, 1 (Summer), 147-165.

VIII. The Internal Structure of States: Democracy and War

- Doyle, Michael, "Liberalism and World Politics," *American Political Science Review* 80, no.4 (December 1986), 1151-1169.
- Spiro, David E. 1994. "The Insignificance of the Democratic Peace," *International Security* 19, 2 (Fall), 50-86.
- Owen, John M. 1994. "How Liberalism Produces Democratic Peace." *International Security* 19, 2 (Fall), 87-125.
- Cohen, Raymond. 1995. "Pacific Unions: A Reappraisal of the Theory that Democracies Do Not Go to War With Each Other." *Review of International Studies* 20(July), 207-23.
- Maoz, Zeev and Bruce M. Russett. "Normative and Structural Causes of Democratic Peace, 1946-1986." *American Political Science Review* 87 (September), 624-38.
- Layne, Christopher. 1994. "Kant or Cant: The Myth of the Democratic Peace." *International Security* 19, 2 (Fall), 5-49.
- Oren, Ido. 1995. "The Subjectivity of the Democratic Peace: Changing U.S. Perceptions of Imperial Germany," *International Security* 20, 2 (Fall), 147-184.
- Rousseau, David L., Christopher Gelpi, Dan Reiter, and Paul Huth. 1996. "Assessing the Dyadic Nature of the Democratic Peace, 1918-1988." *American Political Science Review* 90 (September), 512-533.
- Bruce Bueno de Mesquita, James D. Morrow, Randolph M. Siverson, and Alastair Smith, "An institutional explanation for the democratic peace," *American Political Science Review* 93, no. 4 (December 1999): 791-807.

- Reiter, Dan and Allan Stam, 2002. *Democracies at War*. Princeton and Oxford: Princeton University Press.
- Russett, Bruce and John Oneal. 2001. *Triangulating Peace: Democracy, Interdependence, and International Organizations*. New York: Norton.
- Small, Melvin, and J. David Singer. 1976. "The War Proneness of Democratic Regimes." *Jerusalem Journal of International Relations* 1, 50-69.
- Russett, Bruce M. et al. 1995. "And Yet it Moves." *International Security* 19,4(Spring), 164-84.
- Thompson, William R. and Richard Tucker. 1997. "A Tale of Two Democratic Peace Critics." *Journal of Conflict Resolution* 41, 3 (June),428-454.
- Russett, Bruce. 1993. *Grasping the Democratic Peace*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Ray, James L. 1995. *Democracy and International Politics*. Columbia, SC: University of South Carolina Press.
- Putnam, Robert D. 1988. "Diplomacy and Domestic Politics: the Logic of Two-Level Games." *International Organization* 42:3 (Summer): 427-60.
- Morgan, T. Clifton and Sally H. Campbell. 1991. "Domestic Structure, Decisional Constraints, and War" *Journal of Conflict Resolution* 35(June): 187-211.
- Dixon, William J. 1994. "Democracy and the Peaceful Settlement of International Conflict." *American Political Science Review* 88(March): 14-32.
- Farber, Henry and Joanne Gowa. 1995. "Politics and Peace." *International Security* 20,2(Fall), 123-46.
- Mansfield, Edward and Jack Snyder. 1995. "Democratization and the Danger of War." *International Security* 20, 1(Summer), 5-38.
- Chan, Steve, "Mirror, Mirror on the Wall...Are Freer Countries more pacific?" *Journal of Conflict Resolution* 28, no.4 (December 1984), 617-648.
- Andreski, Stanislav, "On the Peaceful Disposition of Military Dictatorships," *J of Strategic Studies* Vol.3, No.3 (December 1980):3-10.
- Schweller, Randall L. 1992. "Domestic Structure and Preventive War: Are Democracies More Pacific?" *World Politics* 44, no. 2 (January), 235-69.
- Gates, Scott, Torbjorn L. Knutsen, and Jonathon W. Moses. 1996. "Democracy and Peace: A More Skeptical View." *Journal of Peace Research*. 33/1(February), 1-10.
- Fukuyama, Francis, "The End of History?" *The National Interest* 16 (Summer 1989):3-18.

X. Constructivism

- John G. Ruggie, "Continuity and transformation in the world polity," *World Politics* 35, no. 2 (January 1983), 261-285.
- Alexander Wendt, "Anarchy is what states make of it: the social construction of state politics," *International Organization* 46, no. 2 (Spring 1992), 391-425.
- Alexander Wendt, 1999. *Social Theory of International Politics*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Martha Finnemore and Kathryn Sikkink, "International norm dynamics and political change," *International Organization* 52, no. 4 (Autumn,1998), 887-917.

- Ward Thomas, "Norms and security," *International Security* 25, no. 1 (Summer 2000): 105-133.
- Checkel, Jeff. 1998. "The Constructivist Turn in IR Theory," *World Politics* 50, no. 2, 324-348.
- Peter Katzenstein (ed.), 1996. *The Culture of National Security*. New York: Columbia University Press.
- James D. Fearon and Alexander Wendt, "Rationalism and constructivism in international relations theory," in Walter Carlsnaes, Thomas Risse and Beth A. Simmons (eds.) *Handbook of International Relations* (London: Sage Publications, 2002).

XI. Decline or Resurgence in Great Power Politics

- Nye, J., Bound to Lead
- Nye, J. Soft Power
- Nye, J. The future of power
- Kennedy, P., The Rise and Fall of Great Powers

The concept of hegemony and dynamics of hegemonic systems is an emerging literature of note and should be examined in contemporary issues of core academic journals, such as *International Security*, *International Organization*, *World Politics*, *Security Studies*, *Foreign Affairs*.

Core IR texts to which you should be able to speak, critically and substantively as you sit for exams

- Robert Axelrod, *Evolution of Cooperation* (Basic, 1984).
- David Baldwin, ed., *Neorealism and Neoliberalism* (Columbia, 1993).
- Geoffrey Blainey, *The Causes of War*, 3rd ed. (Free Press, 1988).
- Michael Brown et al., eds., *Debating the Democratic Peace* (MIT, 1996).
- Hedley Bull, *Anarchical Society* (Columbia, 1995), either edition is fine.
- Tom Christensen and Jack Snyder, "Chain Gangs and Passed Bucks," *International Organization* 44 (1990), 137-68.
- Dale Copeland, *The Origins of Major War* (Cornell, 2001).
- James Fearon, "Bargaining, Enforcement, and International Cooperation." *International Organization* 52 (Spring 1998), 269-306.
- Martha Finnemore, *National Interests in International Society* (Cornell, 1996).
- Robert Gilpin, *Challenge of Global Capitalism* (Princeton, 2000).
- Karl Polanyi, *The Great Transformation* (Reinhart & Co, multiple editions)
- Robert Gilpin, *US Power and the Multinational Corporation* (Basic, 1975).
- Robert Gilpin, *War and Change in World Politics* (Cambridge, 1981).
- Robert Gilpin, *The Political Economy of International Relations* (Princeton University Press, 1987).
- Susan Strange, *States and Markets* (Basil Blackwell, New York, 1988)
- Susan Mark Blyth, *Routledge Handbook of International Political Economy* (Routledge, 2009).
- Charles Glaser and Chaim Kaufmann, "What is the Offense-Defense Balance?" *International Security* 22 (Spring 1998), 44-82.

Charles Glaser, "Realists as Optimists: Cooperation as Self-Help," *International Security* 19 (Winter 1994-95), 50-90.

Samuel Huntington, *Clash of Civilizations and the Remaking of World Order* (Simon & Schuster, 1996).

Samuel Huntington, *Political Order in Changing Societies* (Yale University Press, 1968)

Theda Skocpol, *States and Social Revolutions* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1979).

Alastair Iain Johnston, *Cultural Realism* (Princeton, 1998).

John Ikenberry, *After Victory* (Princeton, 2001).

John Ikenberry, ed., *America Unrivaled* (Cornell, 2002).

Robert Jervis, "Cooperation Under the Security Dilemma," *World Politics* 30 (1978), 167-214.

Peter Katzenstein, *Culture of National Security* (Columbia, 1996).

Margaret Keck and Katherine Sikkink, *Activists Beyond Borders: Advocacy Networks in International Politics* (Cornell, 1998).

Robert Keohane, ed., *Neorealism and Its Critics* (Columbia, 1986).

Friedrich Kratochwil and Rey Koslowski, "Understanding Change in International Politics: The Soviet Empire's Demise and the International System" *International Organization* 48 (Spring, 1994), 215-248.

Stephen D. Krasner, ed. *International Regimes* (Cornell, 1983).

Stephen D. Krasner, *Sovereignty: Organized Hypocrisy* (Princeton, 1999).

David Lake and Robert Powell, *Strategic Choice and International Relations* (Princeton, 1999).

Jeffrey W. Legro and Andrew Moravcsik, "Is Anybody Still a Realist?" *International Security* 24 (Fall 1999), 5-55.

Helen Milner, *Interests, Institutions, Information* (Princeton, 1997).

Andrew Moravcsik, "Taking Preferences Seriously," *International Organization* 51 (Autumn 1997), 513-53.

Hans Morgenthau, *Politics among Nations*, early editions

Kenneth Oye, ed., *Cooperation Under Anarchy* (Princeton, 1985)

Robert Powell, *In the Shadow of Power* (Princeton, 1999).

Richard Rosecrance, *Rise of the Trading State* (Perseus, 1986).

John Ruggie, *Constructing the World Polity* (Routledge, 1998).

Bruce Russett, *Controlling the Sword* (Harvard, 1990).

Bruce Russett, *Grasping the Democratic Peace* (Princeton, 1993).

Paul Schroeder, "Historical Reality versus Neorealist Theory," *International Security* 19 (Summer 1994), 108-48.

Randall Schweller, "Neorealism's Status Quo Bias: What Security Dilemma?" *Security Studies* 5 (Spring 1996), 90-121.

Hendrik Spruyt, *The Sovereign State and Its Competitors* (Princeton, 1994).

Stephen Walt, *Origins of Alliances* (Cornell, 1990).

Monica Toft, *The Geography of Ethnic Violence* (Princeton University Press, 2003)