#### Bases and Coordinates

Linear Algebra MATH 2076



Let  $\mathcal{B} = \{\vec{a}_1, \dots, \vec{a}_p\}$  be a basis for a vector space  $\mathbb{V}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{B} = \{\vec{a}_1, \dots, \vec{a}_p\}$  be a basis for a vector space  $\mathbb{V}$ . Then for each vector  $\vec{v}$  in  $\mathbb{V}$ , there are *unique* scalars  $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_p$  such that

Let  $\mathcal{B} = \{\vec{a}_1, \dots, \vec{a}_p\}$  be a basis for a vector space  $\mathbb{V}$ . Then for each vector  $\vec{v}$  in  $\mathbb{V}$ , there are *unique* scalars  $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_p$  such that

$$\vec{v} = c_1 \vec{a}_1 + c_2 \vec{a}_2 + \dots + c_p \vec{a}_p = \sum_{i=1}^p c_i \vec{a}_i.$$

Let  $\mathcal{B} = \{\vec{a}_1, \dots, \vec{a}_p\}$  be a basis for a vector space  $\mathbb{V}$ . Then for each vector  $\vec{v}$  in  $\mathbb{V}$ , there are *unique* scalars  $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_p$  such that

$$\vec{v} = c_1 \vec{a}_1 + c_2 \vec{a}_2 + \dots + c_p \vec{a}_p = \sum_{i=1}^p c_i \vec{a}_i.$$

We call  $c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_p$  the  $\mathcal{B}$ -coordinates of  $\vec{v}$ 

Let  $\mathcal{B} = \{\vec{a}_1, \dots, \vec{a}_p\}$  be a basis for a vector space  $\mathbb{V}$ . Then for each vector  $\vec{v}$  in  $\mathbb{V}$ , there are *unique* scalars  $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_p$  such that

$$\vec{v} = c_1 \vec{a}_1 + c_2 \vec{a}_2 + \dots + c_p \vec{a}_p = \sum_{i=1}^p c_i \vec{a}_i.$$

We call  $c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_p$  the  $\mathcal{B}$ -coordinates of  $\vec{v}$  and  $\begin{bmatrix} \vec{v} \end{bmatrix}_{\mathcal{B}} = \begin{bmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \\ \vdots \\ c_p \end{bmatrix}$ 



Let  $\mathcal{B} = \{\vec{a}_1, \dots, \vec{a}_p\}$  be a basis for a vector space  $\mathbb{V}$ . Then for each vector  $\vec{v}$  in  $\mathbb{V}$ , there are *unique* scalars  $c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_p$  such that

$$\vec{v} = c_1 \vec{a}_1 + c_2 \vec{a}_2 + \dots + c_p \vec{a}_p = \sum_{i=1}^p c_i \vec{a}_i.$$

We call  $c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_p$  the  $\mathcal{B}$ -coordinates of  $\vec{v}$  and  $\begin{bmatrix} \vec{v} \end{bmatrix}_{\mathcal{B}} = \begin{bmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix}$ 

Note that  $[\vec{v}]_{\mathcal{B}}$  is a vector in  $\mathbb{R}^p$ .



Let 
$$\vec{a}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \\ -5 \end{bmatrix}$$
,  $\vec{a}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -4 \\ -5 \\ 8 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $\vec{u} = \begin{bmatrix} 8 \\ 2 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $\vec{v} = \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ -1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $\mathcal{B} = \{\vec{a}_1, \vec{a}_2\}$  and  $\mathbb{V} = \mathcal{S} pan \mathcal{B}$ .

Is  $\vec{u}$  or  $\vec{v}$  in  $\mathbb{V}$ , and if so find its  $\mathcal{B}$ -coordinates.



Let 
$$\vec{a}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \\ -5 \end{bmatrix}$$
,  $\vec{a}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -4 \\ -5 \\ 8 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $\vec{u} = \begin{bmatrix} 8 \\ 2 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $\vec{v} = \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ -1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $\mathcal{B} = \{\vec{a}_1, \vec{a}_2\}$  and  $\mathbb{V} = \mathcal{S} pan \mathcal{B}$ .

Is  $\vec{u}$  or  $\vec{v}$  in  $\mathbb{V}$ , and if so find its  $\mathcal{B}$ -coordinates.

Can we write  $\vec{u}$  (or  $\vec{v}$ ) as a LC of  $\vec{a}_1$  and  $\vec{a}_2$ ?



Section 4.4 Bases n Coords 1 March 2017

Let 
$$\vec{a}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \\ -5 \end{bmatrix}$$
,  $\vec{a}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -4 \\ -5 \\ 8 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $\vec{u} = \begin{bmatrix} 8 \\ 2 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $\vec{v} = \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ -1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $\mathcal{B} = \{\vec{a}_1, \vec{a}_2\}$  and  $\mathbb{V} = \mathcal{S} \operatorname{pan} \mathcal{B}$ .

Is  $\vec{u}$  or  $\vec{v}$  in  $\mathbb{V}$ , and if so find its  $\mathcal{B}$ -coordinates.

Can we write  $\vec{u}$  (or  $\vec{v}$ ) as a LC of  $\vec{a}_1$  and  $\vec{a}_2$ ? Let  $A = \begin{bmatrix} \vec{a}_1 & \vec{a}_2 \end{bmatrix}$ .

Section 4.4 Bases n Coords

Let 
$$\vec{a}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \\ -5 \end{bmatrix}$$
,  $\vec{a}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -4 \\ -5 \\ 8 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $\vec{u} = \begin{bmatrix} 8 \\ 2 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $\vec{v} = \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ -1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $\mathcal{B} = \{\vec{a}_1, \vec{a}_2\}$  and  $\mathbb{V} = \mathcal{S} \operatorname{pan} \mathcal{B}$ .

Is  $\vec{u}$  or  $\vec{v}$  in  $\mathbb{V}$ , and if so find its  $\mathcal{B}$ -coordinates.

Can we write  $\vec{u}$  (or  $\vec{v}$ ) as a LC of  $\vec{a_1}$  and  $\vec{a_2}$ ? Let  $A = \begin{bmatrix} \vec{a_1} & \vec{a_2} \end{bmatrix}$ . Can we solve  $A\vec{x} = \vec{u}$  (or  $A\vec{x} = \vec{v}$ )?



Let 
$$\vec{a}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \\ -5 \end{bmatrix}$$
,  $\vec{a}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -4 \\ -5 \\ 8 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $\vec{u} = \begin{bmatrix} 8 \\ 2 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $\vec{v} = \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ -1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $\mathcal{B} = \{\vec{a}_1, \vec{a}_2\}$  and  $\mathbb{V} = \mathcal{S} pan \mathcal{B}$ .

Is  $\vec{u}$  or  $\vec{v}$  in  $\mathbb{V}$ , and if so find its  $\mathcal{B}$ -coordinates.

Can we write  $\vec{u}$  (or  $\vec{v}$ ) as a LC of  $\vec{a_1}$  and  $\vec{a_2}$ ? Let  $A = \begin{bmatrix} \vec{a_1} & \vec{a_2} \end{bmatrix}$ . Can we solve  $A\vec{x} = \vec{u}$  (or  $A\vec{x} = \vec{v}$ )? Look at

$$[A \mid \vec{u} \vec{v}] = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -4 & 8 & -2 \\ 3 & -5 & 2 & -1 \\ -5 & 8 & 4 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

3 / 7

Section 4.4 Bases n Coords 1 March 2017

$$\text{Let } \vec{a}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \\ -5 \end{bmatrix}, \vec{a}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -4 \\ -5 \\ 8 \end{bmatrix}, \vec{u} = \begin{bmatrix} 8 \\ 2 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}, \vec{v} = \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ -1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}, \ \mathcal{B} = \{\vec{a}_1, \vec{a}_2\} \text{ and } \\ \mathbb{V} = \mathcal{S}\textit{pan}\,\mathcal{B}.$$

Is  $\vec{u}$  or  $\vec{v}$  in  $\mathbb{V}$ , and if so find its  $\mathcal{B}$ -coordinates.

Can we write  $\vec{u}$  (or  $\vec{v}$ ) as a LC of  $\vec{a_1}$  and  $\vec{a_2}$ ? Let  $A = \begin{bmatrix} \vec{a_1} & \vec{a_2} \end{bmatrix}$ . Can we solve  $A\vec{x} = \vec{u}$  (or  $A\vec{x} = \vec{v}$ )? Look at

$$\begin{bmatrix} A \mid \vec{u} \vec{v} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -4 & 8 & -2 \\ 3 & -5 & 2 & -1 \\ -5 & 8 & 4 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \sim \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 & 4 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & -10 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & -9 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Using elem row ops, we find the indicated REF



Section 4.4 Bases n Coords

3 / 7

$$\text{Let } \vec{a}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \\ -5 \end{bmatrix}, \vec{a}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -4 \\ -5 \\ 8 \end{bmatrix}, \vec{u} = \begin{bmatrix} 8 \\ 2 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}, \vec{v} = \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ -1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}, \ \mathcal{B} = \{\vec{a}_1, \vec{a}_2\} \text{ and } \\ \mathbb{V} = \mathcal{S}\textit{pan}\,\mathcal{B}.$$

Is  $\vec{u}$  or  $\vec{v}$  in  $\mathbb{V}$ , and if so find its  $\mathcal{B}$ -coordinates.

Can we write  $\vec{u}$  (or  $\vec{v}$ ) as a LC of  $\vec{a_1}$  and  $\vec{a_2}$ ? Let  $A = \begin{bmatrix} \vec{a_1} & \vec{a_2} \end{bmatrix}$ . Can we solve  $A\vec{x} = \vec{u}$  (or  $A\vec{x} = \vec{v}$ )? Look at

$$[A \mid \vec{u} \vec{v}] = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -4 & 8 & -2 \\ 3 & -5 & 2 & -1 \\ -5 & 8 & 4 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \sim \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 & 4 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & -10 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & -9 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \sim \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & ? & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & -10 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & -9 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Using elem row ops, we find the indicated REF and RREF for A.



3 / 7

Section 4.4 Bases n Coords 1 March 2017

$$\text{Let } \vec{a}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \\ -5 \end{bmatrix}, \vec{a}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -4 \\ -5 \\ 8 \end{bmatrix}, \vec{u} = \begin{bmatrix} 8 \\ 2 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}, \vec{v} = \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ -1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}, \ \mathcal{B} = \{\vec{a}_1, \vec{a}_2\} \text{ and } \\ \mathbb{V} = \mathcal{S}\textit{pan}\,\mathcal{B}.$$

Is  $\vec{u}$  or  $\vec{v}$  in  $\mathbb{V}$ , and if so find its  $\mathcal{B}$ -coordinates.

Can we write  $\vec{u}$  (or  $\vec{v}$ ) as a LC of  $\vec{a_1}$  and  $\vec{a_2}$ ? Let  $A = \begin{bmatrix} \vec{a_1} & \vec{a_2} \end{bmatrix}$ . Can we solve  $A\vec{x} = \vec{u}$  (or  $A\vec{x} = \vec{v}$ )? Look at

$$[A \mid \vec{u} \vec{v}] = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -4 & 8 & -2 \\ 3 & -5 & 2 & -1 \\ -5 & 8 & 4 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \sim \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 & 4 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & -10 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & -9 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \sim \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & ? & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & -10 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & -9 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Using elem row ops, we find the indicated REF and RREF for A.  $A\vec{x} = \vec{u}$  has no solutions;  $\vec{u}$  not in  $\mathbb{V}$ .



Section 4.4 Bases n Coords 1 March 2017 3 / 7

$$\text{Let } \vec{a}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \\ -5 \end{bmatrix}, \vec{a}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -4 \\ -5 \\ 8 \end{bmatrix}, \vec{u} = \begin{bmatrix} 8 \\ 2 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}, \vec{v} = \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ -1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}, \ \mathcal{B} = \{\vec{a}_1, \vec{a}_2\} \text{ and } \\ \mathbb{V} = \mathcal{S}\textit{pan}\,\mathcal{B}.$$

Is  $\vec{u}$  or  $\vec{v}$  in  $\mathbb{V}$ , and if so find its  $\mathcal{B}$ -coordinates.

Can we write  $\vec{u}$  (or  $\vec{v}$ ) as a LC of  $\vec{a_1}$  and  $\vec{a_2}$ ? Let  $A = \begin{bmatrix} \vec{a_1} & \vec{a_2} \end{bmatrix}$ . Can we solve  $A\vec{x} = \vec{u}$  (or  $A\vec{x} = \vec{v}$ )? Look at

$$[A \mid \vec{u} \vec{v}] = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -4 & 8 & -2 \\ 3 & -5 & 2 & -1 \\ -5 & 8 & 4 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \sim \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 & 4 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & -10 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & -9 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \sim \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & ? & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & -10 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & -9 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Using elem row ops, we find the indicated REF and RREF for A.  $A\vec{x} = \vec{u}$  has no solutions;  $\vec{u}$  not in  $\mathbb{V}$ .  $A\vec{x} = \vec{v}$  has a solution;  $\vec{v}$  is in  $\mathbb{V}$ .

3 / 7

Section 4.4 Bases n Coords 1 March 2017

$$\text{Let } \vec{a}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \\ -5 \end{bmatrix}, \vec{a}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -4 \\ -5 \\ 8 \end{bmatrix}, \vec{u} = \begin{bmatrix} 8 \\ 2 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}, \vec{v} = \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ -1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}, \ \mathcal{B} = \{\vec{a}_1, \vec{a}_2\} \text{ and } \\ \mathbb{V} = \mathcal{S}\textit{pan}\,\mathcal{B}.$$

Is  $\vec{u}$  or  $\vec{v}$  in  $\mathbb{V}$ , and if so find its  $\mathcal{B}$ -coordinates.

Can we write  $\vec{u}$  (or  $\vec{v}$ ) as a LC of  $\vec{a_1}$  and  $\vec{a_2}$ ? Let  $A = \begin{bmatrix} \vec{a_1} & \vec{a_2} \end{bmatrix}$ . Can we solve  $A\vec{x} = \vec{u}$  (or  $A\vec{x} = \vec{v}$ )? Look at

$$[A \mid \vec{u} \vec{v}] = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -4 & 8 & -2 \\ 3 & -5 & 2 & -1 \\ -5 & 8 & 4 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \sim \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 & 4 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & -10 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & -9 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \sim \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & ? & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & -10 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & -9 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Using elem row ops, we find the indicated REF and RREF for A.

 $A\vec{x} = \vec{u}$  has no solutions;  $\vec{u}$  not in  $\mathbb{V}$ .  $A\vec{x} = \vec{v}$  has a solution;  $\vec{v}$  is in  $\mathbb{V}$ .

In fact, 
$$A\vec{x} = \vec{v}$$
 has the solution  $\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$ ,



$$\text{Let } \vec{\textit{a}}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \\ -5 \end{bmatrix}, \vec{\textit{a}}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -4 \\ -5 \\ 8 \end{bmatrix}, \vec{\textit{u}} = \begin{bmatrix} 8 \\ 2 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}, \vec{\textit{v}} = \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ -1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}, \; \mathcal{B} = \{\vec{\textit{a}}_1, \vec{\textit{a}}_2\} \text{ and } \\ \mathbb{V} = \mathcal{S}\textit{pan}\,\mathcal{B}.$$

Is  $\vec{u}$  or  $\vec{v}$  in  $\mathbb{V}$ , and if so find its  $\mathcal{B}$ -coordinates.

Can we write  $\vec{u}$  (or  $\vec{v}$ ) as a LC of  $\vec{a_1}$  and  $\vec{a_2}$ ? Let  $A = \begin{bmatrix} \vec{a_1} & \vec{a_2} \end{bmatrix}$ . Can we solve  $A\vec{x} = \vec{u}$  (or  $A\vec{x} = \vec{v}$ )? Look at

$$[A \mid \vec{u} \vec{v}] = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -4 & 8 & -2 \\ 3 & -5 & 2 & -1 \\ -5 & 8 & 4 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \sim \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 & 4 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & -10 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & -9 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \sim \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & ? & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & -10 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & -9 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Using elem row ops, we find the indicated REF and RREF for A.

 $A\vec{x} = \vec{u}$  has no solutions;  $\vec{u}$  not in  $\mathbb{V}$ .  $A\vec{x} = \vec{v}$  has a solution;  $\vec{v}$  is in  $\mathbb{V}$ .

In fact,  $A\vec{x} = \vec{v}$  has the solution  $\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$ , and we see that  $[\vec{v}]_{\mathcal{B}} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$ ,

3 / 7

$$\text{Let } \vec{\textit{a}}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \\ -5 \end{bmatrix}, \vec{\textit{a}}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -4 \\ -5 \\ 8 \end{bmatrix}, \vec{\textit{u}} = \begin{bmatrix} 8 \\ 2 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}, \vec{\textit{v}} = \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ -1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}, \; \mathcal{B} = \{\vec{\textit{a}}_1, \vec{\textit{a}}_2\} \text{ and } \\ \mathbb{V} = \mathcal{S}\textit{pan}\,\mathcal{B}.$$

Is  $\vec{u}$  or  $\vec{v}$  in  $\mathbb{V}$ , and if so find its  $\mathcal{B}$ -coordinates.

Can we write  $\vec{u}$  (or  $\vec{v}$ ) as a LC of  $\vec{a}_1$  and  $\vec{a}_2$ ? Let  $A = \begin{bmatrix} \vec{a}_1 & \vec{a}_2 \end{bmatrix}$ . Can we solve  $A\vec{x} = \vec{u}$  (or  $A\vec{x} = \vec{v}$ )? Look at

$$[A \mid \vec{u} \vec{v}] = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -4 & 8 & -2 \\ 3 & -5 & 2 & -1 \\ -5 & 8 & 4 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \sim \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 & 4 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & -10 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & -9 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \sim \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & ? & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & -10 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & -9 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Using elem row ops, we find the indicated REF and RREF for A.

 $A\vec{x} = \vec{u}$  has no solutions;  $\vec{u}$  not in  $\mathbb{V}$ .  $A\vec{x} = \vec{v}$  has a solution;  $\vec{v}$  is in  $\mathbb{V}$ .

In fact,  $A\vec{x} = \vec{v}$  has the solution  $\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$ , and we see that  $[\vec{v}]_{\mathcal{B}} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$ ,

that is,  $\vec{v} = 3\vec{a}_1 + 2\vec{a}_2$ .

◆ロト ◆個ト ◆量ト ◆量ト ■ 釣りぐ

3 / 7

Section 4.4 Bases n Coords 1 March 2017

$$\text{Let } \vec{a}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \\ -5 \end{bmatrix}, \vec{a}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -4 \\ -5 \\ 8 \end{bmatrix}, \vec{u} = \begin{bmatrix} 8 \\ 2 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}, \vec{v} = \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ -1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}, \ \mathcal{B} = \{\vec{a}_1, \vec{a}_2\} \text{ and } \\ \mathbb{V} = \mathcal{S}\textit{pan}\,\mathcal{B}.$$

Is  $\vec{u}$  or  $\vec{v}$  in  $\mathbb{V}$ , and if so find its  $\mathcal{B}$ -coordinates.

Can we write  $\vec{u}$  (or  $\vec{v}$ ) as a LC of  $\vec{a_1}$  and  $\vec{a_2}$ ? Let  $A = \begin{bmatrix} \vec{a_1} & \vec{a_2} \end{bmatrix}$ . Can we solve  $A\vec{x} = \vec{u}$  (or  $A\vec{x} = \vec{v}$ )? Look at

$$[A \mid \vec{u} \vec{v}] = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -4 & 8 & -2 \\ 3 & -5 & 2 & -1 \\ -5 & 8 & 4 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \sim \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 & 4 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & -10 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & -9 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \sim \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & ? & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & -10 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & -9 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Using elem row ops, we find the indicated REF and RREF for A.

 $A\vec{x} = \vec{u}$  has no solutions;  $\vec{u}$  not in  $\mathbb{V}$ .  $A\vec{x} = \vec{v}$  has a solution;  $\vec{v}$  is in  $\mathbb{V}$ .

In fact,  $A\vec{x} = \vec{v}$  has the solution  $\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$ , and we see that  $[\vec{v}]_{\mathcal{B}} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$ , that is,  $\vec{v} = 3\vec{a}_1 + 2\vec{a}_2$ . Note that  $\vec{v}$  is in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  whereas  $[\vec{v}]_{\mathcal{B}}$  is in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

Section 4.4 Bases n Coords 1 March 2017

Suppose  $\mathcal{B} = \{\vec{a}_1, \dots, \vec{a}_p\}$  is a LI set of vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

Suppose  $\mathcal{B} = \{\vec{a}_1, \dots, \vec{a}_p\}$  is a LI set of vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $\mathbb{V} = \mathcal{S}pan\mathcal{B}$ .

Suppose  $\mathcal{B} = \{\vec{a}_1, \dots, \vec{a}_p\}$  is a LI set of vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $\mathbb{V} = \mathcal{S}pan\mathcal{B}$ . Then  $\mathcal{B}$  is a basis for  $\mathbb{V}$ .

Suppose  $\mathcal{B} = \{\vec{a}_1, \dots, \vec{a}_p\}$  is a LI set of vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $\mathbb{V} = \mathcal{S}pan\mathcal{B}$ . Then  $\mathcal{B}$  is a basis for  $\mathbb{V}$ .

In this setting, finding coord vectors  $[\vec{v}]_{\mathcal{B}}$  (for  $\vec{v}$  in  $\mathbb{V}$ ) is just the problem of solving  $A\vec{x} = \vec{v}$  where  $A = \begin{bmatrix} \vec{a}_1 \ \vec{a}_2 \cdots \vec{a}_p \end{bmatrix}$ .

Suppose  $\mathcal{B} = \{\vec{a}_1, \dots, \vec{a}_p\}$  is a LI set of vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $\mathbb{V} = \mathcal{S}pan\mathcal{B}$ . Then  $\mathcal{B}$  is a basis for  $\mathbb{V}$ .

In this setting, finding coord vectors  $[\vec{v}]_{\mathcal{B}}$  (for  $\vec{v}$  in  $\mathbb{V}$ ) is just the problem of solving  $A\vec{x} = \vec{v}$  where  $A = \begin{bmatrix} \vec{a}_1 & \vec{a}_2 \cdots \vec{a}_p \end{bmatrix}$ .

That is, given a vector  $\vec{v}$  in  $\mathbb{V}$ ,  $[\vec{v}]_{\mathcal{B}}$  is just the unique solution to  $A\vec{x} = \vec{v}$ .

Suppose  $\mathcal{B} = \{\vec{a}_1, \dots, \vec{a}_p\}$  is a LI set of vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $\mathbb{V} = \mathcal{S}pan\mathcal{B}$ . Then  $\mathcal{B}$  is a basis for  $\mathbb{V}$ .

In this setting, finding coord vectors  $[\vec{v}]_{\mathcal{B}}$  (for  $\vec{v}$  in  $\mathbb{V}$ ) is just the problem of solving  $A\vec{x} = \vec{v}$  where  $A = \begin{bmatrix} \vec{a}_1 & \vec{a}_2 \cdots \vec{a}_p \end{bmatrix}$ .

That is, given a vector  $\vec{v}$  in  $\mathbb{V}$ ,  $\begin{bmatrix} \vec{v} \end{bmatrix}_{\mathcal{B}}$  is just the unique solution to  $A\vec{x} = \vec{v}$ . This is just because if we have  $\vec{v} = A\vec{x} = x_1\vec{a}_1 + x_2\vec{a}_2 + \cdots + x_p\vec{a}_p$ ,

Suppose  $\mathcal{B} = \{\vec{a}_1, \dots, \vec{a}_p\}$  is a LI set of vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $\mathbb{V} = \mathcal{S}pan\mathcal{B}$ . Then  $\mathcal{B}$  is a basis for  $\mathbb{V}$ .

In this setting, finding coord vectors  $[\vec{v}]_{\mathcal{B}}$  (for  $\vec{v}$  in  $\mathbb{V}$ ) is just the problem of solving  $A\vec{x} = \vec{v}$  where  $A = \begin{bmatrix} \vec{a}_1 & \vec{a}_2 \cdots \vec{a}_p \end{bmatrix}$ .

That is, given a vector  $\vec{v}$  in  $\mathbb{V}$ ,  $\left[\vec{v}\right]_{\mathcal{B}}$  is just the unique solution to  $A\vec{x}=\vec{v}$ . This is just because if we have  $\vec{v}=A\vec{x}=x_1\vec{a}_1+x_2\vec{a}_2+\cdots+x_p\vec{a}_p$ , then  $x_1,x_2,\ldots,x_p$  are the  $\mathcal{B}$ -coords of  $\vec{v}$ .

Suppose  $\mathcal{B} = \{\vec{a}_1, \dots, \vec{a}_p\}$  is a LI set of vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $\mathbb{V} = \mathcal{S}pan\mathcal{B}$ . Then  $\mathcal{B}$  is a basis for  $\mathbb{V}$ .

In this setting, finding coord vectors  $[\vec{v}]_{\mathcal{B}}$  (for  $\vec{v}$  in  $\mathbb{V}$ ) is just the problem of solving  $A\vec{x} = \vec{v}$  where  $A = \begin{bmatrix} \vec{a}_1 & \vec{a}_2 \cdots \vec{a}_p \end{bmatrix}$ .

That is, given a vector  $\vec{v}$  in  $\mathbb{V}$ ,  $\left[\vec{v}\right]_{\mathcal{B}}$  is just the unique solution to  $A\vec{x}=\vec{v}$ . This is just because if we have  $\vec{v}=A\vec{x}=x_1\vec{a}_1+x_2\vec{a}_2+\cdots+x_p\vec{a}_p$ , then  $x_1,x_2,\ldots,x_p$  are the  $\mathcal{B}$ -coords of  $\vec{v}$ .

Again, while  $\vec{v}$  is a vector in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $[\vec{v}]_{\mathcal{B}}$  is a vector in  $\mathbb{R}^p$ .

Let  $\mathcal{B} = \{\vec{a}_1, \dots, \vec{a}_p\}$  be a basis for a vector space  $\mathbb{V}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{B} = \{\vec{a}_1, \dots, \vec{a}_p\}$  be a basis for a vector space  $\mathbb{V}$ . Then each  $\vec{v}$  in  $\mathbb{V}$  has an associated  $\mathcal{B}$ -coordinate vector  $\begin{bmatrix} \vec{v} \end{bmatrix}_{\mathcal{B}}$  where  $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_p$  are the  $\mathcal{B}$ -coordinates of  $\vec{v}$ .  $\begin{bmatrix} \vec{v} \end{bmatrix}_{\mathcal{B}} = \begin{bmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \\ \vdots \\ c_p \end{bmatrix}$ 

Let  $\mathcal{B} = \{\vec{a}_1, \dots, \vec{a}_p\}$  be a basis for a vector space  $\mathbb{V}$ . Then each  $\vec{v}$  in  $\mathbb{V}$  has an associated  $\mathcal{B}$ -coordinate vector  $\begin{bmatrix} \vec{v} \end{bmatrix}_{\mathcal{B}}$  where  $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_p$  are the  $\mathcal{B}$ -coordinates of  $\vec{v}$ . Again,  $\begin{bmatrix} \vec{v} \end{bmatrix}_{\mathcal{B}}$  is a vector in  $\mathbb{R}^p$ .  $\begin{bmatrix} \vec{v} \end{bmatrix}_{\mathcal{B}} = \begin{bmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \\ \vdots \\ c_n \end{bmatrix}$ 

Let  $\mathcal{B} = \{\vec{a}_1, \dots, \vec{a}_p\}$  be a basis for a vector space  $\mathbb{V}$ . Then each  $\vec{v}$  in  $\mathbb{V}$  has an associated  $\mathcal{B}$ -coordinate vector  $\begin{bmatrix} \vec{v} \end{bmatrix}_{\mathcal{B}}$  where  $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_p$  are the  $\mathcal{B}$ -coordinates of  $\vec{v}$ . Again,  $\begin{bmatrix} \vec{v} \end{bmatrix}_{\mathcal{B}}$  is a vector in  $\mathbb{R}^p$ .  $\begin{bmatrix} \vec{v} \end{bmatrix}_{\mathcal{B}} = \begin{bmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \\ \vdots \\ c_n \end{bmatrix}$ 

Now define  $\mathbb{V} \xrightarrow{[\cdot]_{\mathcal{B}}} \mathbb{R}^p$  by the formula  $\vec{v} \mapsto [\vec{v}]_{\mathcal{B}}$ .



Let  $\mathcal{B} = \{\vec{a}_1, \dots, \vec{a}_p\}$  be a basis for a vector space  $\mathbb{V}$ . Then each  $\vec{v}$  in  $\mathbb{V}$  has an associated  $\mathcal{B}$ -coordinate vector  $\begin{bmatrix} \vec{v} \end{bmatrix}_{\mathcal{B}}$  where  $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_p$  are the  $\mathcal{B}$ -coordinates of  $\vec{v}$ . Again,  $\begin{bmatrix} \vec{v} \end{bmatrix}_{\mathcal{B}}$  is a vector in  $\mathbb{R}^p$ .

Now define  $\mathbb{V} \xrightarrow{[\cdot]_{\mathcal{B}}} \mathbb{R}^p$  by the formula  $\vec{v} \mapsto [\vec{v}]_{\mathcal{B}}$ . This is a LT called the  $\mathcal{B}$ -coordinate mapping.

Let  $\mathcal{B} = \{\vec{a}_1, \dots, \vec{a}_p\}$  be a basis for a vector space  $\mathbb{V}$ . Then each  $\vec{v}$  in  $\mathbb{V}$  has an associated  $\mathcal{B}$ -coordinate vector  $\begin{bmatrix} \vec{v} \end{bmatrix}_{\mathcal{B}}$  where  $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_p$  are the  $\mathcal{B}$ -coordinates of  $\vec{v}$ . Again,  $\begin{bmatrix} \vec{v} \end{bmatrix}_{\mathcal{B}}$  is a vector in  $\mathbb{R}^p$ .  $\begin{bmatrix} \vec{v} \end{bmatrix}_{\mathcal{B}} = \begin{bmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \\ \vdots \\ c_p \end{bmatrix}$ 

Now define  $\mathbb{V} \xrightarrow{[\cdot]_{\mathcal{B}}} \mathbb{R}^p$  by the formula  $\vec{v} \mapsto [\vec{v}]_{\mathcal{B}}$ . This is a LT called the  $\mathcal{B}$ -coordinate mapping.

The inverse of the  $\mathcal{B}$ -coordinate mapping is easier to understand.

Let  $\mathcal{B} = \{\vec{a}_1, \dots, \vec{a}_p\}$  be a basis for a vector space  $\mathbb{V}$ . Then each  $\vec{v}$  in  $\mathbb{V}$  $\begin{bmatrix} \vec{v} \end{bmatrix}_{\mathcal{B}} = \begin{bmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \\ \vdots \\ c_n \end{bmatrix}$ has an associated  $\mathcal{B}$ -coordinate vector  $[\vec{v}]_{\mathcal{B}}$ where  $c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_p$  are the  $\mathcal{B}$ -coordinates of  $\vec{v}$ . Again,  $[\vec{v}]_{\mathcal{B}}$  is a vector in  $\mathbb{R}^p$ .

Now define  $\mathbb{V} \xrightarrow{[\cdot]_{\mathcal{B}}} \mathbb{R}^p$  by the formula  $\vec{v} \mapsto [\vec{v}]_{\mathcal{B}}$ . This is a LT called the *B-coordinate mapping*.

The inverse of the  $\mathcal{B}$ -coordinate mapping is easier to understand. This is

The inverse of the 
$$\mathcal{B}$$
-coordinate mapping is easier to understand. the linear transformation  $\mathbb{R}^p \xrightarrow{\mathcal{T}} \mathbb{V}$  given by the formula 
$$T(\vec{x}) = x_1 \vec{a}_1 + x_2 \vec{a}_2 + \dots + x_p \vec{a}_p \quad \text{where} \quad \vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_p \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let  $\mathcal{B} = \{\vec{a}_1, \dots, \vec{a}_p\}$  be a basis for a vector space  $\mathbb{V}$ . Then each  $\vec{v}$  in  $\mathbb{V}$ has an associated  $\mathcal{B}$ -coordinate vector  $[\vec{v}]_{\mathcal{R}}$  $\begin{bmatrix} \vec{v} \end{bmatrix}_{\mathcal{B}} = \begin{bmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \\ \vdots \\ c_n \end{bmatrix}$ where  $c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_p$  are the  $\mathcal{B}$ -coordinates of  $\vec{v}$ . Again,  $[\vec{v}]_{\mathcal{B}}$  is a vector in  $\mathbb{R}^p$ .

Now define  $\mathbb{V} \xrightarrow{[\cdot]_{\mathcal{B}}} \mathbb{R}^p$  by the formula  $\vec{v} \mapsto [\vec{v}]_{\mathcal{B}}$ . This is a LT called the *B-coordinate mapping*.

The inverse of the  $\mathcal{B}$ -coordinate mapping is easier to understand. This is

The inverse of the 
$$\mathcal{B}$$
-coordinate mapping is easier to understand. the linear transformation  $\mathbb{R}^p \xrightarrow{T} \mathbb{V}$  given by the formula 
$$T(\vec{x}) = x_1 \vec{a}_1 + x_2 \vec{a}_2 + \dots + x_p \vec{a}_p \quad \text{where} \quad \vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_p \end{bmatrix}.$$
Thus  $\vec{x}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^p$  is associated to  $\vec{y} = T(\vec{x})$  in  $\mathbb{V}$  and

Thus  $\vec{x}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^p$  is associated to  $\vec{v} = T(\vec{x})$  in  $\mathbb{V}$  and  $[\vec{v}]_{R} = \vec{x}$ .



#### Coordinate Mappings

Let  $\mathcal{B} = \{\vec{a}_1, \dots, \vec{a}_p\}$  be a basis for a vector space  $\mathbb{V}$ . Then each  $\vec{v}$  in  $\mathbb{V}$  $\begin{bmatrix} \vec{v} \end{bmatrix}_{\mathcal{B}} = \begin{bmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \\ \vdots \\ c_n \end{bmatrix}$ has an associated  $\mathcal{B}$ -coordinate vector  $[\vec{v}]_{\mathcal{R}}$ where  $c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_p$  are the  $\mathcal{B}$ -coordinates of  $\vec{v}$ . Again,  $[\vec{v}]_{\mathcal{B}}$  is a vector in  $\mathbb{R}^p$ .

Now define  $\mathbb{V} \xrightarrow{[\cdot]_{\mathcal{B}}} \mathbb{R}^p$  by the formula  $\vec{v} \mapsto [\vec{v}]_{\mathcal{B}}$ . This is a LT called the *B-coordinate mapping*.

The inverse of the  $\mathcal{B}$ -coordinate mapping is easier to understand. This is

The inverse of the 
$$\mathcal{B}$$
-coordinate mapping is easier to understand. the linear transformation  $\mathbb{R}^p \xrightarrow{\mathcal{T}} \mathbb{V}$  given by the formula 
$$T(\vec{x}) = x_1 \vec{a}_1 + x_2 \vec{a}_2 + \dots + x_p \vec{a}_p \quad \text{where} \quad \vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_p \end{bmatrix}.$$
 Thus  $\vec{x}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^p$  is associated to  $\vec{x} = T(\vec{x})$  in  $\mathbb{V}$  and

Thus  $\vec{x}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^p$  is associated to  $\vec{v} = T(\vec{x})$  in  $\mathbb{V}$  and  $[\vec{v}]_{R} = \vec{x}$ . That is,  $[T(\vec{x})]_{R} = \vec{x}$ .



#### Coordinate Mappings

Let  $\mathcal{B} = \{\vec{a}_1, \dots, \vec{a}_p\}$  be a basis for a vector space  $\mathbb{V}$ . Then each  $\vec{v}$  in  $\mathbb{V}$  $\begin{bmatrix} \vec{v} \end{bmatrix}_{\mathcal{B}} = \begin{bmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \\ \vdots \\ c_n \end{bmatrix}$ has an associated  $\mathcal{B}$ -coordinate vector  $[\vec{v}]_{\mathcal{R}}$ where  $c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_p$  are the  $\mathcal{B}$ -coordinates of  $\vec{v}$ . Again,  $[\vec{v}]_{\mathcal{B}}$  is a vector in  $\mathbb{R}^p$ .

Now define  $\mathbb{V} \xrightarrow{[\cdot]_{\mathcal{B}}} \mathbb{R}^p$  by the formula  $\vec{v} \mapsto [\vec{v}]_{\mathcal{B}}$ . This is a LT called the *B-coordinate mapping*.

The inverse of the  $\mathcal{B}$ -coordinate mapping is easier to understand. This is

The inverse of the 
$$\mathcal{B}$$
-coordinate mapping is easier to understand. the linear transformation  $\mathbb{R}^p \xrightarrow{T} \mathbb{V}$  given by the formula 
$$T(\vec{x}) = x_1 \vec{a}_1 + x_2 \vec{a}_2 + \dots + x_p \vec{a}_p \quad \text{where} \quad \vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_p \end{bmatrix}.$$
 Thus  $\vec{x}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^p$  is associated to  $\vec{v} = T(\vec{x})$  in  $\mathbb{V}$  and

Thus  $\vec{x}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^p$  is associated to  $\vec{v} = T(\vec{x})$  in  $\mathbb{V}$  and  $[\vec{v}]_{\mathcal{B}} = \vec{x}$ . That is,  $[T(\vec{x})]_{\mathcal{B}} = \vec{x}$ . Can we write T as a matrix transformation?



Section 4.4 Bases n Coords 1 March 2017

#### Coordinate Mappings

Let  $\mathcal{B} = \{\vec{a}_1, \dots, \vec{a}_p\}$  be a basis for a vector space  $\mathbb{V}$ . Then each  $\vec{v}$  in  $\mathbb{V}$  $\begin{bmatrix} \vec{v} \end{bmatrix}_{\mathcal{B}} = \begin{bmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \\ \vdots \\ c_n \end{bmatrix}$ has an associated  $\mathcal{B}$ -coordinate vector  $[\vec{v}]_{\mathcal{R}}$ where  $c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_p$  are the  $\mathcal{B}$ -coordinates of  $\vec{v}$ . Again,  $[\vec{v}]_{\mathcal{B}}$  is a vector in  $\mathbb{R}^p$ .

Now define  $\mathbb{V} \xrightarrow{[\cdot]_{\mathcal{B}}} \mathbb{R}^p$  by the formula  $\vec{v} \mapsto [\vec{v}]_{\mathcal{B}}$ . This is a LT called the *B-coordinate mapping*.

The inverse of the  $\mathcal{B}$ -coordinate mapping is easier to understand. This is

The inverse of the 
$$\mathcal{B}$$
-coordinate mapping is easier to understand. the linear transformation  $\mathbb{R}^p \xrightarrow{T} \mathbb{V}$  given by the formula 
$$T(\vec{x}) = x_1 \vec{a}_1 + x_2 \vec{a}_2 + \dots + x_p \vec{a}_p \quad \text{where} \quad \vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_p \end{bmatrix}.$$
 Thus  $\vec{x}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^p$  is associated to  $\vec{v} = T(\vec{x})$  in  $\mathbb{V}$  and

Thus  $\vec{x}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^p$  is associated to  $\vec{v} = T(\vec{x})$  in  $\mathbb{V}$  and  $[\vec{v}]_{\mathcal{B}} = \vec{x}$ . That is,  $[T(\vec{x})]_{\mathcal{B}} = \vec{x}$ . Can we write T as a matrix transformation? What if  $\mathbb{V}$  is a vector subspace of some  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ?

Suppose  $\mathcal{B} = \{\vec{a}_1, \dots, \vec{a}_p\}$  is a LI set of vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

Suppose  $\mathcal{B} = \{\vec{a}_1, \dots, \vec{a}_p\}$  is a LI set of vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $\mathbb{V} = \mathcal{S}pan\mathcal{B}$ .

Suppose  $\mathcal{B} = \{\vec{a}_1, \dots, \vec{a}_p\}$  is a LI set of vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $\mathbb{V} = \mathcal{S}pan\mathcal{B}$ . Then  $\mathcal{B}$  is a basis for  $\mathbb{V}$ .

Suppose  $\mathcal{B} = \{\vec{a}_1, \dots, \vec{a}_p\}$  is a LI set of vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $\mathbb{V} = \mathcal{S}pan\mathcal{B}$ . Then  $\mathcal{B}$  is a basis for  $\mathbb{V}$ .

In this setting, finding coord vectors  $[\vec{v}]_{\mathcal{B}}$  (for  $\vec{v}$  in  $\mathbb{V}$ ) is just the problem of solving  $A\vec{x} = \vec{v}$  where  $A = [\vec{a}_1 \ \vec{a}_2 \cdots \vec{a}_p]$ .

6 / 7

Suppose  $\mathcal{B} = \{\vec{a}_1, \dots, \vec{a}_p\}$  is a LI set of vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $\mathbb{V} = \mathcal{S}pan\mathcal{B}$ . Then  $\mathcal{B}$  is a basis for  $\mathbb{V}$ .

In this setting, finding coord vectors  $[\vec{v}]_{\mathcal{B}}$  (for  $\vec{v}$  in  $\mathbb{V}$ ) is just the problem of solving  $A\vec{x} = \vec{v}$  where  $A = \begin{bmatrix} \vec{a}_1 \ \vec{a}_2 \cdots \vec{a}_p \end{bmatrix}$ .

Given  $\vec{v}$  in  $\mathbb{V}$ ,  $[\vec{v}]_{\mathcal{B}}$  is the unique solution to  $A\vec{x} = \vec{v}$ .

Suppose  $\mathcal{B} = \{\vec{a}_1, \dots, \vec{a}_p\}$  is a LI set of vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $\mathbb{V} = \mathcal{S}pan\mathcal{B}$ . Then  $\mathcal{B}$  is a basis for  $\mathbb{V}$ .

In this setting, finding coord vectors  $\left[\vec{v}\right]_{\mathcal{B}}$  (for  $\vec{v}$  in  $\mathbb{V}$ ) is just the problem of solving  $A\vec{x}=\vec{v}$  where  $A=\left[\vec{a_1}\ \vec{a_2}\cdots\vec{a_p}\right]$ .

Given  $\vec{v}$  in  $\mathbb{V}$ ,  $[\vec{v}]_{\mathcal{B}}$  is the unique solution to  $A\vec{x} = \vec{v}$ .

Look at the LT  $\mathbb{R}^p \xrightarrow{T} \mathbb{R}^n$  given by  $T(\vec{x}) = A\vec{x}$ .

Suppose  $\mathcal{B} = \{\vec{a}_1, \dots, \vec{a}_p\}$  is a LI set of vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $\mathbb{V} = \mathcal{S}pan\mathcal{B}$ . Then  $\mathcal{B}$  is a basis for  $\mathbb{V}$ .

In this setting, finding coord vectors  $[\vec{v}]_{\mathcal{B}}$  (for  $\vec{v}$  in  $\mathbb{V}$ ) is just the problem of solving  $A\vec{x} = \vec{v}$  where  $A = \begin{bmatrix} \vec{a}_1 \ \vec{a}_2 \cdots \vec{a}_p \end{bmatrix}$ .

Given  $\vec{v}$  in  $\mathbb{V}$ ,  $[\vec{v}]_{\mathcal{B}}$  is the unique solution to  $A\vec{x} = \vec{v}$ .

Look at the LT  $\mathbb{R}^p \xrightarrow{\mathcal{T}} \mathbb{R}^n$  given by  $T(\vec{x}) = A\vec{x}$ . Since  $\mathbb{V} = \mathcal{S}pan\mathcal{B}$ , it follows that  $\mathcal{R}ng(T) = \mathbb{V}$ .

6 / 7

Suppose  $\mathcal{B} = \{\vec{a}_1, \dots, \vec{a}_p\}$  is a LI set of vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $\mathbb{V} = \mathcal{S}pan\mathcal{B}$ . Then  $\mathcal{B}$  is a basis for  $\mathbb{V}$ .

In this setting, finding coord vectors  $[\vec{v}]_{\mathcal{B}}$  (for  $\vec{v}$  in  $\mathbb{V}$ ) is just the problem of solving  $A\vec{x} = \vec{v}$  where  $A = \begin{bmatrix} \vec{a}_1 & \vec{a}_2 \cdots \vec{a}_p \end{bmatrix}$ .

Given  $\vec{v}$  in  $\mathbb{V}$ ,  $[\vec{v}]_{\mathcal{B}}$  is the unique solution to  $A\vec{x} = \vec{v}$ .

Look at the LT  $\mathbb{R}^p \xrightarrow{T} \mathbb{R}^n$  given by  $T(\vec{x}) = A\vec{x}$ . Since  $\mathbb{V} = Span \mathcal{B}$ , it follows that  $\mathcal{R}ng(T) = \mathbb{V}$ . Notice that  $\left[T(\vec{x})\right]_{\mathcal{B}} = \vec{x}$ .

Suppose  $\mathcal{B} = \{\vec{a}_1, \dots, \vec{a}_p\}$  is a LI set of vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $\mathbb{V} = \mathcal{S}pan\mathcal{B}$ . Then  $\mathcal{B}$  is a basis for  $\mathbb{V}$ .

In this setting, finding coord vectors  $[\vec{v}]_{\mathcal{B}}$  (for  $\vec{v}$  in  $\mathbb{V}$ ) is just the problem of solving  $A\vec{x} = \vec{v}$  where  $A = \begin{bmatrix} \vec{a}_1 \ \vec{a}_2 \cdots \vec{a}_p \end{bmatrix}$ .

Given  $\vec{v}$  in  $\mathbb{V}$ ,  $[\vec{v}]_{\mathcal{B}}$  is the unique solution to  $A\vec{x} = \vec{v}$ .

Look at the LT  $\mathbb{R}^p \xrightarrow{T} \mathbb{R}^n$  given by  $T(\vec{x}) = A\vec{x}$ . Since  $\mathbb{V} = Span \mathcal{B}$ , it follows that  $\mathcal{R}ng(T) = \mathbb{V}$ . Notice that  $\left[T(\vec{x})\right]_{\mathcal{B}} = \vec{x}$ . Right?

Suppose  $\mathcal{B} = \{\vec{a}_1, \dots, \vec{a}_p\}$  is a LI set of vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $\mathbb{V} = \mathcal{S}pan\mathcal{B}$ . Then  $\mathcal{B}$  is a basis for  $\mathbb{V}$ .

In this setting, finding coord vectors  $[\vec{v}]_{\mathcal{B}}$  (for  $\vec{v}$  in  $\mathbb{V}$ ) is just the problem of solving  $A\vec{x} = \vec{v}$  where  $A = \begin{bmatrix} \vec{a}_1 & \vec{a}_2 \cdots \vec{a}_p \end{bmatrix}$ .

Given  $\vec{v}$  in  $\mathbb{V}$ ,  $[\vec{v}]_{\mathcal{B}}$  is the unique solution to  $A\vec{x} = \vec{v}$ .

Look at the LT  $\mathbb{R}^p \xrightarrow{\mathcal{T}} \mathbb{R}^n$  given by  $T(\vec{x}) = A\vec{x}$ . Since  $\mathbb{V} = \mathcal{S}pan\mathcal{B}$ , it follows that  $\mathcal{R}ng(\mathcal{T}) = \mathbb{V}$ . Notice that  $\left[T(\vec{x})\right]_{\mathcal{B}} = \vec{x}$ . Right?

Since  $\mathcal{B}$  is LI,  $A\vec{x} = \vec{0}$  iff  $\vec{x} = \vec{0}$ ,



Suppose  $\mathcal{B} = \{\vec{a}_1, \dots, \vec{a}_p\}$  is a LI set of vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $\mathbb{V} = \mathcal{S}pan\mathcal{B}$ . Then  $\mathcal{B}$  is a basis for  $\mathbb{V}$ .

In this setting, finding coord vectors  $[\vec{v}]_{\mathcal{B}}$  (for  $\vec{v}$  in  $\mathbb{V}$ ) is just the problem of solving  $A\vec{x} = \vec{v}$  where  $A = \begin{bmatrix} \vec{a}_1 & \vec{a}_2 \cdots \vec{a}_p \end{bmatrix}$ .

Given  $\vec{v}$  in  $\mathbb{V}$ ,  $[\vec{v}]_{\mathcal{B}}$  is the unique solution to  $A\vec{x} = \vec{v}$ .

Look at the LT  $\mathbb{R}^p \xrightarrow{T} \mathbb{R}^n$  given by  $T(\vec{x}) = A\vec{x}$ . Since  $\mathbb{V} = Span \mathcal{B}$ , it follows that  $\mathcal{R}ng(T) = \mathbb{V}$ . Notice that  $[T(\vec{x})]_{\mathcal{B}} = \vec{x}$ . Right?

Since  $\mathcal{B}$  is LI,  $A\vec{x} = \vec{0}$  iff  $\vec{x} = \vec{0}$ , so  $\mathcal{K}er(T) = {\vec{0}}$ .



Suppose  $\mathcal{B} = \{\vec{a}_1, \dots, \vec{a}_p\}$  is a LI set of vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $\mathbb{V} = \mathcal{S}pan\mathcal{B}$ . Then  $\mathcal{B}$  is a basis for  $\mathbb{V}$ .

In this setting, finding coord vectors  $[\vec{v}]_{\mathcal{B}}$  (for  $\vec{v}$  in  $\mathbb{V}$ ) is just the problem of solving  $A\vec{x} = \vec{v}$  where  $A = \begin{bmatrix} \vec{a}_1 & \vec{a}_2 \cdots \vec{a}_p \end{bmatrix}$ .

Given  $\vec{v}$  in  $\mathbb{V}$ ,  $[\vec{v}]_{\mathcal{B}}$  is the unique solution to  $A\vec{x} = \vec{v}$ .

Look at the LT  $\mathbb{R}^p \xrightarrow{T} \mathbb{R}^n$  given by  $T(\vec{x}) = A\vec{x}$ . Since  $\mathbb{V} = Span \mathcal{B}$ , it follows that  $\mathcal{R}ng(T) = \mathbb{V}$ . Notice that  $[T(\vec{x})]_{\mathcal{B}} = \vec{x}$ . Right?

Since  $\mathcal{B}$  is LI,  $A\vec{x} = \vec{0}$  iff  $\vec{x} = \vec{0}$ , so  $\mathcal{K}er(T) = \{\vec{0}\}$ . This means T is one-to-one, which says that  $\mathbb{R}^p \xrightarrow{T} \mathcal{R}ng(T) = \mathbb{V}$  has an inverse.



Suppose  $\mathcal{B} = \{\vec{a}_1, \dots, \vec{a}_p\}$  is a LI set of vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $\mathbb{V} = \mathcal{S}pan\mathcal{B}$ . Then  $\mathcal{B}$  is a basis for  $\mathbb{V}$ .

In this setting, finding coord vectors  $[\vec{v}]_{\mathcal{B}}$  (for  $\vec{v}$  in  $\mathbb{V}$ ) is just the problem of solving  $A\vec{x} = \vec{v}$  where  $A = \begin{bmatrix} \vec{a}_1 & \vec{a}_2 \cdots \vec{a}_p \end{bmatrix}$ .

Given  $\vec{v}$  in  $\mathbb{V}$ ,  $[\vec{v}]_{\mathcal{B}}$  is the unique solution to  $A\vec{x} = \vec{v}$ .

Look at the LT  $\mathbb{R}^p \xrightarrow{T} \mathbb{R}^n$  given by  $T(\vec{x}) = A\vec{x}$ . Since  $\mathbb{V} = \mathcal{S}pan\,\mathcal{B}$ , it follows that  $\mathcal{R}ng(T) = \mathbb{V}$ . Notice that  $\left[T(\vec{x})\right]_{\mathcal{B}} = \vec{x}$ . Right? Since  $\mathcal{B}$  is LI,  $A\vec{x} = \vec{0}$  iff  $\vec{x} = \vec{0}$ , so  $\mathcal{K}er(T) = \{\vec{0}\}$ . This means T is one-to-one, which says that  $\mathbb{R}^p \xrightarrow{T} \mathcal{R}ng(T) = \mathbb{V}$  has an inverse.

The inverse of  $\mathbb{R}^p \xrightarrow{T} \mathcal{R}ng(T) = \mathbb{V}$  is the  $\mathcal{B}$ -coord mapping  $\mathbb{V} \xrightarrow{[\cdot]_{\mathcal{B}}} \mathbb{R}^p$ .

←□▶ ←□▶ ←□▶ ←□▶ □ ● ♥

6 / 7

Section 4.4 Bases n Coords 1 March 2017

Again, let  $\mathcal{B} = \{\vec{a}_1, \dots, \vec{a}_p\}$  be a basis for a vector space  $\mathbb{V}$ . Then:

Again, let  $\mathcal{B} = \{\vec{a}_1, \dots, \vec{a}_p\}$  be a basis for a vector space  $\mathbb{V}$ . Then:

ullet for all  $ec{v},ec{w}$  in  $\mathbb{V}$ ,  $\left[ec{v}+ec{w}
ight]_{\mathcal{B}}=\left[ec{v}
ight]_{\mathcal{B}}+\left[ec{w}
ight]_{\mathcal{B}}$ 

Again, let  $\mathcal{B} = \{\vec{a}_1, \dots, \vec{a}_p\}$  be a basis for a vector space  $\mathbb{V}$ . Then:

- ullet for all  $ec{v},ec{w}$  in  $\mathbb{V}$ ,  $\left[ec{v}+ec{w}
  ight]_{\mathcal{B}}=\left[ec{v}
  ight]_{\mathcal{B}}+\left[ec{w}
  ight]_{\mathcal{B}}$
- ullet for all scalars s and all  $ec{v}$  in  $\mathbb{V}$ ,  $ig[sec{v}ig]_{\mathcal{B}}=sig[ec{v}ig]_{\mathcal{B}}$

Again, let  $\mathcal{B} = \{\vec{a}_1, \dots, \vec{a}_p\}$  be a basis for a vector space  $\mathbb{V}$ . Then:

- ullet for all  $ec{v},ec{w}$  in  $\mathbb{V}$ ,  $\left[ec{v}+ec{w}
  ight]_{\mathcal{B}}=\left[ec{v}
  ight]_{\mathcal{B}}+\left[ec{w}
  ight]_{\mathcal{B}}$
- ullet for all scalars s and all  $ec{v}$  in  $\mathbb{V}$ ,  $ig[sec{v}ig]_{\mathcal{B}}=sig[ec{v}ig]_{\mathcal{B}}$

This means that for any vectors  $\vec{v}_1, \ldots, \vec{v}_q$  in  $\mathbb{V}$ ,

Again, let  $\mathcal{B} = \{\vec{a}_1, \dots, \vec{a}_p\}$  be a basis for a vector space  $\mathbb{V}$ . Then:

- ullet for all  $ec{v},ec{w}$  in  $\mathbb{V}$ ,  $\left[ec{v}+ec{w}
  ight]_{\mathcal{B}}=\left[ec{v}
  ight]_{\mathcal{B}}+\left[ec{w}
  ight]_{\mathcal{B}}$
- ullet for all scalars s and all  $ec{v}$  in  $\mathbb{V}$ ,  $ig[sec{v}ig]_{\mathcal{B}}=sig[ec{v}ig]_{\mathcal{B}}$

This means that for any vectors  $\vec{v}_1, \ldots, \vec{v}_q$  in  $\mathbb{V}$ , the  $\mathcal{B}$ -coord vector for any LC of the  $\vec{v}_i$ 's if the same LC of the  $\mathcal{B}$ -coord vectors;

Again, let  $\mathcal{B} = \{\vec{a}_1, \dots, \vec{a}_p\}$  be a basis for a vector space  $\mathbb{V}$ . Then:

- ullet for all  $ec{v},ec{w}$  in  $\mathbb{V}$ ,  $\left[ec{v}+ec{w}
  ight]_{\mathcal{B}}=\left[ec{v}
  ight]_{\mathcal{B}}+\left[ec{w}
  ight]_{\mathcal{B}}$
- ullet for all scalars s and all  $ec{v}$  in  $\mathbb{V}$ ,  $ig[sec{v}ig]_{\mathcal{B}}=sig[ec{v}ig]_{\mathcal{B}}$

This means that for any vectors  $\vec{v}_1, \ldots, \vec{v}_q$  in  $\mathbb{V}$ , the  $\mathcal{B}$ -coord vector for any LC of the  $\vec{v}_i$ 's if the same LC of the  $\mathcal{B}$ -coord vectors; that is,

$$\left[\sum_{i=1}^q s_i \vec{v}_i\right]_{\mathcal{B}} = \sum_{i=1}^q s_i \left[\vec{v}_i\right]_{\mathcal{B}}.$$

Section 4.4 Bases n Coords

Again, let  $\mathcal{B} = \{\vec{a}_1, \dots, \vec{a}_p\}$  be a basis for a vector space  $\mathbb{V}$ . Then:

- ullet for all  $ec{v},ec{w}$  in  $\mathbb{V}$ ,  $\left[ec{v}+ec{w}
  ight]_{\mathcal{B}}=\left[ec{v}
  ight]_{\mathcal{B}}+\left[ec{w}
  ight]_{\mathcal{B}}$
- ullet for all scalars s and all  $ec{v}$  in  $\mathbb{V}$ ,  $ig[sec{v}ig]_{\mathcal{B}}=sig[ec{v}ig]_{\mathcal{B}}$

This means that for any vectors  $\vec{v}_1, \ldots, \vec{v}_q$  in  $\mathbb{V}$ , the  $\mathcal{B}$ -coord vector for any LC of the  $\vec{v}_i$ 's if the same LC of the  $\mathcal{B}$ -coord vectors; that is,

$$\left[\sum_{i=1}^{q} s_i \vec{v}_i\right]_{\mathcal{B}} = \sum_{i=1}^{q} s_i \left[\vec{v}_i\right]_{\mathcal{B}}.$$

This is also what tells us that the  $\mathcal{B}$ -coord mapping  $\mathbb{V} \xrightarrow{[\cdot]_{\mathcal{B}}} \mathbb{R}^p$  is a linear transformation.

- 4 ロ ト 4 @ ト 4 き ト 4 き - か 9 C C