Linear Independence and Bases

Linear Algebra MATH 2076



Linear Combinations

Suppose s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_p are scalars and $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \ldots, \vec{v}_p$ are vectors (all in the same vector space \mathbb{V}). We call

$$s_1\vec{v}_1+s_2\vec{v}_2+\cdots+s_p\vec{v}_p$$

a *linear combination* of the vectors $\vec{v_1}, \vec{v_2}, \dots, \vec{v_p}$. For example, we always have the *trivial* linear combination

$$0\cdot \vec{v_1} + 0\cdot \vec{v_2} + \cdots + 0\cdot \vec{v_p} = \vec{0}.$$

Here we want to know when there is a *non-trivial* LC of $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \ldots, \vec{v}_p$ that equals $\vec{0}$. This means that $s_1\vec{v}_1 + s_2\vec{v}_2 + \cdots + s_p\vec{v}_p = \vec{0}$, and some scalar $s_j \neq 0$.

Definition

The vectors $\vec{v_1}, \vec{v_2}, \ldots, \vec{v_p}$ are *linearly dependent* if there is a *non-trivial* LC of them that equals $\vec{0}$: that is, if there are scalars s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_p so that

$$s_1 \vec{v}_1 + s_2 \vec{v}_2 + \dots + s_p \vec{v}_p = \vec{0},$$

and (at least) one of the scalars is non-zero.

Linearly dependent vectors carry redundant information.

Definition

Vectors that are *not* LD are said to be *linearly independent*.

Linearly independent vectors carry NO redundant information.

Linear Independence

Definition

Vectors that are *not* LD are said to be *linearly independent*.

How do we show linear independence?

For vectors in a Euclidean space, we can form a matrix A and determine whether or not there are non-trivial solutions to $A\vec{x} = \vec{0}$. Review the Invertible Matrix Theorem!

But what about more general vectors $\vec{v}_1, \ldots, \vec{v}_p$? (For example, if the \vec{v}_i are all functions?)

Here we must decide whether or not

$$s_1\vec{v_1}+\cdots+s_p\vec{v_p}=\vec{0}\implies s_1=\cdots=s_p=0.$$

Example—vectors in $\mathbb F$

Recall that $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}(\mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}) = \{ \text{all } f \text{ with } \mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{R} \}$ is a vector space with the usual ways of adding and multiplying by scalars.

Here we examine various pairs (and triples) of vectors in \mathbb{F} .

Look at **cos** and **sin**. Are these LD or LI? Is there a *non-trivial* LC $a \cos + b \sin t$ that equals zero (the zero function), or does $a \cos + b \sin = 0 \implies a = 0 = b$?

What does $a\cos + b\sin = 0$ even mean? Here, of course, a and b are scalars. What is **0**? It is the *zero function*, right?

So, $a\cos + b\sin = 0$ means that for all t,

$$a\cos(t)+b\sin(t)=0.$$

Let's plug in some values for t. Try t = 0 and then $t = \pi/2$. We get

 $a \cdot 1 + b \cdot 0 = 0$, so a = 0 and then $a \cdot 0 + b \cdot 1 = 0$, so b = 0.

Therefore, $a \cos + b \sin = 0 \implies a = 0 = b$; so \cos , sin are LI.

Example—vectors in $\mathbb F$

Now look at exp and exp^{-1} ; these are the functions given by

$$\exp(t) = e^t$$
 and $\exp^{-1}(t) = e^{-t}$

Are these LD or LI?

Is there a *non-trivial* LC $a \exp + b \exp^{-1}$ that equals zero, or does $a \exp + b \exp^{-1} = \mathbf{0} \implies a = 0 = b$?

Again, $a \exp + b \exp^{-1} = \mathbf{0}$ means that for all t,

$$a e^t + b e^{-t} = 0.$$

Can plug in values for t. Try t = 0 and then $t = \ln 2$. We get

$$a+b=0$$
 and then $2a+rac{1}{2}b=0.$

Can show this SLE has unique soln a = 0 = b; so exp, exp^{-1} are LI. Here's alternative method. Start with

 $a e^{t} + b e^{-t} = 0$ and then differentiate to get $a e^{t} - b e^{-t} = 0$. Now easy to see that a = 0 = b; so exp, exp^{-1} are LI.

Which of these are linearly independent?

$$\cos^{2}(t), \sin^{2}(t)$$

$$\cos^{2}(t), \sin^{2}(t), \mathbf{1}$$

$$\cos^{2}(t), \sin^{2}(t), \cos(2t)$$

$$e^{t}, t e^{t}$$

$$\sqrt{t}, t \sqrt{t}, t^{2} \sqrt{t}$$

$$\frac{1}{t-1}, \frac{1}{t+1}$$

$$\frac{1}{t-1}, \frac{1}{t+1}, \frac{1}{t^{2}-1}$$

Bases for Vector Spaces

Let $\ensuremath{\mathbb{V}}$ be a vector space.

Definition

A set of vectors $\mathcal{B} = \{\vec{v_1}, \dots, \vec{v_p}\}$ is called a *basis* for $\mathbb V$ if and only if

• ${\mathcal B}$ is linearly independent, and

•
$$\mathcal{B}$$
 spans \mathbb{V} (i.e., $\mathbb{V} = Span(\mathcal{B})$).

Example (Standard Basis for \mathbb{R}^n)

The set $S = \{\vec{e_1}, \ldots, \vec{e_n}\}$ is the *standard basis* for \mathbb{R}^n .

Here, as usual,

$$\vec{e}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1\\0\\\vdots\\0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \vec{e}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0\\1\\\vdots\\0 \end{bmatrix}, \dots, \quad \vec{e}_n = \begin{bmatrix} 0\\0\\\vdots\\1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \vec{x} = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \vec{e}_i.$$

Basis for \mathbb{P}_n

Recall that \mathbb{P}_n is the vector space of all polynomials of degree n or less.

Example (Standard Basis for \mathbb{P}_n)

The set $\mathcal{P} = \{\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{t}, \mathbf{t}^2, \dots, \mathbf{t}^n\}$ is the *standard basis* for \mathbb{P}_n .

Here t^i denotes the function that satisfies

for all real numbers t, $t^{i}(t) = t^{i}$.

We know that each poly \boldsymbol{p} in \mathbb{P}_n is a function of the form

$$\boldsymbol{p}(t)=c_0+c_1t+c_2t^2+\cdots+c_nt^n,$$

which means that

$$\boldsymbol{p} = c_0 \boldsymbol{1} + c_1 \boldsymbol{t} + c_2 \boldsymbol{t}^2 + \cdots + c_n \boldsymbol{t}^n$$

so \mathcal{P} does indeed span \mathbb{P}_n , right? Why is \mathcal{P} LI?

Let \mathbb{V} be a vector space. The following are equivalent:

- \mathcal{B} is a basis for \mathbb{V} .
- \mathcal{B} is a minimal spanning set for \mathbb{V} .
- \mathcal{B} is a maximal linearly independent set in \mathbb{V} .