

Linear Independence

Linear Algebra
MATH 2076



Linear Combinations

Linear Combinations

Suppose s_1, s_2, \dots, s_p are scalars and $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_p$ are vectors (all in the same space \mathbb{R}^n).

Linear Combinations

Suppose s_1, s_2, \dots, s_p are scalars and $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_p$ are vectors (all in the same space \mathbb{R}^n). We call

$$s_1 \vec{v}_1 + s_2 \vec{v}_2 + \cdots + s_p \vec{v}_p$$

a *linear combination* of the vectors $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_p$.

Linear Combinations

Suppose s_1, s_2, \dots, s_p are scalars and $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_p$ are vectors (all in the same space \mathbb{R}^n). We call

$$s_1 \vec{v}_1 + s_2 \vec{v}_2 + \cdots + s_p \vec{v}_p$$

a *linear combination* of the vectors $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_p$. For example, we always have the *trivial* linear combination

$$0 \cdot \vec{v}_1 + 0 \cdot \vec{v}_2 + \cdots + 0 \cdot \vec{v}_p = \vec{0}.$$

Linear Combinations

Suppose s_1, s_2, \dots, s_p are scalars and $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_p$ are vectors (all in the same space \mathbb{R}^n). We call

$$s_1 \vec{v}_1 + s_2 \vec{v}_2 + \dots + s_p \vec{v}_p$$

a *linear combination* of the vectors $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_p$. For example, we always have the *trivial* linear combination

$$0 \cdot \vec{v}_1 + 0 \cdot \vec{v}_2 + \dots + 0 \cdot \vec{v}_p = \vec{0}.$$

The *span* of $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_p$,

$$\text{Span}\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_p\}.$$

is the set of *all* LCs of $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_p$.

Linear Combinations

Suppose s_1, s_2, \dots, s_p are scalars and $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_p$ are vectors (all in the same space \mathbb{R}^n). We call

$$s_1 \vec{v}_1 + s_2 \vec{v}_2 + \cdots + s_p \vec{v}_p$$

a *linear combination* of the vectors $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_p$. For example, we always have the *trivial* linear combination

$$0 \cdot \vec{v}_1 + 0 \cdot \vec{v}_2 + \cdots + 0 \cdot \vec{v}_p = \vec{0}.$$

We also want to know when there is a *non-trivial* LC of $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_p$ that equals $\vec{0}$.

Linear Combinations

Suppose s_1, s_2, \dots, s_p are scalars and $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_p$ are vectors (all in the same space \mathbb{R}^n). We call

$$s_1 \vec{v}_1 + s_2 \vec{v}_2 + \cdots + s_p \vec{v}_p$$

a *linear combination* of the vectors $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_p$. For example, we always have the *trivial* linear combination

$$0 \cdot \vec{v}_1 + 0 \cdot \vec{v}_2 + \cdots + 0 \cdot \vec{v}_p = \vec{0}.$$

We also want to know when there is a *non-trivial* LC of $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_p$ that equals $\vec{0}$. So, $s_1 \vec{v}_1 + s_2 \vec{v}_2 + \cdots + s_p \vec{v}_p = \vec{0}$,

Linear Combinations

Suppose s_1, s_2, \dots, s_p are scalars and $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_p$ are vectors (all in the same space \mathbb{R}^n). We call

$$s_1 \vec{v}_1 + s_2 \vec{v}_2 + \cdots + s_p \vec{v}_p$$

a *linear combination* of the vectors $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_p$. For example, we always have the *trivial* linear combination

$$0 \cdot \vec{v}_1 + 0 \cdot \vec{v}_2 + \cdots + 0 \cdot \vec{v}_p = \vec{0}.$$

We also want to know when there is a *non-trivial* LC of $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_p$ that equals $\vec{0}$. So, $s_1 \vec{v}_1 + s_2 \vec{v}_2 + \cdots + s_p \vec{v}_p = \vec{0}$, and some scalar $s_j \neq 0$.

The span of $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_p$

The span of $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_p$

$$\text{Span}\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_p\} = \{\vec{w} \mid \vec{w} = s_1\vec{v}_1 + s_2\vec{v}_2 + \dots + s_p\vec{v}_p\}$$

The span of $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_p$

$$\text{Span}\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_p\} = \{\vec{w} \mid \vec{w} = s_1\vec{v}_1 + s_2\vec{v}_2 + \dots + s_p\vec{v}_p\}$$

The *span* of a single vector is a line.

The span of $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_p$

$$\text{Span}\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_p\} = \{\vec{w} \mid \vec{w} = s_1\vec{v}_1 + s_2\vec{v}_2 + \dots + s_p\vec{v}_p\}$$

The *span* of a single vector is a line. Except when this is not true. 😊

The span of $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_p$

$$\text{Span}\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_p\} = \{\vec{w} \mid \vec{w} = s_1\vec{v}_1 + s_2\vec{v}_2 + \dots + s_p\vec{v}_p\}$$

The *span* of a single vector is a line. Except when this is not true. 😊

The *span* of a two vectors is:

The span of $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_p$

$$\text{Span}\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_p\} = \{\vec{w} \mid \vec{w} = s_1\vec{v}_1 + s_2\vec{v}_2 + \dots + s_p\vec{v}_p\}$$

The *span* of a single vector is a line. Except when this is not true. 😊

The *span* of a two vectors is:

- a line if the two vectors are parallel

The span of $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_p$

$$\text{Span}\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_p\} = \{\vec{w} \mid \vec{w} = s_1\vec{v}_1 + s_2\vec{v}_2 + \dots + s_p\vec{v}_p\}$$

The *span* of a single vector is a line. Except when this is not true. 😊

The *span* of a two vectors is:

- a line if the two vectors are parallel
- a plane if the two vectors are not parallel

The span of $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_p$

$$\text{Span}\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_p\} = \{\vec{w} \mid \vec{w} = s_1\vec{v}_1 + s_2\vec{v}_2 + \dots + s_p\vec{v}_p\}$$

The *span* of a single vector is a line. Except when this is not true. 😊

The *span* of a two vectors is:

- a line if the two vectors are parallel
- a plane if the two vectors are not parallel
- except when this is not true 😊

The span of $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_p$

$$\text{Span}\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_p\} = \{\vec{w} \mid \vec{w} = s_1\vec{v}_1 + s_2\vec{v}_2 + \dots + s_p\vec{v}_p\}$$

The *span* of a single vector is a line. Except when this is not true. 😊

The *span* of a two vectors is:

- a line if the two vectors are parallel
- a plane if the two vectors are not parallel
- except when this is not true 😊

What “is” the span of 7 vectors?

The span of $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_p$

$$\text{Span}\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_p\} = \{\vec{w} \mid \vec{w} = s_1\vec{v}_1 + s_2\vec{v}_2 + \dots + s_p\vec{v}_p\}$$

The *span* of a single vector is a line. Except when this is not true. 😊

The *span* of a two vectors is:

- a line if the two vectors are parallel
- a plane if the two vectors are not parallel
- except when this is not true 😊

What “is” the span of 7 vectors?

It depends. . . .

Linear Dependence

Linear Dependence

The vectors $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_p$ are *linearly dependent* if there is a *non-trivial* LC of them that equals $\vec{0}$:

Linear Dependence

The vectors $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_p$ are *linearly dependent* if there is a *non-trivial* LC of them that equals $\vec{0}$: that is, if there are scalars s_1, s_2, \dots, s_p so that

$$s_1 \vec{v}_1 + s_2 \vec{v}_2 + \cdots + s_p \vec{v}_p = \vec{0},$$

Linear Dependence

The vectors $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_p$ are *linearly dependent* if there is a *non-trivial* LC of them that equals $\vec{0}$: that is, if there are scalars s_1, s_2, \dots, s_p so that

$$s_1\vec{v}_1 + s_2\vec{v}_2 + \cdots + s_p\vec{v}_p = \vec{0},$$

and (at least) one of the scalars is *non-zero*.

Linear Dependence

The vectors $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_p$ are *linearly dependent* if there is a *non-trivial* LC of them that equals $\vec{0}$: that is, if there are scalars s_1, s_2, \dots, s_p so that

$$s_1\vec{v}_1 + s_2\vec{v}_2 + \cdots + s_p\vec{v}_p = \vec{0},$$

and (at least) one of the scalars is *non-zero*.

Linearly dependent vectors carry redundant information.

Linear Dependence

The vectors $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_p$ are *linearly dependent* if there is a *non-trivial* LC of them that equals $\vec{0}$: that is, if there are scalars s_1, s_2, \dots, s_p so that

$$s_1\vec{v}_1 + s_2\vec{v}_2 + \cdots + s_p\vec{v}_p = \vec{0},$$

and (at least) one of the scalars is *non-zero*.

When is a single vector \vec{v} LD ? (good quiz question!)

Linear Dependence

The vectors $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_p$ are *linearly dependent* if there is a *non-trivial* LC of them that equals $\vec{0}$: that is, if there are scalars s_1, s_2, \dots, s_p so that

$$s_1 \vec{v}_1 + s_2 \vec{v}_2 + \dots + s_p \vec{v}_p = \vec{0},$$

and (at least) one of the scalars is *non-zero*.

When is a pair of vectors \vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2 LD ?

Linear Dependence

The vectors $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_p$ are *linearly dependent* if there is a *non-trivial* LC of them that equals $\vec{0}$: that is, if there are scalars s_1, s_2, \dots, s_p so that

$$s_1\vec{v}_1 + s_2\vec{v}_2 + \cdots + s_p\vec{v}_p = \vec{0},$$

and (at least) one of the scalars is *non-zero*.

Vectors that are *not* LD are said to be *linearly independent*.

Linear Dependence

The vectors $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_p$ are *linearly dependent* if there is a *non-trivial* LC of them that equals $\vec{0}$: that is, if there are scalars s_1, s_2, \dots, s_p so that

$$s_1\vec{v}_1 + s_2\vec{v}_2 + \dots + s_p\vec{v}_p = \vec{0},$$

and (at least) one of the scalars is *non-zero*.

Vectors that are *not* LD are said to be *linearly independent*. Linearly independent vectors carry NO redundant information.

Example—three vectors in \mathbb{R}^3

Example—three vectors in \mathbb{R}^3

Are the vectors $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$, $\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$, $\begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}$ LD or LI?

Example—three vectors in \mathbb{R}^3

Are the vectors $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$, $\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$, $\begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}$ LD or LI?

Notice that $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}$.

Example—three vectors in \mathbb{R}^3

Are the vectors $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$, $\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$, $\begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}$ LD or LI?

Notice that $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}$.

Thus $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix} = \vec{0}$.

Example—three vectors in \mathbb{R}^3

Are the vectors $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$, $\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$, $\begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}$ LD or LI?

Notice that $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}$.

Thus $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix} = \vec{0}$.

So the three vectors are LD.

Example—three vectors in \mathbb{R}^3

Are the vectors $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$, $\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$, $\begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}$ LD or LI?

Notice that $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}$.

Thus $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix} = \vec{0}$.

So the three vectors are LD.

This means that

$$\text{Span}\left\{\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}\right\} = \text{Span}\left\{\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}\right\}$$

which is a plane in \mathbb{R}^3 .

Example—three vectors in \mathbb{R}^3

Example—three vectors in \mathbb{R}^3

Are the vectors $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$, $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$, $\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \\ 7 \end{bmatrix}$ LD or LI?

Example—three vectors in \mathbb{R}^3

Are the vectors $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$, $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$, $\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \\ 7 \end{bmatrix}$ LD or LI?

$$\text{Notice that } 2 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + 5 \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \\ 7 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Example—three vectors in \mathbb{R}^3

Are the vectors $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$, $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$, $\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \\ 7 \end{bmatrix}$ LD or LI?

Notice that $2 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + 5 \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \\ 7 \end{bmatrix}$.

Thus $2 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + 5 \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \\ 7 \end{bmatrix} = \vec{0}$.

Example—three vectors in \mathbb{R}^3

Are the vectors $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$, $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$, $\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \\ 7 \end{bmatrix}$ LD or LI?

Notice that $2 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + 5 \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \\ 7 \end{bmatrix}$.

Thus $2 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + 5 \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \\ 7 \end{bmatrix} = \vec{0}$.

So the three vectors are LD.

Example—three vectors in \mathbb{R}^3

Are the vectors $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$, $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$, $\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \\ 7 \end{bmatrix}$ LD or LI?

Notice that $2 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + 5 \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \\ 7 \end{bmatrix}$.

Thus $2 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + 5 \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \\ 7 \end{bmatrix} = \vec{0}$.

So the three vectors are LD.

This means that

$$\text{Span} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \\ 7 \end{bmatrix} \right\} = \text{Span} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$

which is a plane in \mathbb{R}^3 .

Example—three vectors in \mathbb{R}^3

Example—three vectors in \mathbb{R}^3

Are the vectors $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$, $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$, $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ LD or LI?

Example—three vectors in \mathbb{R}^3

Are the vectors $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$, $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$, $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ LD or LI?

Notice that $-\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$.

Example—three vectors in \mathbb{R}^3

Are the vectors $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$, $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$, $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ LD or LI?

Notice that $-\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$.

Thus $-\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \vec{0}$.

Example—three vectors in \mathbb{R}^3

Are the vectors $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$, $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$, $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ LD or LI?

Notice that $-\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$.

Thus $-\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \vec{0}$.

So the three vectors are LD.

Example—three vectors in \mathbb{R}^3

Are the vectors $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$, $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$, $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ LD or LI?

Notice that $-\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$.

Thus $-\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \vec{0}$.

So the three vectors are LD.

This means that

$$\text{Span}\left\{\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}\right\} = \text{Span}\left\{\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}\right\}$$

which is a plane in \mathbb{R}^3 .

Example—three vectors in \mathbb{R}^4

Example—three vectors in \mathbb{R}^4

Are the vectors $\vec{v}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$, $\vec{v}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$, $\vec{v}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ -1 \\ 6 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ LD or LI?

Example—three vectors in \mathbb{R}^4

Are the vectors $\vec{v}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$, $\vec{v}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$, $\vec{v}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ -1 \\ 6 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ LD or LI?

Easy to see that $\vec{v}_3 = 3\vec{v}_1 - \vec{v}_2$,

Example—three vectors in \mathbb{R}^4

Are the vectors $\vec{v}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$, $\vec{v}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$, $\vec{v}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ -1 \\ 6 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ LD or LI?

Easy to see that $\vec{v}_3 = 3\vec{v}_1 - \vec{v}_2$, so $3\vec{v}_1 - \vec{v}_2 - \vec{v}_3 = \vec{0}$ and therefore $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \vec{v}_3$ are LD.

Example—three vectors in \mathbb{R}^4

Are the vectors $\vec{v}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$, $\vec{v}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$, $\vec{v}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ -1 \\ 6 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ LD or LI?

Easy to see that $\vec{v}_3 = 3\vec{v}_1 - \vec{v}_2$, so $3\vec{v}_1 - \vec{v}_2 - \vec{v}_3 = \vec{0}$ and therefore $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \vec{v}_3$ are LD. Also, $\text{Span}\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \vec{v}_3\} = \text{Span}\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2\}$ which is a 2-plane in \mathbb{R}^4 .

Example—three vectors in \mathbb{R}^4

Are the vectors $\vec{v}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$, $\vec{v}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$, $\vec{v}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ -1 \\ 6 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ LD or LI?

Easy to see that $\vec{v}_3 = 3\vec{v}_1 - \vec{v}_2$, so $3\vec{v}_1 - \vec{v}_2 - \vec{v}_3 = \vec{0}$ and therefore $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \vec{v}_3$ are LD. Also, $\text{Span}\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \vec{v}_3\} = \text{Span}\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2\}$ which is a 2-plane in \mathbb{R}^4 .

But what if we had five (or fifteen) vectors?

Example—three vectors in \mathbb{R}^4

Are the vectors $\vec{v}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$, $\vec{v}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$, $\vec{v}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ -1 \\ 6 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ LD or LI?

Easy to see that $\vec{v}_3 = 3\vec{v}_1 - \vec{v}_2$, so $3\vec{v}_1 - \vec{v}_2 - \vec{v}_3 = \vec{0}$ and therefore $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \vec{v}_3$ are LD. Also, $\text{Span}\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \vec{v}_3\} = \text{Span}\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2\}$ which is a 2-plane in \mathbb{R}^4 .

But what if we had five (or fifteen) vectors?

Let $A = [\vec{v}_1 \ \vec{v}_2 \ \vec{v}_3]$ be the matrix with columns given by $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \vec{v}_3$.

Example—three vectors in \mathbb{R}^4

Are the vectors $\vec{v}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$, $\vec{v}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$, $\vec{v}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ -1 \\ 6 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ LD or LI?

Easy to see that $\vec{v}_3 = 3\vec{v}_1 - \vec{v}_2$, so $3\vec{v}_1 - \vec{v}_2 - \vec{v}_3 = \vec{0}$ and therefore $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \vec{v}_3$ are LD. Also, $\text{Span}\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \vec{v}_3\} = \text{Span}\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2\}$ which is a 2-plane in \mathbb{R}^4 .

But what if we had five (or fifteen) vectors?

Let $A = [\vec{v}_1 \ \vec{v}_2 \ \vec{v}_3]$ be the matrix with columns given by $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \vec{v}_3$. Recall that $A\vec{x}$ is the LC of the columns of A given by

$$A\vec{x} = A \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix} = x_1\vec{v}_1 + x_2\vec{v}_2 + x_3\vec{v}_3.$$

Example—three vectors in \mathbb{R}^4

Are the vectors $\vec{v}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$, $\vec{v}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$, $\vec{v}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ -1 \\ 6 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ LD or LI?

Easy to see that $\vec{v}_3 = 3\vec{v}_1 - \vec{v}_2$, so $3\vec{v}_1 - \vec{v}_2 - \vec{v}_3 = \vec{0}$ and therefore $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \vec{v}_3$ are LD. Also, $\text{Span}\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \vec{v}_3\} = \text{Span}\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2\}$ which is a 2-plane in \mathbb{R}^4 .

But what if we had five (or fifteen) vectors?

Let $A = [\vec{v}_1 \ \vec{v}_2 \ \vec{v}_3]$ be the matrix with columns given by $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \vec{v}_3$. Recall that $A\vec{x}$ is the LC of the columns of A given by

$$A\vec{x} = A \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix} = x_1\vec{v}_1 + x_2\vec{v}_2 + x_3\vec{v}_3.$$

See that there is a *non-trivial* LC $x_1\vec{v}_1 + x_2\vec{v}_2 + x_3\vec{v}_3 = \vec{0}$ if and only if

Example—three vectors in \mathbb{R}^4

Are the vectors $\vec{v}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$, $\vec{v}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$, $\vec{v}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ -1 \\ 6 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ LD or LI?

Easy to see that $\vec{v}_3 = 3\vec{v}_1 - \vec{v}_2$, so $3\vec{v}_1 - \vec{v}_2 - \vec{v}_3 = \vec{0}$ and therefore $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \vec{v}_3$ are LD. Also, $\text{Span}\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \vec{v}_3\} = \text{Span}\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2\}$ which is a 2-plane in \mathbb{R}^4 .

But what if we had five (or fifteen) vectors?

Let $A = [\vec{v}_1 \ \vec{v}_2 \ \vec{v}_3]$ be the matrix with columns given by $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \vec{v}_3$. Recall that $A\vec{x}$ is the LC of the columns of A given by

$$A\vec{x} = A \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix} = x_1\vec{v}_1 + x_2\vec{v}_2 + x_3\vec{v}_3.$$

See that there is a *non-trivial* LC $x_1\vec{v}_1 + x_2\vec{v}_2 + x_3\vec{v}_3 = \vec{0}$ if and only if there is a non-trivial (i.e., non-zero) solution to $A\vec{x} = \vec{0}$.

Example—three vectors in \mathbb{R}^4 (continued)

We row reduce A to get

Example—three vectors in \mathbb{R}^4 (continued)

We row reduce A to get

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 2 & 0 & 6 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Example—three vectors in \mathbb{R}^4 (continued)

We row reduce A to get

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 2 & 0 & 6 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\substack{R_3 - 2R_1 \\ R_4 - R_1}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & -2 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Example—three vectors in \mathbb{R}^4 (continued)

We row reduce A to get

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 2 & 0 & 6 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow[\begin{smallmatrix} R_4 - R_1 \end{smallmatrix}]{\begin{smallmatrix} R_3 - 2 * R_1 \end{smallmatrix}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & -2 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow[\begin{smallmatrix} R_4 - R_2 \end{smallmatrix}]{\begin{smallmatrix} R_3 + 2 * R_2 \end{smallmatrix}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Example—three vectors in \mathbb{R}^4 (continued)

We row reduce A to get

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 2 & 0 & 6 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow[\underline{R_4 - R_1}]{\underline{R_3 - 2 * R_1}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & -2 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow[\underline{R_4 - R_2}]{\underline{R_3 + 2 * R_2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Since the last column has no row leader, we know that x_3 is a free variable.

Example—three vectors in \mathbb{R}^4 (continued)

We row reduce A to get

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 2 & 0 & 6 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow[\xrightarrow{R_4 - R_1}]{\xrightarrow{R_3 - 2 * R_1}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & -2 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow[\xrightarrow{R_4 - R_2}]{\xrightarrow{R_3 + 2 * R_2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Since the last column has no row leader, we know that x_3 is a free variable. Thus $x_3 = t$ (with t any scalar),

Example—three vectors in \mathbb{R}^4 (continued)

We row reduce A to get

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 2 & 0 & 6 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow[\begin{smallmatrix} R_4 - R_1 \end{smallmatrix}]{\begin{smallmatrix} R_3 - 2R_1 \end{smallmatrix}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & -2 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow[\begin{smallmatrix} R_4 - R_2 \end{smallmatrix}]{\begin{smallmatrix} R_3 + 2R_2 \end{smallmatrix}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Since the last column has no row leader, we know that x_3 is a free variable. Thus $x_3 = t$ (with t any scalar), $x_2 = t$ and

Example—three vectors in \mathbb{R}^4 (continued)

We row reduce A to get

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 2 & 0 & 6 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow[\underline{R_4 - R_1}]{\underline{R_3 - 2 * R_1}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & -2 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow[\underline{R_4 - R_2}]{\underline{R_3 + 2 * R_2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Since the last column has no row leader, we know that x_3 is a free variable. Thus $x_3 = t$ (with t any scalar), $x_2 = t$ and $x_1 = -3t$.

Example—three vectors in \mathbb{R}^4 (continued)

We row reduce A to get

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 2 & 0 & 6 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow[\underline{R_4 - R_1}]{\underline{R_3 - 2 * R_1}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & -2 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow[\underline{R_4 - R_2}]{\underline{R_3 + 2 * R_2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Since the last column has no row leader, we know that x_3 is a free variable. Thus $x_3 = t$ (with t any scalar), $x_2 = t$ and $x_1 = -3t$.

There are infinitely many solutions to $A\vec{x} = \vec{0}$, and all but one of these is non-trivial.

Example—three vectors in \mathbb{R}^4 (continued)

We row reduce A to get

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 2 & 0 & 6 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow[\underline{R_4 - R_1}]{\underline{R_3 - 2*R_1}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & -2 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow[\underline{R_4 - R_2}]{\underline{R_3 + 2*R_2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Since the last column has no row leader, we know that x_3 is a free variable. Thus $x_3 = t$ (with t any scalar), $x_2 = t$ and $x_1 = -3t$.

There are infinitely many solutions to $A\vec{x} = \vec{0}$, and all but one of these is non-trivial. Thus, there are infinitely many non-trivial LCs $s_1\vec{v}_1 + s_2\vec{v}_2 + s_3\vec{v}_3 = \vec{0}$.

Linearly Dependent Vectors

Linearly Dependent Vectors

Suppose the vectors \vec{v} , \vec{w} are LD. This means

Linearly Dependent Vectors

Suppose the vectors \vec{v} , \vec{w} are LD. This means there are scalars s , t such that $s\vec{v} + t\vec{w} = \vec{0}$ and

Linearly Dependent Vectors

Suppose the vectors \vec{v}, \vec{w} are LD. This means there are scalars s, t such that $s\vec{v} + t\vec{w} = \vec{0}$ and s, t are NOT both zero. Assume $s \neq 0$.

Linearly Dependent Vectors

Suppose the vectors \vec{v} , \vec{w} are LD. This means there are scalars s , t such that $s\vec{v} + t\vec{w} = \vec{0}$ and s , t are NOT both zero. Assume $s \neq 0$.

Then we can write $\vec{v} = -(t/s)\vec{w}$.

Linearly Dependent Vectors

Suppose the vectors \vec{v}, \vec{w} are LD. This means there are scalars s, t such that $s\vec{v} + t\vec{w} = \vec{0}$ and s, t are NOT both zero. Assume $s \neq 0$.

Then we can write $\vec{v} = -(t/s)\vec{w}$. Thus \vec{v} is a scalar multiple of \vec{w} ; i.e.,

Linearly Dependent Vectors

Suppose the vectors \vec{v}, \vec{w} are LD. This means there are scalars s, t such that $s\vec{v} + t\vec{w} = \vec{0}$ and s, t are NOT both zero. Assume $s \neq 0$.

Then we can write $\vec{v} = -(t/s)\vec{w}$. Thus \vec{v} is a scalar multiple of \vec{w} ; i.e., \vec{v} is a LC of \vec{w} .

Linearly Dependent Vectors

Suppose the vectors \vec{v}, \vec{w} are LD. This means there are scalars s, t such that $s\vec{v} + t\vec{w} = \vec{0}$ and s, t are NOT both zero. Assume $s \neq 0$.

Then we can write $\vec{v} = -(t/s)\vec{w}$. Thus \vec{v} is a scalar multiple of \vec{w} ; i.e., \vec{v} is a LC of \vec{w} .

Suppose \vec{v}_1 is a LC of $\vec{v}_2, \vec{v}_3, \dots, \vec{v}_p$. This means

Linearly Dependent Vectors

Suppose the vectors \vec{v}, \vec{w} are LD. This means there are scalars s, t such that $s\vec{v} + t\vec{w} = \vec{0}$ and s, t are NOT both zero. Assume $s \neq 0$.

Then we can write $\vec{v} = -(t/s)\vec{w}$. Thus \vec{v} is a scalar multiple of \vec{w} ; i.e., \vec{v} is a LC of \vec{w} .

Suppose \vec{v}_1 is a LC of $\vec{v}_2, \vec{v}_3, \dots, \vec{v}_p$. This means there are scalars s_2, s_3, \dots, s_p so that

$$\vec{v}_1 = s_2\vec{v}_2 + s_3\vec{v}_3 + \cdots + s_p\vec{v}_p.$$

Linearly Dependent Vectors

Suppose the vectors \vec{v} , \vec{w} are LD. This means there are scalars s , t such that $s\vec{v} + t\vec{w} = \vec{0}$ and s , t are NOT both zero. Assume $s \neq 0$.

Then we can write $\vec{v} = -(t/s)\vec{w}$. Thus \vec{v} is a scalar multiple of \vec{w} ; i.e., \vec{v} is a LC of \vec{w} .

Suppose \vec{v}_1 is a LC of $\vec{v}_2, \vec{v}_3, \dots, \vec{v}_p$. This means there are scalars s_2, s_3, \dots, s_p so that

$$\vec{v}_1 = s_2\vec{v}_2 + s_3\vec{v}_3 + \dots + s_p\vec{v}_p.$$

Then

$$\vec{v}_1 - (s_2\vec{v}_2 + s_3\vec{v}_3 + \dots + s_p\vec{v}_p) = \vec{0}.$$

so we see that $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \vec{v}_3, \dots, \vec{v}_p$ are LD.

Linearly Dependent Vectors

Suppose the vectors \vec{v} , \vec{w} are LD. This means there are scalars s , t such that $s\vec{v} + t\vec{w} = \vec{0}$ and s , t are NOT both zero. Assume $s \neq 0$.

Then we can write $\vec{v} = -(t/s)\vec{w}$. Thus \vec{v} is a scalar multiple of \vec{w} ; i.e., \vec{v} is a LC of \vec{w} .

Suppose \vec{v}_1 is a LC of $\vec{v}_2, \vec{v}_3, \dots, \vec{v}_p$. This means there are scalars s_2, s_3, \dots, s_p so that

$$\vec{v}_1 = s_2\vec{v}_2 + s_3\vec{v}_3 + \dots + s_p\vec{v}_p.$$

Then

$$\vec{v}_1 - (s_2\vec{v}_2 + s_3\vec{v}_3 + \dots + s_p\vec{v}_p) = \vec{0}.$$

so we see that $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \vec{v}_3, \dots, \vec{v}_p$ are LD.

If some \vec{v}_j is an LC of the other vectors, then $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \vec{v}_3, \dots, \vec{v}_p$ are LD.

Linearly Dependent Vectors

Suppose the vectors \vec{v} , \vec{w} are LD. This means there are scalars s , t such that $s\vec{v} + t\vec{w} = \vec{0}$ and s , t are NOT both zero. Assume $s \neq 0$.

Then we can write $\vec{v} = -(t/s)\vec{w}$. Thus \vec{v} is a scalar multiple of \vec{w} ; i.e., \vec{v} is a LC of \vec{w} .

Suppose \vec{v}_1 is a LC of $\vec{v}_2, \vec{v}_3, \dots, \vec{v}_p$. This means there are scalars s_2, s_3, \dots, s_p so that

$$\vec{v}_1 = s_2\vec{v}_2 + s_3\vec{v}_3 + \dots + s_p\vec{v}_p.$$

Then

$$\vec{v}_1 - (s_2\vec{v}_2 + s_3\vec{v}_3 + \dots + s_p\vec{v}_p) = \vec{0}.$$

so we see that $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \vec{v}_3, \dots, \vec{v}_p$ are LD.

If some \vec{v}_j is an LC of the other vectors, then $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \vec{v}_3, \dots, \vec{v}_p$ are LD.
Converse true too!

A useful Fact—“too many” vectors are always LD

A useful Fact—“too many” vectors are always LD

If $p > n$, then any set of p vectors in \mathbb{R}^n is LD.

A useful Fact—“too many” vectors are always LD

If $p > n$, then any set of p vectors in \mathbb{R}^n is LD.

Any 5 vectors in \mathbb{R}^4 are LD.

A useful Fact—“too many” vectors are always LD

If $p > n$, then any set of p vectors in \mathbb{R}^n is LD.

Any 5 vectors in \mathbb{R}^4 are LD. Any 11 vectors in \mathbb{R}^7 are LD.

A useful Fact—“too many” vectors are always LD

If $p > n$, then any set of p vectors in \mathbb{R}^n is LD.

Any 5 vectors in \mathbb{R}^4 are LD. Any 11 vectors in \mathbb{R}^7 are LD.

Why?

A useful Fact—“too many” vectors are always LD

If $p > n$, then any set of p vectors in \mathbb{R}^n is LD.

Any 5 vectors in \mathbb{R}^4 are LD. Any 11 vectors in \mathbb{R}^7 are LD.

Why?

Just look at REF of appropriate coefficient matrix! 😊

Parallel Vectors

Parallel Vectors

Two vectors are *parallel* if and only if one is a scalar multiple of the other.

Parallel Vectors

Two vectors are *parallel* if and only if one is a scalar multiple of the other.

Any two vectors are LI if and only if they are *not* parallel.

Parallel Vectors

Two vectors are *parallel* if and only if one is a scalar multiple of the other.

Any two vectors are LI if and only if they are *not* parallel. Why?

Parallel Vectors

Two vectors are *parallel* if and only if one is a scalar multiple of the other.

Any two vectors are LI if and only if they are *not* parallel. Why?
(a good quiz question!)

Parallel Vectors

Two vectors are *parallel* if and only if one is a scalar multiple of the other.

Any two vectors are LI if and only if they are *not* parallel. Why?
(a good quiz question!)

Suppose we have three vectors $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \vec{v}_3$ (say, in \mathbb{R}^5) and

Parallel Vectors

Two vectors are *parallel* if and only if one is a scalar multiple of the other.

Any two vectors are LI if and only if they are *not* parallel. Why?
(a good quiz question!)

Suppose we have three vectors $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \vec{v}_3$ (say, in \mathbb{R}^5) and $\vec{v}_1 \nparallel \vec{v}_2 \nparallel \vec{v}_3 \nparallel \vec{v}_1$.

Parallel Vectors

Two vectors are *parallel* if and only if one is a scalar multiple of the other.

Any two vectors are LI if and only if they are *not* parallel. Why?
(a good quiz question!)

Suppose we have three vectors $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \vec{v}_3$ (say, in \mathbb{R}^5) and $\vec{v}_1 \nparallel \vec{v}_2 \nparallel \vec{v}_3 \nparallel \vec{v}_1$.

Are $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \vec{v}_3$ LD or LI?

Parallel Vectors

Two vectors are *parallel* if and only if one is a scalar multiple of the other.

Any two vectors are LI if and only if they are *not* parallel. Why?
(a good quiz question!)

Suppose we have three vectors $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \vec{v}_3$ (say, in \mathbb{R}^5) and $\vec{v}_1 \nparallel \vec{v}_2 \nparallel \vec{v}_3 \nparallel \vec{v}_1$.

Are $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \vec{v}_3$ LD or LI?

Hint: it is not difficult to produce a simple example!

Parallel Vectors

Two vectors are *parallel* if and only if one is a scalar multiple of the other.

Any two vectors are LI if and only if they are *not* parallel. Why?
(a good quiz question!)

Suppose we have three vectors $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \vec{v}_3$ (say, in \mathbb{R}^5) and $\vec{v}_1 \nparallel \vec{v}_2 \nparallel \vec{v}_3 \nparallel \vec{v}_1$.

Are $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \vec{v}_3$ LD or LI?

Hint: it is not difficult to produce a simple example!
(another good quiz question 😊)