

# The Schrödinger Equation with a Large Magnetic Potential

MICHAEL GOLDBERG

Presented at ICM Satellite Conference

“Harmonic and Geometric Analysis  
with Applications to PDE,”

Sevilla, Spain. 14 August, 2006.

*Co-authors: M. Burak Erdoĝan and Wilhelm Schlag*

*Partial support provided by NSF grant DMS-0600925*

Linear Schrödinger Equation in  $\mathbf{R}^3$ .

$$\begin{aligned} -iu_t &= (-\Delta + i(\mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{A}) + V)u \\ &= (-\Delta + L)u \\ &= Hu \end{aligned}$$

If  $\mathbf{A}, V \equiv 0$ , some properties of  $H_0$  include:

- Spectrum of  $H_0$  is absolutely continuous, supported on  $[0, \infty)$ .

- Kato Smoothing bound:

$$\left\| \langle x \rangle^{-1-\varepsilon} (1 - \Delta)^{\frac{1}{4}} e^{itH_0} \psi \right\|_{L_t^2 L_x^2} \lesssim \|\psi\|_{L^2}$$

- Strichartz Inequalities:

$$\left\| e^{itH_0} \psi \right\|_{L_t^q L_x^r} \lesssim \|\psi\|_{L^2}, \quad \frac{2}{q} = 3\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{r}\right),$$

where  $q \in [2, \infty)$

These statements are not generally true for  $e^{itH}$ .

If  $A$  or  $V$  is large, there may exist bound states which have no time-decay.

Questions:

- Are bound states the only problem?
- What happens if we remove them with the orthogonal projection  $P_{ac}(H)$ ?

**Theorem 1 (Erdoğan, G, Schlag)** *Suppose  $\mathbf{A}$ ,  $\operatorname{div} \mathbf{A}$ , and  $V$  have rapid polynomial decay, meaning*

$$|\mathbf{A}(x)|, |\operatorname{div} \mathbf{A}(x)|, |V(x)| \leq C\langle x \rangle^{-\beta}.$$

*Then the spectrum of  $H$  is absolutely continuous on  $(0, \infty)$ .*

*Furthermore, the propagator  $e^{itH} P_{[0, \infty)}(H)$  satisfies the same Kato smoothing and Strichartz estimates (except for  $q = 2$ ) as in the free case, provided there is no eigenvalue or resonance at zero.*

About the conditions:

- The potentials can be very large and/or negative.
- Our current value for  $\beta$  is near 8.
- We expect the theorem to be true for  $\beta > 2$ .
- The case  $\beta = 3$  includes all bounded magnetic fields with compact support.
- Results about spectrum depend only on  $\mathbf{A}$  and  $V$ , but not on  $(\operatorname{div} \mathbf{A})$ .

## Outline of Proof

Step 1: Absence of Embedded Eigenvalues.

Step 2: Limiting Absorption Principle for  $H$ .

Step 3: Resolvent Estimates at Zero Energy.

Step 4: Resolvent Estimates at High Energy.

Step 5: Dynamical Consequences.

## **Step 1:** Absence of Embedded Eigenvalues.

First show that any eigenfunction must have exponential decay.

Use Carleman inequalities to conclude that the eigenfunction is everywhere zero.

Best result due to Koch-Tataru ('05).

Applicable whenever  $\mathbf{A}(x), V(x) = o((1 + |x|)^{-1})$ .  
Some local singularities are also acceptable.

**Step 2:** Limiting Absorption Principle.

This is an operator estimate for the resolvent

$$R(\lambda^2) := (H - (\lambda + i0)^2)^{-1}.$$

Important examples:

On any compact set  $K \subset (0, \infty)$ ,

$$\left\| \langle x \rangle^{-\sigma} R(\lambda^2) \langle x \rangle^{-\sigma} f \right\|_{L^2} \leq \frac{C_K}{\lambda} \|f\|_{L^2}, \quad \sigma > \frac{1}{2}$$

$$\left\| \langle x \rangle^{-\sigma} R(\lambda^2) \langle x \rangle^{-\sigma} f \right\|_{H^1} \leq \frac{C_K \langle \lambda \rangle}{\lambda} \|f\|_{L^2}.$$

The proof follows an argument by Agmon ('75), and is based on the perturbation identity

$$R(\lambda^2) = (I + R_0(\lambda^2)L)^{-1} R_0(\lambda^2)$$



Facts about the free resolvent

$$R_0(\lambda^2) = (-\Delta - (\lambda + i0)^2)^{-1}$$

The free resolvent can be seen in two ways:

- Multiplication of the Fourier transform by

$$\frac{1}{|\xi|^2 - \lambda^2} + \frac{\pi i}{\lambda} d\sigma_{\lambda S^2}$$

This is well-behaved except when  $|\xi| \sim \lambda$ .

- Convolution with the kernel  $K(x) = \frac{e^{i\lambda|x|}}{4\pi|x|}$ .

This is easy to control in the limit  $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ .

Overview of Agmon's method:

$$R(\lambda^2) = (I + R_0(\lambda^2)L)^{-1}R_0(\lambda^2)$$

Prove the desired mapping bounds for the free resolvent  $R_0(\lambda^2)$ , using the Fourier transform description.

The operator  $(I + R_0(\lambda^2)L)$  is a compact perturbation of the identity. Apply the Fredholm Alternative theorem to find its inverse.

Show that any eigenfunction with  $\langle x \rangle^{-\sigma} f \in L^2$  is a true  $L^2$ -eigenfunction.

There are no embedded eigenvalues, so the inverse must exist.

**Step 3:** Resolvent estimates at zero energy.

The method is essentially the same as before.

This time the desired mapping properties for the free resolvent are obtained by comparison to a convolution with  $\frac{1}{|x|}$ .

Stronger weights are required in this case. For example, when  $|\lambda| < 1$ , the estimate

$$\left\| \langle x \rangle^{-\sigma} R(\lambda^2) \langle x \rangle^{-\sigma} f \right\|_{H^1} \leq C_\sigma \lambda \|f\|_{L^2}$$

is only valid for  $\sigma > 1$ .

To apply the Fredholm alternative at zero energy, one must assume that there is no eigenvalue or resonance here.

**Step 4:** Resolvent estimates at high energy.

**Theorem 2** *The estimates in the Limiting Absorption Principle continue to be valid as  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ . Most importantly,*

$$\left\| \langle x \rangle^{-\sigma} R(\lambda^2) \langle x \rangle^{-\sigma} f \right\|_{H^1} \leq C_\sigma \|f\|_{L^2}$$

for all  $|\lambda| > 1$  and  $\sigma > \frac{1}{2}$ .

The Fredholm alternative shows that  $R(\lambda^2)$  exists pointwise in  $\lambda$ . More delicate estimates are needed to obtain a uniform bound.

The minimum decay and regularity requirements appear to be that  $|\mathbf{A}(x)|, |V(x)| \leq C \langle x \rangle^{-1-\varepsilon}$  and that  $\mathbf{A}$  is continuous.

**Remark 3** *D. Robert ('92) proved a similar result for  $C^\infty$  perturbations with symbol-like decay, using the method of Mourre commutators.*

If  $\mathbf{A}$  and  $V$  are small, then  $(I + R_0(\lambda^2)L)^{-1}$  can be written explicitly as

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k (R_0(\lambda^2)L)^k$$

because  $\|R_0(\lambda^2)L\| < 1$ .

**Remark 4** *Many strong results exist for small magnetic potentials.*

*Example: Georgiev, Stefanov, and Tarulli ('06) have proved Strichartz inequalities (including the endpoint) for small, rough, and time-dependent perturbations.*

If  $\mathbf{A}$  and  $V$  are large, the power series

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k (R_0(\lambda^2)L)^k$$

is still convergent for all  $\lambda > \lambda_A$  because of the following fact.

**Lemma 5** *There exists a constant  $C < \infty$  so that*

$$\limsup_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \left\| (R_0(\lambda^2)L)^m \right\| \leq \frac{C^m C_L^m}{(m!)^{\varepsilon/2}}$$

*The quantity  $C_L$  is defined as  $\sup_x |\langle x \rangle^{1+\varepsilon} \mathbf{A}(x)|$ .*

How Lemma 5 implies Theorem 2:

If we choose  $m \gg C_L^{(2/\varepsilon)}$ , then

$$\left\| \left( R_0(\lambda^2)L \right)^m \right\| < \frac{1}{2}$$

for all  $\lambda$  sufficiently large.

This makes it possible to sum the power series

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \left( I + R_0(\lambda^2)L \right)^{-1} \right\| &\leq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \left\| \left( R_0(\lambda^2)L \right)^{mj+k} \right\| \\ &\leq C^m C_L^m \end{aligned}$$

for a fixed  $m \gg C_L^{(2/\varepsilon)}$  and all  $\lambda > \lambda_A$ .

Inspiration for Lemma 5.

Consider the operator  $R_0(\lambda^2)V R_0(\lambda^2)$ .

This is an integral operator with kernel

$$K(x, z) = \int \frac{e^{i\lambda(|x-y|+|y-z|)}}{|x-y||y-z|} V(y) dy$$

The phase function  $(|x-y| + |y-z|)$  has critical points only where  $x$ ,  $y$ , and  $z$  are collinear, and in order.

If  $\angle xyz$  is bounded away from zero, we can use integration by parts to gain a factor of  $\lambda^{-1}$ .



More detailed inspiration for proof of Lemma 5

If we expand  $(R_0(\lambda^2)L)^m$  in the same way, it will be an integral over  $m - 1$  variables. There are two main regions to consider:

- The region where every angle  $\angle x_{k-1}x_kx_{k+1}$  is smaller than  $\frac{1}{m}$ . There is no oscillation here. Instead, there is a specific direction of motion.

By treating this like a Volterra integral, one gains a factor involving  $m!$ .

- The complement of this region. If any angle  $\angle x_{k-1}x_kx_{k+1}$  is large, then the integral over  $dx_k$  has nonstationary phase with gradient at least  $\frac{\lambda}{m}$ .

Such an integral goes to zero as  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ , by applying a suitable Riemann-Lebesgue lemma.

## Step 5: Dynamical Consequences

It is time to extract results from our understanding of the spectrum of  $H$ .

**Theorem 6** (*Rodnianski, Schlag '04*) *Consider  $H = -\Delta + L$  with  $L = \sum_j Y_j^* Z_j$ . Suppose each of the operators  $Y_j$  is  $\Delta$ -smooth and each  $Z_j P_\Omega(H)$  is  $H$ -smooth. Then the semigroup associated to  $H$ , projected onto the spectral set  $\Omega$ , satisfies the following bounds:*

- *Kato Smoothing bound:*

$$\left\| \langle x \rangle^{-1-\varepsilon} (1 - \Delta)^{\frac{1}{4}} e^{itH} P_\Omega(H) \psi \right\|_{L_t^2 L_x^2} \lesssim \|\psi\|_{L^2}$$

- *Strichartz Inequalities:*

$$\left\| e^{itH} P_\Omega(H) \psi \right\|_{L_t^q L_x^r} \lesssim \|\psi\|_{L^2}, \quad \frac{2}{q} = 3\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{r}\right),$$

where  $q \in (2, \infty)$

Verifying that  $L = i(\mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{A}) + V$  satisfies the hypotheses:

Observe that  $L$  is self-adjoint, and is a bounded operator from  $\langle x \rangle^\beta H^1$  to  $L^2$ .

Using the functional calculus and interpolation, it follows that  $|L|^{\frac{1}{2}}$  is bounded from  $\langle x \rangle^{\frac{\beta}{2}} H^{\frac{1}{2}}$  to  $L^2$ . The same is true for the operator  $\operatorname{sgn}(L)|L|^{\frac{1}{2}}$ . These will be our decomposition  $L = YZ$ .

We will use the criteria: An operator  $ZP_\Omega(H)$  is  $H$ -smooth if

$$\sup_{\lambda \in \Omega} \|ZR(\lambda^2)Z^*\|_{2 \rightarrow 2} < \infty.$$

For the resolvents, our estimates imply that both  $R_0(\lambda^2)$  and  $R(\lambda^2)$  are bounded as operators from  $\langle x \rangle^{-\frac{\beta}{2}}H^{-\frac{1}{2}}$  to  $\langle x \rangle^{\frac{\beta}{2}}H^{\frac{1}{2}}$ , with norm independent of  $\lambda$ .

Meanwhile, the operators  $|L|^{\frac{1}{2}}$  and  $\text{sgn}(L)|L|^{\frac{1}{2}}$  are both bounded from  $\langle x \rangle^{\frac{\beta}{2}}H^{\frac{1}{2}}$  to  $L^2$ . Their adjoints must map  $L^2$  into  $\langle x \rangle^{-\frac{\beta}{2}}H^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ , by duality.

It follows immediately that  $|L|^{\frac{1}{2}}$  and  $\text{sgn}(L)|L|^{\frac{1}{2}}$  are  $\Delta$ -smooth, and also  $H$ -smooth over the spectral set  $\Omega = [0, \infty)$ .

## Summary of Results

- Understanding the spectrum of a Schrödinger operator with large magnetic potential.

For this, we only assume pointwise decay of  $\mathbf{A}$  and  $V$ , and also that  $\mathbf{A}$  is continuous.

- Kato Smoothing and Strichartz estimates for the absolutely continuous portion of  $H$ .

Stronger regularity conditions are required. For example we may need to assume that  $\langle x \rangle^\beta \mathbf{A}$  is a bounded multiplier on  $H^{\frac{1}{2}}$ .

Parting Questions:

- 1) What are the ideal assumptions for  $\mathbf{A}$  and  $V$ ?
- 2) Is the endpoint Strichartz estimate true?
- 3) Are these results valid in other dimensions?