

Dispersive estimates for the three-dimensional Schrödinger equation with rough potentials

M. Goldberg

Abstract

The three-dimensional Schrödinger propagator e^{itH} , $H = -\Delta + V$, is a bounded map from L^1 to L^∞ with norm controlled by $|t|^{-3/2}$ provided the potential satisfies two conditions: An integrability condition limiting the singularities and decay of V , and a zero-energy spectral condition on H . This is shown by expressing the spectral measure of H in terms of its resolvents and proving a family of L^p mapping estimates for the resolvents. Previous results in this direction had required V to satisfy explicit pointwise bounds.

1 Introduction

In this paper we consider dispersive estimates for the the time evolution operator $e^{itH}P_{ac}(H)$, where $H = -\Delta + V$ in \mathbb{R}^3 and $P_{ac}(H)$ is the projection onto the absolutely continuous subspace of H . Our goal is to assume as little as possible on the potential $V = V(x)$ in terms of decay or regularity. More precisely, we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1. *Let $V \in L^{\frac{3}{2}(1+\varepsilon)}(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Assume also that zero is neither an eigenvalue nor a resonance of $H = -\Delta + V$. Then*

$$(1) \quad \|e^{itH}P_{ac}(H)\|_{1 \rightarrow \infty} \lesssim |t|^{-\frac{3}{2}}.$$

See Section 3 for a discussion of resonances. With this assumption the spectrum is known to be purely absolutely continuous on $[0, \infty)$, see [GS2] for details.

Previous results in this direction have generally required pointwise decay of V . Journé, Soffer and Sogge [JSS] proved a version of Theorem 1 under the pointwise bound $|V(x)| \leq C(1 + |x|)^{-\beta}$, $\beta > 7$, and also some regularity assumptions including $\hat{V} \in L^1$. Yajima [Yaj] reduced the decay hypothesis to $\beta > 5$ and proved that the wave operators are bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)$ for all $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. The dispersive estimate follows from this result. Finally, Goldberg and Schlag [GS1] established the dispersive estimate provided $\beta > 3$. In all these works the assumption is made that zero energy is neither an eigenvalue nor a resonance.

The exposition in this paper roughly follows [GS1], with two significant refinements. First, the distinction which was previously drawn between high and low energies is now removed. Second, the limiting absorption principle of Agmon [Ag], which concerns the action of resolvents on weighted L^2 , is replaced with unweighted L^p estimates as in [GS2]. The ability to work with potentials that satisfy L^p conditions (but not necessarily any pointwise bounds) depends in turn on a unique continuation result due to Ionescu and Jerison [IonJer]. For reference we present the statement here.

Theorem 2. *Let $V \in L^{\frac{3}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Suppose $u \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ satisfies $(-\Delta + V)u = \lambda^2 u$ where $\lambda \neq 0$ in the sense of distributions. If, moreover, $\|(1 + |x|)^{\delta - \frac{1}{2}} u\|_2 < \infty$ for some $\delta > 0$, then $u \equiv 0$.*

In terms of local regularity, Theorem 1 appears to be nearly optimal. There exist compactly supported potentials $V \in L_{\text{weak}}^{3/2}$ for which $-\Delta + V$ admits bound states with positive energy [KocTat]. On the other hand, while the assumption $V \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ corresponds to (radial) pointwise decay on the order of $|V(x)| \leq C(1 + |x|)^{-3-\varepsilon}$, it is reasonable to expect dispersive behavior to persist even with weaker decay hypotheses on V . This is already shown in [RodSch] for small potentials in the Kato class, which includes all $V \in L^{\frac{3}{2}+\varepsilon} \cap L^{\frac{3}{2}-\varepsilon}$ of small norm.

1.1 Resolvent Identities

Let $H = -\Delta + V$ in \mathbb{R}^3 and define the resolvents $R_0(z) := (-\Delta - z)^{-1}$ and $R_V(z) := (H - z)^{-1}$. For $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}^+$, the operator $R_0(z)$ can be realized as an integral operator with the kernel

$$R_0(z)(x, y) = \frac{e^{i\sqrt{z}|x-y|}}{4\pi|x-y|}$$

where \sqrt{z} is taken to have positive imaginary part. While $R_V(z)$ does not possess an explicit representation of this form, it can be expressed in terms of $R_0(z)$ via the identities

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} R_V(z) &= (I + R_0(z)V)^{-1}R_0(z) = R_0(z)(I + VR_0(z))^{-1} \\ R_V(z) &= R_0(z) - R_0(z)VR_V(z) = R_0(z) - R_V(z)VR_0(z) \end{aligned}$$

In the case where $z = \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^+$, one is led to consider limits of the form $R_0(\lambda \pm i0) := \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} R_0(\lambda \pm i\varepsilon)$. The choice of sign determines which branch of the square-root function is selected in the formula above, therefore the two continuations do not agree with one another. For convenience we will adopt a shorthand notation for dealing with resolvents along the positive real axis, namely

$$\begin{aligned} R_0^\pm(\lambda) &:= R_0(\lambda \pm i0) \\ R_V^\pm(\lambda) &:= R_V(\lambda \pm i0) \end{aligned}$$

Note that $R_0^-(\lambda)$ is the formal adjoint of $R_0^+(\lambda)$, and a similar relationship holds for $R_V^\pm(\lambda^2)$. The discrepancy between $R_0^+(\lambda)$ and $R_0^-(\lambda)$ characterizes the absolutely continuous part of the spectral measure of H , denoted here by $E_{ac}(d\lambda)$, by means of the Stone formula

$$(3) \quad \langle E_{ac}(d\lambda)f, g \rangle = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \langle [R_V^+(\lambda) - R_V^-(\lambda)]f, g \rangle d\lambda.$$

Let χ be a smooth, even, cut-off function on the line that is equal to one on a neighborhood of the origin. In order to prove Theorem 1 it will suffice to show that

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} \sup_{L \geq 1} \left| \left\langle e^{itH} \chi(\sqrt{H}/L) P_{a.c.} f, g \right\rangle \right| &= \sup_{L \geq 1} \left| \int_0^\infty e^{it\lambda^2} \lambda \chi(\lambda/L) \langle [R_V^+(\lambda^2) - R_V^-(\lambda^2)]f, g \rangle \frac{d\lambda}{\pi i} \right| \\ &\lesssim |t|^{-\frac{3}{2}} \|f\|_1 \|g\|_1. \end{aligned}$$

The first equality is precisely (3), and we have also made the change of variable $\lambda \mapsto \lambda^2$.

Our approach roughly parallels the one found in [GS1], with two main differences. The first is that norms will be estimated in a variety of L^p spaces in addition to the more typical weighted L^2 . The second is that low and high energies will not require a separate calculation. There is still a distinction to be noted between the two cases, however. The limiting absorption principle is used to establish decay as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$, whereas boundedness at low energies follows from a Fredholm alternative argument. This requires assuming that zero is neither an eigenvalue nor a resonance.

1.2 Initial terms of the Born series

Iterating the resolvent identity (2) a total of $m + 2$ times yields the finite Born series

$$(5) \quad R_V^\pm(\lambda^2) = \sum_{k=0}^{m+1} R_0^\pm(\lambda^2)(-VR_0^\pm(\lambda^2))^k + R_0^\pm(\lambda^2)VR_V^\pm(\lambda^2)(VR_0^\pm(\lambda^2))^{m+1}.$$

Here m is any positive integer. This expansion is then inserted into the integral in (4). The first $m + 2$ terms which do not contain the resolvent R_V are treated as in [RodSch], Section 2, which only requires that

$$(6) \quad \|V\|_{\mathcal{K}} := \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^3} \int \frac{|V(y)|}{|x-y|} dy < \infty.$$

In particular, if $V \in L^{\frac{3}{2}+\varepsilon} \cap L^{\frac{3}{2}-\varepsilon}$, then this condition is satisfied by dividing \mathbb{R}^3 into the regions $|x-y| < 1$ and $|x-y| \geq 1$.

For the convenience of the reader we recall the relevant arguments from [RodSch]. When the Born series (5) is substituted into (4), the contribution from the k^{th} term is equal to

$$\int_0^\infty e^{it\lambda^2} \lambda \psi(\lambda/L) \langle [R_0^+(\lambda^2)(VR_0^+(\lambda^2))^k - R_0^-(\lambda^2)(VR_0^-(\lambda^2))^k] f, g \rangle d\lambda$$

which is controlled by

$$(7) \quad \sup_{L \geq 1} \left| \int_0^\infty e^{it\lambda^2} \lambda \psi(\lambda/L) \Im \langle R_0^+(\lambda^2)(VR_0^+(\lambda^2))^k f, g \rangle d\lambda \right| \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^6} |f(x_0)| |g(x_{k+1})| \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3k}} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^k |V(x_j)|}{\prod_{j=0}^k 4\pi |x_j - x_{j+1}|} d(x_1, \dots, x_k) dx_0 dx_{k+1}$$

$$(8) \quad \cdot \sup_{L \geq 1} \left| \int_0^\infty e^{it\lambda^2} \lambda \psi(\lambda/L) \sin\left(\lambda \sum_{\ell=0}^k |x_\ell - x_{\ell+1}|\right) d\lambda \right| d(x_1, \dots, x_k) dx_0 dx_{k+1}$$

$$(9) \quad \leq Ct^{-\frac{3}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^6} |f(x_0)| |g(x_{k+1})| \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3k}} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^k |V(x_j)|}{(4\pi)^{k+1} \prod_{j=0}^k |x_j - x_{j+1}|} \sum_{\ell=0}^k |x_\ell - x_{\ell+1}| d(x_1, \dots, x_k) dx_0 dx_{k+1}$$

which in turn is controlled by

$$(10) \quad \begin{aligned} &\leq C t^{-\frac{3}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^6} |f(x_0)| |g(x_{k+1})| (k+1) (\|V\|_{\mathcal{K}}/4\pi)^k dx_0 dx_{k+1} \\ &\leq C_k t^{-\frac{3}{2}} \|f\|_1 \|g\|_1. \end{aligned}$$

In order to pass to (7) one uses the explicit representation of the kernel of $R_0^+(\lambda^2)(x, y) = \frac{e^{i\lambda|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}|}}{4\pi|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}|}$, which leads to a k -fold integral. The inequalities (8) and (10) are obtained by means of the following two lemmas from [RodSch], which we reproduce here without proof. They may be regarded as exercises in the use of stationary phase and Fubini's Theorem, respectively.

Lemma 3. *Let ψ be a smooth, even bump function with $\psi(\lambda) = 1$ for $-1 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ and $\text{supp}(\psi) \subset [-2, 2]$. Then for all $t \geq 1$ and any real a ,*

$$(11) \quad \sup_{L \geq 1} \left| \int_0^\infty e^{it\lambda} \sin(a\sqrt{\lambda}) \psi\left(\frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{L}\right) d\lambda \right| \leq C t^{-\frac{3}{2}} |a|$$

where C only depends on ψ and χ .

Lemma 4. *For any positive integer k and V as in (6)*

$$\sup_{x_0, x_{k+1} \in \mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3k}} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^k |V(x_j)|}{\prod_{j=0}^k |x_j - x_{j+1}|} \sum_{\ell=0}^k |x_\ell - x_{\ell+1}| dx_1 \dots dx_k \leq (k+1) \|V\|_{\mathcal{K}}^k.$$

2 Estimates on the free resolvent

We now turn to the term in the Born series (5) containing the perturbed resolvent R_V . The following propositions establish a family of mapping estimates for the free resolvent.

Proposition 5. *For each exponent $1 < p \leq \frac{4}{3}$, there exist constants $C_p < \infty$ such that*

$$\|R_0^\pm(\lambda^2)f\|_{L^{3p}} \leq C_p \lambda^{-2+2/p} \|f\|_{L^p}$$

For each exponent $\frac{4}{3} \leq p < \frac{3}{2}$, there exist constants $C_p < \infty$ such that

$$\|R_0^\mp(\lambda^2)f\|_{L^{p^*}} \leq C_p \lambda^{4-6/p} \|f\|_{L^p} \quad \text{where } \frac{1}{p^*} = \frac{3}{p} - 2$$

Proof. The case $p = \frac{4}{3}$ is proven as a special case of theorem 2.3 in [KRS]. It is clear from fractional integration that $R_0^\pm(\lambda^2)$ maps $L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ to weak- $L^3(\mathbb{R}^3)$ uniformly in λ , using the definition

$$\|f\|_{L^3_{\text{weak}}(\mathbb{R}^3)} = \sup_{A \subset \mathbb{R}^3, |A| < \infty} |A|^{-2/3} \int_A |f(x)| dx$$

which is equivalent to the usual weak- L^3 "norm" and also satisfies a triangle inequality, see Lieb, Loss [LieLos], Chapter 4.3. The cases $1 < p < \frac{4}{3}$ and $\frac{4}{3} < p < \frac{3}{2}$ follow by Marcinkiewicz interpolation and duality, respectively. \square

Proposition 6. *Suppose $V \in L^{\frac{3}{2}(1+\varepsilon)}(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap L^{\frac{3}{2}(1-\varepsilon)}(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Then there exists a constant $C_\varepsilon < \infty$ such that*

$$(13) \quad \|VR_0^\pm(\lambda^2)f\|_{L^p} \leq C_\varepsilon(1+|\lambda|)^{-2+2/p}\|V\|\|f\|_{L^p} \quad \text{for all exponents } 1 \leq p \leq 1+\varepsilon.$$

The dual operators satisfy the related bound

$$(13') \quad \|R_0^\pm(\lambda^2)Vf\|_{L^p} \leq C_\varepsilon(1+|\lambda|)^{-2/p}\|V\|\|f\|_{L^p} \quad \text{for all exponents } \frac{1+\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} \leq p \leq \infty.$$

In the above statement $\|V\|$ is understood to be the larger of $\|V\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}(1+\varepsilon)}}$ and $\|V\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}(1-\varepsilon)}}$.

Proof. In the case $p = 1$, $(VR_0^\pm(\lambda^2))$ has an operator bound of precisely $(4\pi)^{-1}\|V\|_{\mathcal{K}}$, which is controlled by $\|V\|$. The case $p = 1+\varepsilon$, $|\lambda| > 1$, is a corollary of the preceding proposition, using the fact that $V \in L^{\frac{3p}{2}}$. For $|\lambda| \leq 1$, a uniform bound is obtained by comparing $R_0(\lambda^2)$ to fractional integration and observing that $V \in L^{\frac{3}{2}}$. The intermediate cases $1 < p < 1+\varepsilon$ follow by interpolation. \square

It is slightly inconvenient that $\|VR_0^\pm(\lambda^2)\|_{1 \rightarrow 1}$ does not decay in the limit $|\lambda| \rightarrow \infty$. If this map is iterated several times, however, we may use the fact that $(VR_0^\pm(\lambda^2))$ maps $L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ to $L^{2/(2-\varepsilon)}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and vice versa to apply the bound in (13) with $p = \frac{2}{2-\varepsilon}$. The resulting mapping estimates will be needed in section 4.

Corollary 7. *Let $V \in L^{\frac{3}{2}(1+\varepsilon)}(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap L^{\frac{3}{2}(1-\varepsilon)}(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Then*

$$(14) \quad \begin{aligned} \|(VR_0^\pm(\lambda^2))^{k+2}f\|_{L^1} &\leq C^k(1+|\lambda|)^{k\varepsilon}\|f\|_{L^1} && \text{and} \\ \|(R_0^\pm(\lambda^2)V)^{k+2}f\|_{L^\infty} &\leq C^k(1+|\lambda|)^{k\varepsilon}\|f\|_{L^\infty} \end{aligned}$$

We now consider the action of the free resolvent on weighted L^p spaces. Let $L^{p,\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ be the Banach space determined by the norm

$$\|f\|_{L^{p,\sigma}} = \|(1+|\cdot|)^\sigma f\|_{L^p}, \quad 1 \leq p \leq \infty, \sigma \in \mathbb{R}$$

Lemma 8. *Suppose $V \in L^{\frac{3}{2}(1+\varepsilon)}(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$, and let p be any exponent in the range $\frac{1+\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} \leq p \leq \infty$. The operator $R_0^\pm(\lambda^2)V$ is a bounded linear map on $L^{p,-1}$, and its norm is controlled by $\|V\|$.*

Furthermore, $R_0^\pm(\lambda^2)V$ may be written as a sum of linear maps T_1 and T_2 satisfying the following estimates:

$$(15) \quad \|T_1f\|_{L^{p,-1}} \lesssim (1+|\lambda|)^{-1/p}\|V\|\|f\|_{L^{p,-1}}$$

$$(16) \quad \|T_2f\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \|V\|\|f\|_{L^{p,-1}(\{|x|>\lambda^{1/p}\})}$$

The constant of similarity depends on $\varepsilon > 0$ but not on the specific choice of p .

Proof. For each $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, let $D_k = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : |x| < \lambda^{1/p}2^{k+1}\}$. We define T_1 and T_2 in the following manner: In the annulus $A_k = \{x : 2^{k-1} \leq |x| < 2^k\}$ (or the unit ball $A_0 = \{|x| < 1\}$), let

$$T_1f(x) = R_0^\pm(\lambda^2)V\chi_{D_k}f(x)$$

$$T_2f(x) = R_0^\pm(\lambda^2)V\chi_{D_k^c}f(x)$$

The estimate for T_2f is immediate. Since $V \in L^{p'}$, by Hölder's inequality $Vf \in L^{1,-1}$. The construction of D_k ensures that $|y - x| > (1 + |y|)/3$ for any $x \in A_k$, $y \in D_k^c$. Thus

$$|T_2f(x)| < \frac{3}{4\pi} \int_{D_k^c} \frac{|V(y)f(y)|}{1 + |y|} dy < \frac{3}{4\pi} \|Vf\|_{L^{1,-1}(D_0^c)} \lesssim \|V\| \|f\|_{L^{p,-1}(D_0^c)}$$

It should be noted that $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ has a natural embedding into $L^{p,-1}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ for any $p > 3$.

To control T_1f , we first consider its restriction to each annulus A_k . Proposition 6 states that $\|T_1f\|_{L^p(A_k)} \lesssim \|R_0^\pm(\lambda^2)V\chi_{D_k}f\|_{L^p} \lesssim (1 + |\lambda|)^{-2/p} \|V\| \|f\|_{L^p(D_k)}$. The norm of T_1f , as measured in the space $L^{p,-1}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, is recovered by summing over k .

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_1f\|_{L^{p,-1}}^p &\sim \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{-kp} \|T_1f\|_{L^p(A_k)}^p \\ &\lesssim (1 + |\lambda|)^{-2} \|V\|^p \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{-kp} \int_{D_k} |f(x)|^p dx \end{aligned}$$

Interchange the summation and integral by Fubini's theorem. At each point $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$, $x \in D_k$ only if $k > \log(|x|/(2\lambda^{1/p}))$, so only these terms of the sum will be nonzero. The resulting sum over k is a geometric series with ratio less than $\frac{1}{2}$, which can be estimated by the largest term. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_1f\|_{L^{p,-1}}^p &\lesssim (1 + |\lambda|)^{-2} \|V\|^p \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |f(x)|^p \min(2^p \lambda |x|^{-p}, 1) dx \\ &\lesssim 2^p (1 + |\lambda|)^{-1} \|V\|^p \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |f(x)|^p (1 + |x|)^{-p} dx \end{aligned}$$

Taking p^{th} roots yields the desired conclusion. \square

Corollary 9. *Suppose $V \in L^{\frac{3}{2}(1+\varepsilon)}(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$, and assume $1 \leq p \leq 1 + \varepsilon$. Then $VR_0^\pm(\lambda^2)$ is a bounded operator on $L^{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ whose norm is controlled by ε and $\|V\|$ alone.*

Proof. This is the dual statement of Lemma 8, since V is real-valued and $L^{p',-\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is the space dual to $L^{p,\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^3)$. \square

Corollary 10. *Suppose $V \in L^{\frac{3}{2}(1+\varepsilon)}(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$, and let $p \geq \frac{1+\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}$. Then for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$,*

$$(17) \quad \|(R_0^\pm(\lambda^2)V)^2f\|_{L^{p,-1}} \lesssim (1 + |\lambda|)^{-1/p} \|V\|^2 \|f\|_{L^{p,-1}}$$

The dual operators satisfy the bound

$$(17') \quad \|(VR_0^\pm(\lambda^2))^2f\|_{L^{p,1}} \lesssim (1 + |\lambda|)^{-1/p'} \|V\|^2 \|f\|_{L^{p,1}} \quad \text{for all exponents } 1 \leq p \leq 1 + \varepsilon$$

Proof. This is an estimate on $(T_1 + T_2)(T_1 + T_2)f$. Any product which includes T_1 will have the desired decay (or better) by Lemma 8. On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_2T_2f\|_{L^\infty} &\lesssim \|V\| \|T_2f\|_{L^{\infty,-1}(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B(0, \lambda^{1/p}))} \lesssim (1 + |\lambda|)^{-1/p} \|V\| \|T_2f\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\lesssim (1 + |\lambda|)^{-1/p} \|V\|^2 \|f\|_{L^{p,-1}} \end{aligned}$$

\square

This is a crucial estimate for two reasons. First, it guarantees convergence of the Neumann series for $(I + R_0^\pm(\lambda^2)V)^{-1}$ for sufficiently large λ , along with the uniform size bound

$$\limsup_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \|(I + R_0^\pm(\lambda^2)V)^{-1}\| \lesssim 1 + \limsup_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \|R_0^\pm(\lambda^2)V\|$$

as measured in the operator norm on $L^{p,-1}(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Second, we will eventually perform an integration by parts in the λ variable, whose boundary terms will vanish because of (17).

3 Estimates on the perturbed resolvent

Recall that the perturbed resolvent $R_V(z)$ is related to the $R_0(z)$ by the identity

$$(2) \quad R_V(z) = (I + R_0(z)V)^{-1}R_0(z)$$

In order to prove that $R_V^\pm(\lambda^2)$ satisfies the same mapping estimates as $R_0^\pm(\lambda^2)$, it therefore suffices to show that $(I + R_0^\pm(\lambda^2)V)^{-1}$ is a bounded operator on the appropriate space. As mentioned above, for large λ this can be done easily by expressing the inverse as a (convergent) power series.

If λ is not large, invertability of $I + R_0^\pm(\lambda^2)V$ is established by a Fredholm-alternative argument. One needs to verify two things: that $I + R_0^\pm(\lambda^2)V$ is a compact perturbation of the identity, and that its null space contains no nonzero elements. This step will require the assumption that zero energy is neither an eigenvalue nor a resonance, so we must first state a precise definition.

Definition 11. *We say that a resonance occurs at zero if the equation $(I + R_0(0)V)f = 0$ admits a distributional solution f such that $f \in L^{2,\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^3) \setminus L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ for every $\sigma < -\frac{1}{2}$.*

Lemma 12. *Suppose $V \in L^{\frac{3}{2}(1+\varepsilon)}(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and let $\frac{1+\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} \leq p \leq \infty$. For any fixed $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, $R_0^\pm(\lambda^2)V$ is a compact operator mapping $L^{p,-1}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ to itself. By duality, $VR_0^\mp(\lambda^2)$ is a compact operator on $L^{p',1}(\mathbb{R}^3)$.*

Proof. First consider the case where V is bounded with maximum size M and supported in the ball $B(0, R)$. On the support of V , f is integrable with bound $\|f\|_{L^1(\text{supp}(V))} \lesssim R^{1+3/p'}\|f\|_{L^{p,-1}}$. Then for all $|x| > 2R$,

$$|R_0^\pm(\lambda^2)Vf(x)| \lesssim (|Vf| * \frac{1}{|\cdot|})(x) \lesssim MR^{1+3/p'}\|f\|_{L^{p,-1}}|x|^{-1}$$

Let ψ be a smooth bump function with support in $B(0, 2)$ so that $\psi(x) = 1$ whenever $|x| \leq 1$, and define $\psi_{\tilde{R}}(x) = \psi(x/\tilde{R})$. If $\tilde{R} > 2R$, a simple integration yields

$$\lim_{\tilde{R} \rightarrow \infty} \|(1 - \psi_{\tilde{R}})R_0^\pm(\lambda^2)Vf\|_{L^{p,-1}} \lesssim \lim_{\tilde{R} \rightarrow \infty} (MR^{1+3/p'}\|f\|_{L^{p,-1}})\tilde{R}^{1-3/p'} = 0$$

The resolvent tends to increase regularity; for Schwartz functions f we have

$$\begin{aligned} (-\Delta + 1)R_0^\pm(\lambda^2)Vf &= (-\Delta - \lambda^2)R_0^\pm(\lambda^2)Vf + (1 + \lambda^2)R_0^\pm(\lambda^2)Vf \\ &= Vf + (1 + \lambda^2)R_0^\pm(\lambda^2)Vf \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $\|(-\Delta + 1)R_0^\pm(\lambda^2)Vf\|_{L^{p,-1}} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^{p,-1}}$. Boundedness of V is also used in this step. Taking limits, the inequality can be extended to all $f \in L^{p,-1}$.

On the compact set $\{|x| \leq 2\tilde{R}\}$ the norms L^p and $L^{p,-1}$ are equivalent. Therefore $\psi_{\tilde{R}}R_0^\pm(\lambda^2)V$ is a continuous map from $L^{p,-1}$ to the Sobolev space $W^{2,p}(B(0, 2\tilde{R}))$, which embeds compactly into $L^p(B(0, 2\tilde{R}))$, and hence also $L^{p,-1}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, by Rellich's theorem.

We have shown that $R_0^\pm(\lambda^2)V$ is a norm-limit of the operators $\psi_{\tilde{R}}R_0^\pm(\lambda^2)V$ as $\tilde{R} \rightarrow \infty$, and that each element of this approximating sequence is compact. The set of compact linear operator is closed in the norm topology, so $R_0^\pm(\lambda^2)V$ must be compact as well.

For general potentials $V \in L^{\frac{3}{2}(1+\varepsilon)}(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$, it is possible write V as a norm-limit of bounded functions V_n with compact support. For each $n = 1, 2, \dots$, $R_0^\pm(\lambda^2)V_n$ is a compact operator. The lemma is now proved by another limiting argument, this time with the help of lemma 8. \square

Lemma 13. *Let $V \in L^{\frac{3}{2}(1+\varepsilon)}(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$, and $1 \leq p \leq 1 + \varepsilon$. Assume that zero is neither an eigenvalue nor a resonance of $(-\Delta + V)$. Then $(I + VR_0^\pm(\lambda^2))^{-1}$ exists as a bounded linear map on $L^{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. By duality, $(I + R_0^\pm(\lambda^2)V)^{-1}$ exists as a bounded operator on $L^{p,-1}(\mathbb{R}^3)$.*

Proof. By lemma 12 and the Fredholm alternative, $I + VR_0^\pm(\lambda^2)$ will fail be invertible only if there exists a function $g \in L^{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ satisfying $g = -VR_0^\pm(\lambda^2)g$. In fact any such solution g must possess greater regularity than the assumed $g \in L^p_{\text{loc}}$. This is seen by iterating the map $VR_0^\pm(\lambda^2)$.

First note that any function in $L^{q,1}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, $q < \frac{3}{2}$, is integrable. Decompose $R_0^\pm(\lambda^2) = S_1 + S_2$ in the following manner: For $x \in A_k$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$, let

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 f(x) &= R_0^\pm(\lambda^2)\chi_{\{|x| > 2^{k-2}\}} f(x) \\ S_2 f(x) &= R_0^\pm(\lambda^2)\chi_{\{|x| \leq 2^{k-2}\}} f(x) \end{aligned}$$

and $S_1 g(x) = R_0^\pm(\lambda^2)g(x)$ if $x \in A_0$. Here, as in lemma 8, A_k denotes an annulus where $|x| \sim 2^k$. One immediately obtains a pointwise estimate for S_2 , namely $|S_2 f(x)| \lesssim \|f\|_{L^1}(1 + |x|)^{-1}$.

We will see that S_1 is a bounded map from $L^{q,1}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ to $L^{r,1}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, where $\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{q} - \frac{2}{3}$ is the exponent given by fractional integration. The calculation is similar to the one in lemma 8, with one additional step to deal with the fact that $r \neq q$.

$$\begin{aligned} \|S_1 g\|_{L^{r,1}} &\sim \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{kr} \|S_1 g\|_{L^r(A_k)}^r \right)^{1/r} \lesssim \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{kr} \left(\int_{|x| \geq 2^{k-2}} |g(x)|^q dx \right)^{r/q} \right)^{1/r} \\ (18) \qquad &\leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |g(x)|^q \left(\sum_{k \leq \log 4|x|} 2^{kr} \right)^{q/r} dx \right)^{1/q} \\ &\lesssim \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |g(x)|^q |x|^q dx \right)^{1/q} = \|g\|_{L^{q,1}} \end{aligned}$$

The exchange of summation and integration is done via Minkowski's inequality, noting that $r > q$.

Putting the two pieces S_1 and S_2 together, we conclude that $R_0^\pm(\lambda^2)$ is a bounded map from $L^{q,1}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ to $L^{r,1}(\mathbb{R}^3) + L^{\infty,1}(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Therefore, if $g \in L^{q,1}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, one can bootstrap in two directions:

$$(19) \quad VR_0^\pm(\lambda^2)g \in L^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^3) \quad \text{and} \quad VR_0^\pm(\lambda^2)g \in L^{\tilde{q},1}(\mathbb{R}^3), \quad \text{where} \quad \frac{1}{\tilde{q}} = \frac{1}{q} - \frac{2\varepsilon}{3(1+\varepsilon)}$$

by estimating $\|V\|$ in $L^{r'} \cap L^1$ and $L^{\frac{3}{2}(1+\varepsilon)} \cap L^{\bar{q}}$, respectively.

This process terminates once it is established that $g \in L^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap L^{\frac{3}{2}+,1}(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Consequently, $g \in L^{\frac{3}{2}+}(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap L^{\frac{3}{2}-}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, and $R_0^\pm(\lambda^2)g \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$, which embeds naturally in $L^{\infty,-1}(\mathbb{R}^3)$. The pairing of functions in dual spaces

$$\langle R_0^\pm(\lambda^2)g, g \rangle = -\langle R_0^\pm(\lambda^2)g, VR_0^\pm(\lambda^2)g \rangle$$

is then well-defined. Furthermore, since V is assumed to be real-valued, the expression on the right side has no imaginary part. On the other hand, by Parseval's identity

$$(20) \quad \Im \langle R_0^\pm(\lambda^2)g, g \rangle = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \Im \langle R_0(\lambda^2 \pm i\varepsilon)g, g \rangle = \pm C\lambda \int_{S^2} |\hat{g}(\lambda\omega)|^2 \sigma(d\omega)$$

where $C \neq 0$ is a constant and $\sigma(d\omega)$ is surface measure on the unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^3 . It follows that $\hat{g} = 0$ on λS^2 , in the sense of L^2 functions.

One of the underlying principles in Agmon [Ag] is that the resolvent $R_0^\pm(\lambda^2)$ has special mapping properties when applied to functions whose Fourier transform vanishes on the sphere radius λ . This in turn leads to improved estimates on the decay of $g = -VR_0^\pm(\lambda^2)g$. We quote one such statement from the literature:

Lemma 14 ([GS2], section 4). *Let f be a function in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ such that $\hat{f} = 0$ on the unit sphere. Then*

$$\|R_0^\pm(1)f\|_{L^2} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{8\pi}} \|f\|_{L^1}$$

Scaling considerations dictate that if $\hat{g} = 0$ on λS^2 , then $\|R_0^\pm(\lambda^2)g\|_{L^2} \leq (8\pi\lambda)^{-1/2} \|g\|_{L^1}$.

Returning to the proof of lemma 13, if $\lambda \neq 0$ and $g \in L^{p',1}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is a solution to $(I + VR_0^\pm(\lambda^2))g = 0$, then $f = R_0^\pm(\lambda^2)g$ must be an L^2 eigenfunction of $-\Delta + V$. The bootstrapping procedure for g shows that $f \in W_{loc}^{2,3/2}(\mathbb{R}^3) \subset W_{loc}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$. By assumption $V \in L^{\frac{3}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, so all the hypotheses of Theorem 2 are satisfied. One concludes that $f = 0$, and $g = -Vf = 0$, as desired.

In the case $\lambda = 0$, the expression in (20) is trivially zero, so \hat{g} does not satisfy any additional hypotheses. The resolvent $R_0(0)$ is a bounded map from $L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ to $L^{2,-\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ for any $\sigma > \frac{1}{2}$, however, so $f = R_0(0)g$ is a distributional solution of $-\Delta + V$ which lies in every space $L^{2,-\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, $\sigma > \frac{1}{2}$. The assumption that zero energy is neither an eigenvalue nor a resonance requires that $f = 0$, thus $g = -Vf = 0$ as well. \square

Remark. To be precise, $R_0^\pm(\lambda^2)$ maps $L^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ to weak- $L^{3,1}(\mathbb{R}^3)$. The calculation in (18), with the appropriate cosmetic changes, is used to bound $(\text{meas}\{|R_0^\pm(\lambda^2)g(x)| > h/(1+|x|)\})^{1/3} h$ uniformly for all $h > 0$.

The bootstrapping estimates in (19) will be needed in Section 4 in the following form:

Proposition 15. *Let $V \in L^{\frac{3}{2}(1+\varepsilon)}(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Then*

$$(21) \quad \begin{aligned} \|VR_0^\pm(\lambda^2)f\|_{L^{2/(2-\varepsilon),1}} &\lesssim \|f\|_{L^{1,1}} \\ \|VR_0^\pm(\lambda^2)f\|_{L^{1,1}} &\lesssim \|f\|_{L^{2/(2-\varepsilon),1}} \\ \|(VR_0^\pm(\lambda^2))^{k+3}f\|_{L^{1,1}} &\lesssim (1+|\lambda|)^{-k\varepsilon/4} \|f\|_{L^{1,1}} \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The first two inequalities are precisely what is stated in (19). The last line combines these with (17'). Neither the choice of exponent $p = \frac{2}{2-\varepsilon}$ nor the power of decay in λ are intended to be sharp. For our purposes it will only matter that k can be chosen large enough to make $k\varepsilon/4 > 1$. \square

Proposition 16. *Let $V \in L^{\frac{3}{2}(1+\varepsilon)}(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$. The family of linear maps $VR_0^\pm(\lambda^2)$, considered with respect to the operator norm on $L^{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, $1 \leq p < \frac{3}{2}$, depends continuously on the parameter λ . By duality, $R_0^\mp(\lambda^2)V$ is a continuous family of maps on $L^{p,-1}$, $p > 3$.*

Proof. Any function $f \in L^{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is also integrable, with $\|f\|_{L^1} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^{p,1}}$. It is then possible to differentiate under the integral sign to obtain

$$\left| \frac{d}{d\lambda} R_0^\pm(\lambda^2)f(x) \right| = \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\mp 4\pi i)^{-1} e^{\pm i\lambda|x-y|} f(y) dy \right| \lesssim \|f\|_{L^{p,1}}$$

If V is bounded and has compact support, then $V \in L^{p,1}$ and $\frac{d}{d\lambda} [VR_0^\pm(\lambda^2)]$ will be a bounded operator on $L^{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ uniformly in λ , which implies continuity.

For general potentials V , approximate V by a bounded, compactly supported potential V' so that $\|V - V'\| < \varepsilon$. Then $\|(V - V')R_0^\pm(\lambda^2)f\|_{L^{p,1}} < C\varepsilon\|f\|_{L^{p,1}}$ where $C < \infty$ is the constant in lemma 8. Assume that V is supported in the ball $B(0, R)$ and that $\sup_x |V(x)| = M$. For every $|\nu| \in \mathbb{R}$, the operator $R_0^\pm((\lambda + \nu)^2) - R_0^\pm(\lambda^2)$ is bounded from $L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ to $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with norm $\frac{|\nu|}{4\pi}$, therefore

$$\|V'[R_0^\pm((\lambda + \nu)^2) - R_0^\pm(\lambda^2)]f\|_{L^{p,1}} \lesssim MR^4|\nu|\|f\|_{L^{p,1}}$$

By the triangle inequality

$$\liminf_{\nu \rightarrow 0} \|V[R_0^\pm((\lambda + \nu)^2) - R_0^\pm(\lambda^2)]f\|_{L^{p,1}} < 2C\varepsilon\|f\|_{L^{p,1}}$$

\square

Lemma 17. *Let $V \in L^{\frac{3}{2}(1+\varepsilon)}(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and assume that zero energy is neither an eigenvalue nor a resonance. Then*

$$(22) \quad \sup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}} \|(I + VR_0^\pm(\lambda^2))^{-1}\|_{L^{p,1} \rightarrow L^{p,1}} < \infty \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq p \leq 1 + \varepsilon$$

Proof. Consider the case $p > 1$. By corollary 10, there exists $\lambda_0 < \infty$ so that the operator norm of $(VR_0^\pm(\lambda^2))^2$ will be less than $\frac{1}{2}$ for all $|\lambda| > \lambda_0$. For these large values of λ , the Neumann series

$$(I + VR_0^\pm(\lambda^2))^{-1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (VR_0^\pm(\lambda^2))^{2k} (I + VR_0^\pm(\lambda^2))$$

converges geometrically and has norm controlled by $(1 + \|VR_0^\pm(\lambda^2)\|) \lesssim 1 + \|V\|$. At every point $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, lemma 13 and proposition 16 and the continuity of inverses guarantee that $(I + VR_0^\pm(\lambda^2))^{-1}$ is norm-continuous in λ . Thus it is bounded on the compact set $[-\lambda_0, \lambda_0]$.

In the case $p = 1$, we claim that $\|(VR_0^\pm(\lambda^2))^2\|_{L^{1,1} \rightarrow L^{1,1}}$ vanishes as $|\lambda| \rightarrow \infty$. A substantially similar result appears in [DanPie], which we reproduce below with the necessary modifications. Assuming this fact, the remaining steps of the above argument follow immediately. \square

Proposition 18. *Suppose $V \in L^{\frac{3}{2}(1+\varepsilon)}(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Then*

$$(23) \quad \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \|(VR_0^\pm(\lambda^2))^2\|_{L^{1,1} \rightarrow L^{1,1}} = 0$$

Proof. Suppose V is supported in the ball $B(0, R)$ and satisfies $|V(x)| < M$. Then $\|VR_0^\pm(\lambda^2)f\|_{L^{4/3}} \lesssim R^{\frac{5}{4}}\|VR_0^\pm(\lambda^2)f\|_{L^3_{\text{weak}}} \lesssim MR^{\frac{5}{4}}\|f\|_{L^{1,1}}$. It follows from proposition 5 that

$$\|(VR_0^\pm(\lambda^2))^2f\|_{L^{1,1}} \lesssim R^{\frac{13}{4}}\|(VR_0^\pm(\lambda^2))^2f\|_{L^4} \lesssim M^2R^{\frac{9}{2}}\lambda^{-1/2}\|f\|_{L^{1,1}}$$

Stronger decay estimates are possible, but we are not interested here in optimality.

For general potentials V , write $V = V_1 + V_2$ with V_1 bounded and compactly supported and $\|V_2\| < \varepsilon$. Then

$$\|(VR_0^\pm(\lambda^2))^2\| \leq \|(V_1R_0^\pm(\lambda^2))^2\| + \|V_1R_0^\pm(\lambda^2)V_2R_0^\pm(\lambda^2)\| + \|V_2R_0^\pm(\lambda^2)VR_0^\pm(\lambda^2)\|$$

All three terms on the right-hand side are smaller than ε when λ is sufficiently large. \square

Remark. It is also true that the operators $(I + VR_0^\pm(\lambda^2))^{-1}$ are uniformly bounded on the unweighted spaces $L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)$, $1 \leq p < \frac{3}{2}$. The proof follows the same Fredholm-alternative argument, but uses (13) in place of (17) and similar substitutions. The details (with more restrictive hypotheses on V) for $p = 1$ can be found in [DanPie] and for $p = \frac{4}{3}$ in [GS2].

The primary condition on V , that $V \in L^{\frac{3}{2}(1+\varepsilon)}(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$, is translation-invariant. Indeed, if $V_y(x) = V(x - y)$ is any translate of V , then $\|V_y\| = \|V\|$. The second condition, that zero energy is neither an eigenvalue nor resonance for $-\Delta + V$, is also preserved under translation. The norm of functions in $L^{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, however, is clearly affected by translations and cannot even be bounded uniformly. Nevertheless a translation-invariant statement of lemma 17 is still possible.

Lemma 19. *Let $V \in L^{\frac{3}{2}(1+\varepsilon)}(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and assume that zero energy is neither an eigenvalue nor a resonance. Then*

$$(24) \quad \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^3} \sup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}} \|(I + V_yR_0^\pm(\lambda^2))^{-1}\|_{L^{p,1} \rightarrow L^{p,1}} < \infty \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq p \leq 1 + \varepsilon$$

Proof. The mapping $y \mapsto V_y$ is uniformly continuous, that is $\|V_y - V_z\| < \delta$ for every pair of points with $|y - z| > \delta'$. Consequently, the family of operators $V_yR_0^\pm(\lambda^2)$ are uniformly continuous with respect to variation in the y parameter. Meanwhile, by proposition 16 this family is also continuous with respect to variation in the λ parameter. It follows that

$$(y, \lambda) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R} \mapsto V_yR_0^\pm(\lambda^2) \in \mathcal{B}(L^{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^3))$$

is continuous on $\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}$.

The decay estimates (17) and (23) hold uniformly over all translations of V . Thus there exists $\lambda_0 < \infty$ such that $\|(V_yR_0^\pm(\lambda^2))^2\| < \frac{1}{2}$ for all $|\lambda| > \lambda_0$ and all $y \in \mathbb{R}^3$. As in the proof of lemma 17, the operator norm of $(I + V_yR_0^\pm(\lambda^2))^{-1}$ is controlled uniformly by $1 + \|V\|$ at these points.

Suppose V is supported in the ball $B(0, r)$ and $|y| > 3r$. Given a function $f \in L^{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, let $f_1 = \chi_{B(y, 2r)}f$ and $f_2 = f - f_1$. By construction, $f + V_yR_0^\pm(\lambda^2)f = f_2$ outside the ball $B(y, 2r)$, thus $\|f + V_yR_0^\pm(\lambda^2)f\|_{L^{p,1}} \geq \|f_2\|_{L^{p,1}}$.

Within $B(y, 2r)$, we have that $f + V_y R_0^\pm(\lambda^2)f = f_1 + V_y R_0^\pm(\lambda^2)(f_1 + f_2)$. Thus

$$\|f + V_y R_0^\pm(\lambda^2)f\|_{L^{p,1}} \geq \|f_1 + V_y R_0^\pm(\lambda^2)f_1\|_{L^{p,1}} - \|V_y R_0^\pm(\lambda^2)f_2\|_{L^{p,1}}$$

Since every point $x \in B(y, 2r)$ satisfies $r < |x| < 5r$, the weighted and unweighted norms are equivalent. There exists a constant $A > 0$ such that $\|g + V R_0^\pm(\lambda^2)g\|_{L^p} \geq A\|g\|_{L^p}$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and every $g \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)$. This is equivalent to the uniform boundedness of $(I + V R_0^\pm(\lambda^2))^{-1}$ as operators on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)$. By translation invariance, the same estimate holds if V is replaced by any V_y . It follows that

$$\|f_1 + V_y R_0^\pm(\lambda^2)f_1\|_{L^{p,1}} \geq \frac{A}{5}\|f_1\|_{L^{p,1}}$$

since the functions on both sides are supported in $B(y, 2r)$. For f_2 , the crude estimate $|R_0^\pm(\lambda^2)f_2(x)| \leq (4\pi r)^{-1}\|f_2\|_{L^1} \lesssim (4\pi r)^{-1}\|f_2\|_{L^{p,1}}$ is valid at all $x \in B(y, 2r)$. This suffices to show that

$$\|V_y R_0^\pm(\lambda^2)f_2\|_{L^{p,1}} \leq C\|V_y\|_{L^p}\|f_2\|_{L^{p,1}}$$

Applying the triangle inequality to $f = f_1 + f_2$, we conclude that

$$\|f + V_y R_0^\pm(\lambda^2)f\|_{L^{p,1}} \geq \max(\|f_2\|, \frac{A}{5}\|f\| - (\frac{A}{5} + C\|V\|)\|f_2\|) \geq \frac{A}{A + 5C\|V\| + 5}\|f\|_{L^{p,1}}$$

Here we are taking advantage of the fact that $\|V\| = \|V_y\|$. Observe that none of the constants in this inequality depend on the size or support of V . Given an arbitrary potential $V \in L^{\frac{3}{2}(1+\varepsilon)} \cap L^1$, it is then possible to choose $V_r = \chi_{|x|<r}V$ so that $\|V - V_r\| \lesssim \frac{A}{2(A+5C\|V\|+5)}$. By lemma 8 and the triangle inequality,

$$\|f + V_y R_0^\pm(\lambda^2)f\|_{L^{p,1}} \geq \frac{A}{2(A + 5C\|V\| + 5)}\|f\|_{L^{p,1}}$$

for all $|y| > 3r$.

Having established a uniform bound on $(I + V_y R_0^\pm(\lambda^2))^{-1}$ for all $|\lambda| > \lambda_0$ and for all $|y| > 3r$, only a compact region of $\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}$ remains to be considered. However $(I + V_y R_0^\pm(\lambda^2))^{-1}$ is a continuous function of (y, λ) , hence it is bounded on this domain as well. \square

4 Calculations

Our goal at this point is to prove the estimate

$$(25) \quad \int_0^\infty e^{it\lambda^2} \lambda \left\langle [R_V^+(\lambda^2)(V R_0^+(\lambda^2))^{m+2} - R_V^-(\lambda^2)(V R_0^-(\lambda^2))^{m+2}] f, g \right\rangle d\lambda \\ = \int_0^\infty e^{it\lambda^2} \lambda \langle A(\lambda)f, g \rangle d\lambda \lesssim |t|^{-\frac{3}{2}}\|f\|_1\|g\|_1$$

This will be true if and only if the operator

$$\int_0^\infty e^{it\lambda^2} \lambda A(\lambda) d\lambda$$

is a well defined map from L^1 to L^∞ whose operator norm is controlled by $|t|^{-3/2}$.

Lemma 20. For sufficiently large values of m , $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \|A(\lambda)\| = 0$ as a map from $L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ to $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$.

Proof. Decompose $A(\lambda)$ into a telescoping series

$$A(\lambda) = \left[(R_V^+(\lambda^2) - R_V^-(\lambda^2))(V R_0^+(\lambda^2))^{m+2} + \right. \\ \left. (R_V^-(\lambda^2)V) \sum_{k=0}^{m+1} (R_0^-(\lambda^2)V)^k (R_0^+(\lambda^2) - R_0^-(\lambda^2))(V R_0^+(\lambda^2))^{m+1-k} \right]$$

The difference $R_0^+(\lambda^2) - R_0^-(\lambda^2)$ is precisely a convolution with the kernel $\frac{i \sin(\lambda|x|)}{2\pi|x|}$. This maps L^1 to L^∞ with operator norm proportional to λ . The difference of perturbed resolvents has a similar bound, by the identity

$$(26) \quad R_V^+(\lambda^2) - R_V^-(\lambda^2) = (I + R_0^+(\lambda^2)V)^{-1} (R_0^+(\lambda^2) - R_0^-(\lambda^2)) (I + V R_0^-(\lambda^2))^{-1}$$

Choose m so that $(m-3)\varepsilon > 1$. By (14), each of the $m+2$ terms is a bounded map from L^1 to L^∞ with norm controlled by $\lambda(1+|\lambda|)^{-(m-3)\varepsilon}$. \square

We may then integrate by parts to obtain

$$(27) \quad \int_0^\infty e^{it\lambda^2} \lambda A(\lambda) d\lambda = -\frac{1}{2it} \int_0^\infty e^{it\lambda^2} A'(\lambda) d\lambda$$

The boundary term at infinity vanishes by lemma 20. The boundary term at $\lambda = 0$ vanishes because $R_0^+(0) = R_0^-(0)$. From this point forward, cancellation involving $R_0^+(\lambda^2) - R_0^-(\lambda^2)$ will not play a major role; this allows us to express $A'(\lambda)$ in a less cumbersome manner. Recall that

$$A'(\lambda) = \frac{d}{d\lambda} [R_V^+(\lambda^2)(V R_0^+(\lambda^2))^{m+2}] - \frac{d}{d\lambda} [R_V^-(\lambda^2)(V R_0^-(\lambda^2))^{m+2}] := B^+(\lambda) - B^-(\lambda)$$

For all $\lambda > 0$, the resolvent $R_V^+(\lambda^2)$ may be defined via two different limits, since $R_V(\lambda^2 + i0) = R_V((\lambda + i0)^2)$. If zero energy is neither a resonance nor an eigenvalue, the latter expression admits a meromorphic continuation into the half-plane $\Im \lambda > 0$, with isolated poles along the imaginary axis corresponding to bound states. A continuous extension to the boundary exists satisfying

$$R_V((-\lambda + i0)^2) = R_V((\lambda - i0)^2).$$

The same is of course true for the free resolvent as well. Finally, a meromorphic extension exists for $B^+(\lambda)$, with the boundary identity $B^+(-\lambda) = -B^-(\lambda)$ at all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. The change in sign is a result of differentiation with respect to λ . The right-hand integral in (27) may now be rewritten as

$$-\frac{1}{2it} \int_{-\infty}^\infty e^{it\lambda^2} B^+(\lambda) d\lambda$$

The proof of theorem 1 concludes with an estimate for this integral.

Lemma 21. With $V \in L^{\frac{3}{2}(1+\varepsilon)}(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $B^+(\lambda)$ defined as above,

$$\left\| \int_{-\infty}^\infty e^{it\lambda^2} B^+(\lambda) f \right\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim |t|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|f\|_{L^1}$$

for all functions $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$.

Proof. We may express $B^+(\lambda)$ as an integral operator whose kernel is given formally by the expression

$$(28) \quad B^+(\lambda, x, y) = \frac{d}{d\lambda} \left\langle (I + R_0^+(\lambda^2)V)^{-1} R_0^+(\lambda^2) (V R_0^+(\lambda^2))^m V(\cdot) \frac{e^{i\lambda|\cdot-x|}}{4\pi|\cdot-x|}, V(\cdot) \frac{e^{-i\lambda|\cdot-y|}}{4\pi|\cdot-y|} \right\rangle$$

The inner product as written above may not be well-defined because of the local singularities in V . If, however, the derivative is brought inside and applied according to the Leibniz rule, then each term will be finite. Essentially this is because $\frac{d}{d\lambda} R_0^+(\lambda^2)$ is a uniformly bounded operator from $L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ to $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$, leading to a pairing between the dual spaces $L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$.

It is sufficient to show that $B^+(\lambda, x, y)$ is uniformly bounded, and $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \frac{d}{d\lambda} [e^{-i\lambda|y-x|} B^+(\lambda, x, y)] \right| d\lambda$ is bounded uniformly in x and y . Then the lemma follows from a stationary-phase argument, estimating the size of the integral

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{it(\lambda + \frac{|y-x|}{2t})^2} [e^{-i\lambda|y-x|} B^+(\lambda, x, y)] d\lambda$$

The derivative in (28) can fall in any of $m+4$ locations, leading to a sum of four terms:

$$16\pi^2 B^+(\lambda, x, y) =$$

$$(28a) \quad i \left\langle (I + R_0^+(\lambda^2)V)^{-1} (R_0^+(\lambda^2)V)^{m+1} e^{i\lambda|\cdot-x|}, \frac{V(\cdot)e^{-i\lambda|\cdot-y|}}{|\cdot-y|} \right\rangle$$

$$(28b)$$

$$+ \sum_{k=0}^m \left\langle (I + R_0^+(\lambda^2)V)^{-1} (R_0^+(\lambda^2)V)^k \left[\frac{d}{d\lambda} R_0^+(\lambda^2) \right] (V R_0^+(\lambda^2))^{m-k} \frac{V(\cdot)e^{i\lambda|\cdot-x|}}{|\cdot-x|}, \frac{V(\cdot)e^{-i\lambda|\cdot-y|}}{|\cdot-y|} \right\rangle$$

$$(28c)$$

$$- \left\langle (I + R_0^+(\lambda^2)V)^{-1} \left[\frac{d}{d\lambda} R_0^+(\lambda^2) \right] (I + V R_0^+(\lambda^2))^{-1} (V R_0^+(\lambda^2))^{m+1} \frac{V(\cdot)e^{i\lambda|\cdot-x|}}{|\cdot-x|}, \frac{V(\cdot)e^{-i\lambda|\cdot-y|}}{|\cdot-y|} \right\rangle$$

$$(28d)$$

$$+ i \left\langle (I + V R_0^+(\lambda^2))^{-1} (V R_0^+(\lambda^2))^{m+1} \frac{V(\cdot)e^{i\lambda|\cdot-x|}}{|\cdot-x|}, e^{-i\lambda|\cdot-y|} \right\rangle$$

The formula in (28c) is a consequence of the chain rule $\frac{d}{d\lambda} M^{-1}(\lambda) = M^{-1}(\lambda) \left[\frac{d}{d\lambda} M(\lambda) \right] M^{-1}(\lambda)$ for operator-valued functions, and also the commutator relation $V(I + R_0^+(\lambda^2)V)^{-1} = (I + V R_0^+(\lambda^2)^2)^{-1} V$.

Each of the four terms is bounded uniformly in (λ, x, y) by some combination of (13), (13'), Hölder's inequality, and the following observations:

$$\sup_x \|e^{i\lambda|\cdot-x|}\|_\infty = 1.$$

$$\sup_x \|V(\cdot)|\cdot-x|^{-1}\|_1 \lesssim \|V\|.$$

$$\sup_\lambda \|(I + V R_0^+(\lambda^2))^{-1}\|_{1 \rightarrow 1} < \infty. \text{ The proof is essentially identical to that of lemma 17.}$$

$$\sup_\lambda \left\| \frac{d}{d\lambda} R_0^+(\lambda^2) \right\|_{1 \rightarrow \infty} = (4\pi)^{-1}.$$

We now turn our attention to the second assertion, that $\sup_{(x,y)} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \frac{d}{d\lambda} [e^{-i\lambda|y-x|} B^+(\lambda, x, y)] \right| d\lambda < \infty$. In fact we will prove the pointwise estimate

$$(29) \quad \left| \frac{d}{d\lambda} [e^{-i\lambda|y-x|} B^+(\lambda, x, y)] \right| \lesssim (1 + |\lambda|)^{-(m-6)\varepsilon/4} \quad \text{for all } m \geq 8.$$

so that it suffices to choose $m > \frac{4}{\varepsilon} + 6$. For the sake of brevity, we will only calculate explicitly the derivatives associated to a typical term in the expression (28b). The same techniques apply equally well to each of the other terms.

Suppose the derivative falls anywhere except on the already-differentiated resolvent, a typical example being

$$\left\langle (I + R_0^+(\lambda^2)V)^{-1}(R_0^+(\lambda^2)V)^\ell \left[\frac{d}{d\lambda} R_0^+(\lambda^2) \right] V (R_0^+(\lambda^2)V)^{k-\ell-1} \right. \\ \left. \left[e^{-i\lambda|x-y|} \frac{d}{d\lambda} R_0^+(\lambda^2) \right] (V R_0^+(\lambda^2))^{m-k} \frac{V(\cdot)e^{i\lambda|\cdot-x|}}{|\cdot-x|}, \frac{V(\cdot)e^{-i\lambda|\cdot-y|}}{|\cdot-y|} \right\rangle$$

Using (14) and the four observations listed above, this term is seen to be less than $(1 + |\lambda|)^{-(m-7)\varepsilon}$. Of particular note here is the fact that multiplication by V is a bounded map between $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$.

The case where the derivative falls on $(I + R_0^+(\lambda^2)V)^{-1}$ has only superficial differences, since the operator

$$\frac{d}{d\lambda} (I + R_0^+(\lambda^2)V)^{-1} = (I + R_0^+(\lambda^2)V)^{-1} \left[\frac{d}{d\lambda} R_0^+(\lambda^2) \right] (I + V R_0^+(\lambda^2))^{-1} V$$

is still bounded on $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ uniformly in λ .

In order to address the case where both derivatives fall in the same place, we use estimates in $L_x^{p,\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, the weighted norm space defined by

$$\|f\|_{L_x^{p,\sigma}} := \|(1 + |\cdot - x|)^\sigma f\|_{L^p}$$

This cannot easily be avoided, as the kernel of $\frac{d^2}{d\lambda^2} R_0^+(\lambda^2)$ experiences polynomial growth in the spatial variables. Note that $L_x^{p',-\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is the dual space to $L_x^{p,\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ for any $1 \leq p < \infty, \sigma \in \mathbb{R}$.

It is clear by translation that the action of $V R_0^+(\lambda^2)$ on $L_x^{p,1}$ is equivalent to that of $V_{-x} R_0^+(\lambda^2)$ acting on $L^{p,1}$. The bounds in lemma 8 and its corollaries (in particular, (21)) therefore hold on all spaces $L_x^{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with p in the appropriate range. Similarly, lemma 19 asserts that $(I + V R_0^\pm(\lambda^2))^{-1}$ is bounded on all $L_x^{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, uniformly in x .

Two other observations are worth noting at this point. First is the norm bound

$$\left\| \frac{V(\cdot)}{|\cdot-x|} \right\|_{L_x^{1,1}} \lesssim \|V\|$$

which holds for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$. Second, the operator $\frac{d}{d\lambda} [e^{-i\lambda|y-x|} \frac{d}{d\lambda} R_0^+(\lambda^2)]$ maps $L_x^{1,1}$ to $L_y^{\infty,-1}$. This is seen by examining the integration kernel

$$|K(x_2, x_1)| = \left| \frac{d}{d\lambda} e^{i\lambda(|x_2-x_1|-|y-x_1|)} \right| \leq |x_2 - y| + |x_1 - x|$$

Clearly $\sup_{x_1, x_2} |(1 + |x_2 - y|)^{-1} K(x_2, x_1) (1 + |x_1 - x|)^{-1}| \leq 2$.

We now return to the remaining term in $\frac{d}{d\lambda} [e^{-i\lambda|x-y|} B^+(\lambda, x, y)]$, namely:

$$\left\langle (I + R_0^+(\lambda^2)V)^{-1}(R_0^+(\lambda^2)V)^k \left[\frac{d}{d\lambda} (e^{-i\lambda|y-x|} \frac{d}{d\lambda} R_0^+(\lambda^2)) \right] (V R_0^+(\lambda^2))^{m-k} \frac{V(\cdot)e^{i\lambda|\cdot-x|}}{|\cdot-x|}, \frac{V(\cdot)e^{-i\lambda|\cdot-y|}}{|\cdot-y|} \right\rangle$$

By (21), its dual, and the above mapping estimate for the twice-differentiated resolvent, the left-hand function is in $L_y^{\infty,-1}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with norm less than $(1 + |\lambda|)^{-(m-6)\varepsilon/4}$. The right-hand function is in the dual space $L_y^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with norm controlled by $\|V\|$.

The pairing of these two functions is therefore finite, and controlled pointwise in λ by the integrable expression $(1 + |\lambda|)^{-(m-6)\varepsilon/4}$. \square

References

- [Ag] Agmon, S. *Spectral properties of Schrödinger operators and scattering theory*. Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4) 2 (1975), no. 2, 151–218.
- [DanPie] D’Ancona, P., Pierfelice, V. *On the wave equation with a large rough potential*, preprint.
- [GS1] Goldberg, M., Schlag, W. *Dispersive estimates for the Schrödinger operator in dimensions one and three*, Comm. Math. Phys. 251 (2004), no. 3, 157–178.
- [GS2] Goldberg, M., Schlag, W. *A limiting absorption principle for the three-dimensional Schrödinger equation with L^p potentials*, Intl. Math. Res. Not. 2004:75 (2004), 4049–4071.
- [IonJer] Ionescu, A., Jerison, D. *On the absence of positive eigenvalues of Schrödinger operators with rough potentials*. Geom. and Func. Anal. 13 (2003), 1029–1081.
- [Jen1] Jensen, A. *Spectral properties of Schrödinger operators and time-decay of the wave functions results in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^m)$, $m \geq 5$* . Duke Math. J. 47 (1980), no. 1, 57–80.
- [Jen2] Jensen, A. *Spectral properties of Schrödinger operators and time-decay of the wave functions. Results in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^4)$* . J. Math. Anal. Appl. 101 (1984), no. 2, 397–422.
- [JenKat] Jensen, A., Kato, T. *Spectral properties of Schrödinger operators and time-decay of the wave functions*. Duke Math. J. 46 (1979), no. 3, 583–611.
- [JSS] Journé, J.-L., Soffer, A., Sogge, C. D. *Decay estimates for Schrödinger operators*. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 44 (1991), no. 5, 573–604.
- [Kato] Kato, T. *Wave operators and similarity for some non-selfadjoint operators*. Math. Ann. 162 (1965/1966), 258–279.
- [KRS] Kenig, C. E. , Ruiz, A., Sogge, C. D. *Uniform Sobolev inequalities and unique continuation for second order constant coefficient differential operators*, Duke Math. J. 55 (1987), no. 2, 329–347.
- [KocTat] Koch, H., Tataru, D., *Sharp counterexamples in unique continuation for second order elliptic equations*. J. Reine Angew. Math. 542 (2002), 133–146.
- [LieLos] Lieb, E., Loss, M., *Analysis*. Second edition. Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 14. American Mathematical Society, Providence, 2001.
- [Rau] Rauch, J. *Local decay of scattering solutions to Schrödinger’s equation*. Comm. Math. Phys. 61 (1978), no. 2, 149–168.

- [ReedSim] Reed, M., Simon, B. *Methods of modern mathematical physics. IV. Analysis of operators.* Academic Press [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, Publishers], New York-London, 1978.
- [RodSch] Rodnianski, I., Schlag, W. *Time decay for solutions of Schrödinger equations with rough and time-dependent potentials.* Invent. Math. 155 (2004), no. 3, 451–513.
- [Yaj] Yajima, K. *The $W^{k,p}$ -continuity of wave operators for Schrödinger operators.* J. Math. Soc. Japan 47 (1995), no. 3, 551–581.

DIVISION OF ASTRONOMY, MATHEMATICS, AND PHYSICS, 253-37 CALTECH, PASADENA, CA 91125, U.S.A.
email: **mikeg@its.caltech.edu**