

Reprinted from the MATHEMATICS MAGAZINE
Vol. 48, No. 4, September, 1975
pp. 211-215

QUARTIC EQUATIONS AND TETRAHEDRAL SYMMETRIES

ROGER CHALKLEY, University of Cincinnati

1. Introduction. In Section 2, we give a short derivation of formulas for the roots of a quartic equation. A closely related representation of the symmetric group S_4 by matrices of size 3×3 is presented in Section 3. Geometric interpretations follow in Section 6.

Throughout, let F be a field in which $1 + 1 \neq 0$. For us, the matrix

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

is basic. It has $H^2 = I$ and $H^{-1} = H$.

Suppose 4×4 matrices B and D over F satisfy $BH = HD$. Then, it is easy to verify: D is a diagonal matrix if and only if B has the form

$$(1) \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & \beta & \gamma & \delta \\ \beta & \alpha & \delta & \gamma \\ \gamma & \delta & \alpha & \beta \\ \delta & \gamma & \beta & \alpha \end{bmatrix}$$

When B is given by (1), the diagonal elements of $D = HBH$ are

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} \xi_1 &= \alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta, \\ \xi_2 &= \alpha + \beta - \gamma - \delta, \\ \xi_3 &= \alpha - \beta + \gamma - \delta, \\ \xi_4 &= \alpha - \beta - \gamma + \delta. \end{aligned}$$

2. Formulas for the roots of a quartic equation.

THEOREM. Suppose a, b, c, r_1, r_2, r_3 are elements of F such that

$$(3) \quad Y^3 + 2aY^2 + (a^2 - 4c)Y - b^2 = (Y - r_1^2)(Y - r_2^2)(Y - r_3^2)$$

and $r_1 r_2 r_3 = -b$. Then, the quartic equation

$$X^4 + aX^2 + bX + c = 0$$

has four roots $\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3, \xi_4$ in F given by

$$(4) \quad [\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3, \xi_4] = [0, r_1, r_2, r_3]H.$$

Proof. Set $\alpha = 0, \beta = \frac{1}{2}r_1, \gamma = \frac{1}{2}r_2, \delta = \frac{1}{2}r_3$; define B by (1); and, set

$$(5) \quad X^4 + \rho X^2 + \sigma X + \tau = \det(XI - B).$$

We expand (5) and use (3) with $r_1 r_2 r_3 = -b$ to obtain

$$\rho = -2(\beta^2 + \gamma^2 + \delta^2) = (-r_1^2 - r_2^2 - r_3^2)/2 = (2a)/2 = a,$$

$$\sigma = -8\beta\gamma\delta = -r_1 r_2 r_3 = b, \quad \text{and}$$

$$\tau = \beta^4 + \gamma^4 + \delta^4 - 2(\beta^2\gamma^2 + \beta^2\delta^2 + \gamma^2\delta^2)$$

$$= (\beta^2 + \gamma^2 + \delta^2)^2 - 4(\beta^2\gamma^2 + \beta^2\delta^2 + \gamma^2\delta^2)$$

$$= (r_1^2 + r_2^2 + r_3^2)^2/16 - 4(r_1^2 r_2^2 + r_1^2 r_3^2 + r_2^2 r_3^2)/16$$

$$= (-2a)^2/16 - 4(a^2 - 4c)/16 = c.$$

For the diagonal elements of $D = HBH$, (2) yields (4). We find

$$X^4 + aX^2 + bX + c = \det(XI - B) = \det(XI - D) = \prod_{s=1}^4 (X - \xi_s).$$

This completes the proof.

For other derivations, see [7], [5], [6], and [1]; the notation of [5] and [6] corresponds to a substitution of $-Y$ for Y in (3).

3. A representation for the symmetric group S_4 . Let Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_6 be the six permutation matrices of size 3×3 . Set

$$R_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad R_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$R_3 = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad R_4 = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

PROPOSITION. Under multiplication, the twenty-four 3×3 matrices

$$(6) \quad Q_j R_k, \quad \text{for } j=1, \dots, 6 \quad \text{and } k=1, \dots, 4,$$

form a group which is isomorphic to S_4 .

Proof. We define matrices P_j and T_k of size 4×4 by

$$P_j = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & & & \\ 0 & & Q_j & \\ 0 & & & \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad T_k = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & & & \\ 0 & & R_k & \\ 0 & & & \end{bmatrix},$$

for $j=1, \dots, 6$ and $k=1, \dots, 4$. We shall show that the matrices

$$(7) \quad P_j T_k, \quad \text{for } j=1, \dots, 6 \quad \text{and } k=1, \dots, 4,$$

form a group isomorphic to S_4 ; then, the same is clearly true for (6).

Let P be a 4×4 permutation matrix. Then, $2PH$ is obtained from $2H$ by a permutation of the rows of $2H$. Thus, the number of components equal to -1 in the first row of $2PH$ is either zero or two; and, each component of the first column of $2PH$ equals 1. We select k from 1, 2, 3, 4 such that each component of the first row of $2PHT_k$ equals 1. Then, each component of the first column of $2PHT_k$ also equals 1; the four columns of $2PHT_k$ are distinct; and, each of the last three columns of $2PHT_k$ has two components equal to 1 and two components equal to -1 . Hence, $2H$ can be obtained from $2PHT_k$ by a permutation of the last three columns of $2PHT_k$. We select j from 1, 2, \dots , 6 so that

$$(2PHT_k)P_j^{-1} = 2H \quad \text{and} \quad HPH = P_j T_k^{-1} = P_j T_k.$$

Consequently, HPH is one of the matrices in (7).

Under multiplication, the twenty-four permutation matrices of size 4×4 form a group which is isomorphic to S_4 . As P ranges over the permutation matrices of size 4×4 , the corresponding matrices HPH form a group isomorphic to S_4 ; but, these are the matrices of (7). This completes the proof.

4. Permutations of the roots. From Section 2, we have

$$[0, r_1, r_2, r_3] = [\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3, \xi_4]H.$$

Thus, we obtain $r_1 - r_2 = \xi_2 - \xi_3$, $r_1 + r_2 = \xi_1 - \xi_4$, \dots , and

$$(r_1^2 - r_2^2)(r_1^2 - r_3^2)(r_2^2 - r_3^2) = \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq 4} (\xi_j - \xi_k).$$

(For the discriminant of $X^4 + aX^2 + bX + c$, see [7], pp. 173-174.)

As $Q_j R_k$ ranges over the 3×3 matrices of (6), the formula

$$[r'_1, r'_2, r'_3] = [r_1, r_2, r_3](Q_j R_k)$$

specifies the elements r'_1, r'_2, r'_3 of F which can be substituted for r_1, r_2, r_3 to satisfy the hypothesis of the Theorem in Section 2. The corresponding roots $\xi'_1, \xi'_2, \xi'_3, \xi'_4$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} [\xi'_1, \xi'_2, \xi'_3, \xi'_4] &= [0, r'_1, r'_2, r'_3]H = [0, r_1, r_2, r_3](P_j T_k)H \\ (8) \qquad \qquad \qquad &= [0, r_1, r_2, r_3]H(HP_j T_k H) = [\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3, \xi_4]P, \end{aligned}$$

where P is the 4×4 permutation matrix $P = HP_j T_k H$.

5. Several observations. Here, let F be the field of complex numbers. Then, each finite group has a character table over F ([4], p. 306). The $n \times n$ matrix M_n of [2] specifies a character table for a cyclic group of order n ([4], ex. 10 of pp. 309, 342); and, for $n = 4$, the formula

$$[\xi'_1, \xi'_2, \xi'_3, \xi'_4] = [0, u'_0, v'_0, w'_0]M_4$$

relates the roots of $X^4 + aX^2 + bX + c$ to elements u'_0, v'_0, w'_0 described in [2]. With (8), we have

$$[0, u'_0, v'_0, w'_0] = [0, r_1, r_2, r_3](HPH)(HM_4^{-1}).$$

In contrast, the matrix $2H$ specifies a character table for the four-group ([4], ex. 11 of pp. 309, 342). Thus, two nonisomorphic groups of order 4 account for the distinct solution procedures (based on M_4 and H) for a quartic equation.

6. Tetrahedral symmetries. Now, let F be the field of real numbers. Relative to a rectangular cartesian coordinate system, the points $(1, 1, 1)$, $(1, -1, -1)$, $(-1, 1, -1)$, $(-1, -1, 1)$ are the vertices of a regular tetrahedron. The matrices of (6) represent isometries which map this tetrahedron onto itself. Each of R_2, R_3, R_4 specifies a half-turn about a line through the midpoints of a pair of opposite edges of the tetrahedron. The isometries represented by Q_1, Q_2, Q_3, Q_4 form a group of three rotations and three reflections; they leave the vertex $(1, 1, 1)$ fixed and permute the other three vertices. Thus, the full group of isometries for a regular tetrahedron is conveniently represented by (6); it is isomorphic to S_4 . The twelve matrices of (6) with determinant equal to 1 represent all the rotations for the tetrahedron; they correspond to the even permutations in S_4 . Thus, the group of direct isometries for a regular tetrahedron is isomorphic to the alternating subgroup of S_4 . For other viewpoints, see [3].

#

References

1. R. Chalkley, Cardan's formulas and biquadratic equations, this MAGAZINE, 47 (1974) 8-14.
2. ———, Circulant matrices and algebraic equations, this MAGAZINE, 48 (1975) 73-80.
3. H. S. M. Coxeter, Introduction to Geometry, Wiley, New York, 1961, pp. 271-272.
4. E. D. Nering, Linear Algebra and Matrix Theory, Wiley, New York, 1970, pp. 292-309.
5. B. L. van der Waerden, Modern Algebra, vol. 1, Ungar, New York, 1953, pp. 180-182.
6. S. Warner, Modern Algebra, vol. 2, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, 1965, pp. 574-578.
7. H. Weber, Lehrbuch der Algebra, vol. 1, Chelsea, New York, 1963, pp. 135-136.