On large deviations of empirical measures for stationary Gaussian processes

Włodzimierz Bryc * Department of Mathematics University of Cincinnati Cincinnati, OH 45 221 bryc@uc.edu Amir Dembo[†] Department of Mathematics and Department of Statistics Stanford University Stanford, CA 94 305 amir@playfair.stanford.edu

December 30, 1993 Revised: October 12, 1994

Abstract

We show that the Large Deviation Principle with respect to the weak topology holds for the empirical measure of any stationary continuous time Gaussian process with continuous vanishing at infinity spectral density. We also point out that Large Deviation Principle might fail in both continuous and discrete time if the spectral density is discontinuous.

1 Introduction

Large deviation principle (LDP) of empirical process for Gaussian random sequences with continuous spectral density were analyzed by several authors: Donsker and Varadhan [9] give LDP with explicit rate function for \mathbb{R} -valued \mathbb{Z} -indexed processes with continuous spectral density f(s) such that $\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \log f(s) ds > -\infty$; Steinberg and Zeitouni [14, Theorem 1] extend the LDP to \mathbb{R} -valued \mathbb{Z}^d -indexed processes with continuous spectral density f(s) such that $\inf_s f(s) > 0$; Baxter and Jain [1, Theorem 4.25] prove LDP for \mathbb{R}^d -valued \mathbb{Z} -indexed processes with continuous spectral density matrix F(s) such that $F(-\pi) = F(\pi)$. LDP of empirical process for a continuous time Gaussian processes LDP is established by hypercontractivity methods in [6, Section 5] for \mathbb{R}^d -valued \mathbb{R} -indexed processes with differentiable spectral density matrix that satisfies certain additional assumptions. [4] analyze in detail large deviations for the empirical distributions of \mathbb{Z}^d -indexed stationary Gaussian random fields with $d \geq 3$ under the assumption that the covariance is given by the Green function of an irreducible transient random walk. Several authors studied LDP for (certain) additive functionals of Gaussian processes/sequences/fields, see [2, 5, 8].

In this paper we analyze the large deviation principle (LDP) of empirical measures for stationary Gaussian processes. For simplicity, we consider only one-dimensional index set, real processes, and limit our attention to empirical measures only. We extend the continuous time LDP that follows from [6] to continuous spectral densities that vanish at infinity. We also show that both this result

 $^\dagger\mathrm{Partially}$ supported by NSF DMS92-09712 grant and by a US-ISRAEL BSF grant.

^{*}Partially supported by C. P. Taft Memorial Fund

Current address: Department of Electrical Engineering, Technion, IIT, Haifa 32000, Israel.

Key Words: large deviations, empirical measure, Gaussian processes.

AMS (1991) Subject Classification: 60F10

and the discrete time result from [1] cannot be extended to all bounded spectral densities. This should be contrasted with LDP of quadratic additive functionals, as analyzed in [5], where bounded spectral densities suffice.

We use the following notation.

Let Σ be a Polish space and $M_1(\Sigma)$ denote the space of (Borel) probability measures on Σ equipped with the weak topology, i.e., topology generated by the collection

$$\{\nu \in M_1(\Sigma) : |\int_{\Sigma} F d\nu - x| < \delta\},\$$

where $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $\delta > 0$ and $F \in C_b(\Sigma, \mathbb{R})$ – the vector space of all bounded, real-valued, continuous functions on Σ . It is well known that $M_1(\Sigma)$ is a Polish space with the metric $\beta(\mu, \nu) = \sup |\int F d\mu - \int F d\nu|$, where the supremum is taken over all bounded Lipshitz functions F of Lipschitz constant at most 1 with $||F||_{\infty} \leq 1$, see [11, Sections 11.3 and 11.5].

By $C_0(\mathbb{R})$ we denote the set of all continuous functions $\mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ that vanish at $\pm \infty$. We shall use the fact that if $f \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$ then f is bounded and uniformly continuous.

Recall the following.

Definition 1 A family of random variables $\{Y_T\}_{T>0}$ taking values in a topological space \mathcal{X} equipped with the Borel σ -field \mathcal{B} satisfies the Large Deviation Principle, if there is a lower semicontinuous rate function $I : \mathcal{X} \to [0, \infty]$, with compact level sets $I^{-1}([0, a])$ for all a > 0, and such that for all $\Gamma \in \mathcal{B}$,

$$-\inf_{x\in\Gamma^{o}}I(x)\leq\liminf_{T\to\infty}\frac{1}{T}\log P(Y_{T}\in\Gamma)\leq\limsup_{T\to\infty}\frac{1}{T}\log P(Y_{T}\in\Gamma)\leq-\inf_{x\in\overline{\Gamma}}I(x),$$

where $\overline{\Gamma}$ denotes the closure of Γ , Γ^{o} the interior of Γ , and the infimum of a function over an empty set is interpreted as ∞ .

We shall say that weak LDP holds, if the upper bound holds for pre-compact $\Gamma \in \mathcal{B}$ only and the sets $I^{-1}([0, a])$ are only required to be closed.

Clearly, it is enough to verify the LDP on sub-sequences. With this in mind we give the following.

Definition 2 A family of random variables $\{Y_T\}_{T>0}$ is exponentially tight¹, if for every sequence $T_n \to \infty$ and each M > 0 there is a pre-compact $K \in \mathcal{B}$ such that

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{T_n} \log P(Y_{T_n} \notin K) \le -M.$$

It is well known that weak LDP for exponentially tight sequence implies LDP, see [7]; for continuous index T this holds true also with the definition of exponential tightness as given above.

Recall that the spectral density of a continuous time real process X_t is an integrable even nonnegative function f such that $EX_0X_t = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{its} f(s)ds$. The spectral density of a discrete time process $\{X_j\}$ is a periodic (with the period 2π) even non-negative integrable function f such that $EX_0X_k = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{iks} f(s)ds$.

In this note we limit our attention to Gaussian stationary processes that posses spectral density. In particular, by continuity of the covariance such a process is mean-square continuous, hence it has a measurable modification (see [10, Chapter II, Theorem 2.6]). We assume hereafter that we are dealing with such a modification.

For a continuous time Σ -valued measurable processes $\{X_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ on a complete probability space we define empirical measures by

$$L_T = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \delta_{X_t} dt \qquad \text{(Pettis integral)},\tag{1}$$

¹For a continuous index T, this is not the standard definition. However, it effectively replaces the usual one in the large deviation proofs.

where δ_y denote the probability measure degenerate at $y \in \Sigma$. Notice that L_T is a well defined $M_1(\Sigma)$ -valued random variable; for the details, see Appendix A.

For a sequence $\{X_j\}_{j=0}^{\infty}$ of random variables which take values in Σ we consider the empirical measures

$$L_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \delta_{X_j} \; .$$

Since the discrete time case embeds into continuous case by the piecewise constant mapping $t \mapsto X_{[t]+1}$, in general statements below we consider continuous time only.

$\mathbf{2}$ Results

The following is a special case of [1, Theorem 4.25]. A short self-contained proof is given below for completeness.

Theorem A If $\{X_k\}$ is a real stationary Gaussian sequence such that $X_k - m$ has continuous spectral density, then the empirical measures L_n satisfy the LDP in $M_1(\mathbb{R})$ with a convex rate function.

Our next result is a continuous time analog of Theorem A; it partially answers [1, Section 6: Question (d)]. The main improvement is that we do not require differentiability of the spectral density as assumed in [6]. We consider LDP of empirical measures, but we do not anticipate any difficulties with the extension to empirical process level, provided product topology is used in the trajectories $\mathbb{R}^{[0,\infty)}$.

As in discrete time, the LDP rate function is not easily identifiable, c. f., [1, Section 6 (a) & (c)].

Theorem 2.1 If $\{X_t\}$ is a real measurable stationary Gaussian process such that $X_t - m$ has spectral density $f \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$, then empirical measures L_T satisfy the LDP in $M_1(\mathbb{R})$ with the convex rate function.

Theorem 2.1 implies the LDP for the p-th moment averages, completing [5, Theorem 2.1]. (We omit the proof which is based on Lemma 3.1(ii) and [5, Theorem 2.1].)

Corollary 2.1 If $\{X_t\}$ has spectral density in $C_0(\mathbb{R})$ then for $0 \le p \le 2$ the averages $\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T |X_t|^p dt$ satisfy the LDP with a convex rate function.

In [5], the LDP for quadratic functionals of Gaussian processes is established under the sole assumption of boundness of the spectral density. This raises the question of generalizing Theorem A and Theorem 2.1 to a larger class of spectral densities. In Theorem 2.2 below we show that [5, Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 cannot be extended from quadratic to all bounded continuous additive functionals. In particular, Theorem A does not hold for all bounded spectral densities, and Theorem 2.1 does not hold for all bounded, compactly supported spectral densities.

The case of piecewise continuous spectral densities is left unresolved. In fact, it is not known whether [5, Corollary 2.2] holds for p = 1 and piecewise continuous spectral densities with finite number of discontinuities and left/right limits; this class of spectral densities occurs in electrical engineering literature. Our method of proof is not applicable to this case, see Remark 4.1.

Theorem 2.2 (i) Suppose $\{X_j\}$ is a real centered stationary Gaussian sequence with strictly positive

and bounded spectral density $f(s) = 2 + \sin \log |s|, -\pi \le s \le \pi$. Then there is a bounded continuous function $F : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ such that the LDP for $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} F(X_j)$ fails. (ii) Suppose $\{X_t\}$ is a real centered stationary Gaussian process with bounded and compactly supported spectral density $g(s) = f(s) \frac{(s/2)^2}{\sin^2(s/2)} 1_{|s| \le \pi}$, with f(s) the spectral density from part (i). Then there is a bounded continuous function $F: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ such that the LDP for $\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T F(X_t) dt$ fails.

By contraction principle we get.

Corollary 2.2 (i) There is a real stationary centered Gaussian sequence $\{X_j\}$ with bounded and strictly positive spectral density whose empirical measures $L_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \delta_{X_j}$ do not satisfy the LDP in $M_1(\mathbb{R})$.

(ii) There is a real stationary centered Gaussian process $\{X_t\}$ with bounded and compactly supported spectral density whose empirical measures $L_T = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \delta_{X_t} dt$ do not satisfy the LDP in $M_1(\mathbb{R})$.

Since the spectral density of Theorem 2.2 satisfies $3 - f(s) \ge 0$ we also get the following.

Corollary 2.3 There are independent real stationary centered Gaussian processes $\{X_j\}, \{Y_j\}$ such that the empirical measures of $\{X_j + Y_j\}$ satisfy the LDP (being i.i.d.), but the LDP for empirical measures of $\{X_j\}$ fails.

In [5] we show that for any real stationary centered Gaussian process with bounded spectral density

$$\limsup_{T \to \infty} T^{-1} \log E \exp \alpha \int_0^T |X_t|^2 dt < \infty$$

for some $\alpha > 0$. Exponential tightness of the empirical measures L_T then follows from the proof of [15, Lemma 8.7] and by [13, Theorem 1] we get the following completion to Corollary 2.2.

Corollary 2.4 If X_t (respectively, X_j) is a stationary Gaussian process (sequence) with bounded spectral density, then from any sequence of empirical measures L_{T_n} one can select a (deterministic) subsequence that satisfies LDP.

3 Proofs

3.1 General Lemmas

The following definition is a specification of [7, Section 4.2.2].

Definition 3 L_T^M are exponentially good approximations of L_T if for every $\delta > 0$

$$\lim_{M \to \infty} \limsup_{T \to \infty} T^{-1} \log P(\beta(L_T^M, L_T) > \delta) = -\infty.$$
⁽²⁾

Our proof of Theorem 2.1 is based on the following approximation lemma, similar to [5, Lemma 4.8] and [1, Theorem 4.9], who give discrete time versions. We state Lemma 3.1 in more generality than what is needed below.

Let ${\rm I\!E}$ be a separable Banach space with the norm $\|\cdot\|$.

Lemma 3.1 Suppose $X_t = Y_t^M + Z_t^M$ are \mathbb{E} -valued and such that for each $\theta > 0$

$$\lim_{M \to \infty} \limsup_{T \to \infty} T^{-1} \log E\left(\exp(\theta \int_0^T \|Z_t^M\| dt)\right) = 0.$$
(3)

(i) If for all M the empirical measures L_T^M for Y_t^M satisfy the LDP in $M_1(\mathbb{E})$ with the rate function $I_M(\cdot)$, then the empirical measures for X_t satisfy the LDP in $M_1(\mathbb{E})$ with a rate function $I(\cdot)$. Moreover, if for all M the rate functions $I_M(\cdot)$ are convex, then $I(\cdot)$ is convex. (ii) If for all M the averages of Y_t^M satisfy the LDP then the averages of X_t satisfy the LDP.

Remark 3.1 In case (i) one may replace $||Z_t^M||$ in (3) by $||Z_t^M|| \wedge 1$. Also from [1, Theorem 3.11] in case each $I_M(\cdot)$ has a unique zero, then $I(\cdot)$ has a unique zero.

Proof. We prove only part (i); for a proof in discrete time – compare [1]. The proof of (ii) is very similar (also yielding convexity of rate function if all rate functions for averages of Y_t^M are convex).

Notice that (3) implies that L_T^M are exponentially good approximations of L_T in $M_1(\mathbb{E})$. Indeed, for f of Lipschitz constant at most 1 and bounded by 1 we have $|f(X_t) - f(Y_t^M)| \leq 2||Z_t^M|| \wedge 1$, implying that

$$\beta(L_T^M, L_T) \le 2T^{-1} \int_0^T (\|Z_t^M\| \wedge 1) dt.$$

Thus exponentially good approximation follows from (3) by Chebyshev inequality, taking first $T \to \infty$, then $M \to \infty$, and finally $\theta \to \infty$.

By [7, Theorem 4.2.16(a)] the weak LDP of L_T follows. To complete the proof of the LDP suffices to show that L_T are exponentially tight. To this end, fix $T_n \to \infty$, $\delta > 0$ and $\alpha < \infty$. Fix M large enough so that for all $n \ge n_0$

$$P(\beta(L_{T_n}^M, L_{T_n}) > \delta) \le e^{-\alpha T_n}.$$

Since $M_1(\mathbb{E})$ is Polish, the LDP for $\{L_{T_n}^M\}$ imply exponential tightness of this sequence (c. f. [7, Exercise 4.1.10]). In particular, for some $K \subset M_1(\mathbb{E})$ compact and all $n \ge n_1$

$$P(L_{T_n}^M \notin K) \le e^{-\alpha T_n}$$

Let $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^m$ be the centers of a finite cover of K by balls of radius δ and note that for all $n \geq \max(n_0, n_1)$,

$$P(L_{T_n} \notin \bigcup_{i=1}^m B_{x_i, 2\delta}) \le P(L_{T_n}^M \notin K) + P(\beta(L_{T_n}^M, L_{T_n}) > \delta) \le 2e^{-\alpha T_n} .$$
(4)

Since $M_1(\mathbb{E})$ is Polish, increasing *m* if needed, (4) applies for all *n*. With δ , α and T_n arbitrary, it follows that L_T are exponentially tight (c.f. [7, Exercise 4.1.10 (a)]).

Suppose now that all rate functions $I_M(\cdot)$ are convex. To prove that then $I(\cdot)$ is also convex we use the alternative expression

$$I(\mu) = \sup_{\delta > 0} \limsup_{M \to \infty} \inf_{\nu \in B(\mu, \delta)} I_M(\nu), \tag{5}$$

given in the last line of the proof of [7, Theorem 4.2.16 (a)].

Fix $\mu, \nu \in M_1(\mathbb{E})$. If $\beta(\mu, \mu_1) < \delta$ and $\beta(\nu, \nu_1) < \delta$ then clearly $\beta\left(\frac{1}{2}(\mu + \nu), \frac{1}{2}(\mu_1 + \nu_1)\right) < \delta$. Therefore from (5)

$$I\left(\frac{1}{2}(\mu+\nu)\right) \leq \sup_{\delta>0} \limsup_{M\to\infty} \inf_{\nu_1\in B(\nu,\delta),\ \mu_1\in B(\mu,\delta)} I_M\left(\frac{1}{2}(\nu_1+\mu_1)\right) \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(I(\mu)+I(\nu)\right).$$

The following comparison lemma is of interest.

Lemma 3.2 If Z_t and V_t are stationary centered Gaussian processes (sequences) with spectral densities h, g such that

$$h(s) \le g(s) \quad \forall s$$

then for bounded measurable $a(t) \ge 0$ and $1 \le q \le 2$

$$E \exp \int_0^T a(t) |Z_t|^q dt \le E \exp \int_0^T a(t) |V_t|^q dt \tag{6}$$

Proof. Take an independent of $\{Z_t\}$ stationary centered Gaussian $\{Y_t\}$ with spectral density g(s) - h(s). Then $V_t = Y_t + Z_t$ and by independence $Z_t = E\{V_t | \sigma(Z_t, t \in \mathbb{R})\}$. Inequality (6) follows by Jensen's inequality and the convexity of the map $V_{(\cdot)} \mapsto \exp(\int_0^T a(t) |V_t|^q dt)$. \Box

Our main estimate is as follows.

Lemma 3.3 If the spectral density of a (centered) Gaussian process Z_t (sequence) is bounded, $f(s) \le \epsilon$, then for every $\theta > 0$

$$\limsup_{T \to \infty} T^{-1} \log E \exp \theta \int_0^T |Z_t| dt \le 26\epsilon \theta^2 + \frac{EZ_0^2}{13\epsilon}.$$
(7)

(In discrete time put $Z_t = Z_{[t]+1}$.)

Proof. We prove only continuous time version. For the discrete time (and multivariate) version, one needs only to use [5, Theorem 2.2] instead of [5, Theorem 2.1].

Notice that for every A > 0 we have

$$\int_0^T |Z_t| dt \le \sqrt{T} \left(\int_0^T |Z_t|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \le AT + \frac{1}{A} \int_0^T |Z_t|^2 dt.$$

Using this with $A = 26\epsilon\theta$ we get by [5, Theorem 2.1]

$$\limsup_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \log E \exp \theta \int_0^T |Z_t| dt \le 26\epsilon \theta^2 - \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{-\infty}^\infty \log \left(1 - \frac{2\pi}{13\epsilon} f(s)\right) ds.$$

Since for $0 < x < \frac{2\pi}{13}$ we have $-\log(1-x) = x + x^2/2 + x^3/3 \dots < 2x$, the inequality follows. \Box

Proof of Theorem A. Without loss of generality we assume m = 0.

Given i.i.d. N(0,1) sequence γ_k , write $X_t = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} a_k \gamma_{k+t}$ (which holds, if spectral density exists), and let

$$Y_t^M = \sum_{|k| < M} a_k \left(1 - \frac{|k|}{M} \right) \gamma_{k+t}$$

Since the spectral density is continuous, $Z_t^M = X_t - Y_t^M$ is of spectral density $g_M(s) \to 0$ uniformly in s (Fejér's theorem). By Remark 4.2 (and Hölder's inequality), this establishes (3).

Since Y_t^M is finitely dependent, and for finitely dependent sequences the LDP holds (see e. g., [7, Section 6.4.2]), Lemma 3.1(i) ends the proof. \Box

3.2 Proof of Theorem 2.1

The proof of Theorem 2.1 proceeds in the same pattern as the discrete one. First, without loss of generality we assume m = 0.

We shall use Lemma 3.1 and a continuous time variant² of the approximation scheme from [9]. Let $f^2(s)$ be the spectral density of $\{X_t\}$ with $f(s) \ge 0$. Write $X_t = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{its} f(s) dW_s$ (the spectral representation; see [10, Chapter XI, (8.2)]) and define

$$Y_t = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{its} h(s) dW_s$$

where

$$h(s) = h_M(s) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(s-u) \frac{1 - \cos Mu}{\pi M u^2} du.$$
 (8)

²Direct discretization of time runs into technical difficulties even for processes with continuous trajectories.

Clearly, $h = f \star v$ where $f \geq 0$ is in \mathbb{L}_2 and $v \geq 0$ is in \mathbb{L}_1 ; by Young's inequality $h \in \mathbb{L}_2$, and Y_t is well defined. The Fourier transform H of h(s) is $H(t) = (1 - \frac{|t|}{M})F(t)$ for |t| < M, where F is the Fourier transform of f; H(t) = 0 for |T| > M. Put $Z_t^M = X_t - Y_t$. We first show that (3) holds. To this end observe that

$$h_M(s) \to f(s) \tag{9}$$

uniformly in s. Indeed, let $\epsilon > 0$. Since f is uniformly continuous, one can find $\delta > 0$ such that if $|u| < \delta$ then $\sup_{s} |f(s-u) - f(s)| < \epsilon$. Therefore

$$\begin{split} \sup_{s} |h_M(s) - f(s)| &\leq \sup_{s} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(s-u) - f(s)| \frac{1 - \cos Mu}{\pi M u^2} du \\ &\leq \epsilon + \frac{8}{\pi M} \|f\|_{\infty} \int_{\delta}^{\infty} \frac{du}{u^2} = \epsilon + \frac{8C}{\pi M \delta}. \end{split}$$

Taking $M \to \infty$, this proves (9).

Since the spectral density g_M of Z_t^M equals $g_M(s) = (f(s) - h_M(s))^2$, by (9) it converges to 0 uniformly in s.

We also need to check that

$$EZ_0^2 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g_M(s) ds \to 0$$

as $M \to \infty$. Indeed, by Plancherel's identity

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g_M(s) ds = (2\pi)^{-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |F(t) - H(t)|^2 dt = (2\pi)^{-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\frac{|t|}{M} \wedge 1\right)^2 |F(t)|^2 dt$$

For all $\epsilon > 0$ we have

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\frac{|t|}{M} \wedge 1\right)^2 |F(t)|^2 dt \le \epsilon^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |F(t)|^2 dt + \int_{|t| > \epsilon M} |F(t)|^2 dt.$$

Since $\int |F(t)|^2 = 2\pi \int f^2(s) ds < \infty$, it follows by taking first $M \to \infty$ and then $\epsilon \to 0$ that $\int g_M(s)ds \to 0 \text{ as } M \to \infty.$

Therefore, given $\epsilon > 0$ by (7) for all M large enough (so that $||g_M||_{\infty} < \epsilon$) we have

$$\limsup_{T \to \infty} T^{-1} \log E(\exp(\theta \int_0^T \|Z_t^M\| dt)) \le 26\epsilon \theta^2 + E\left(Z_0^M\right)^2 / (13\epsilon).$$

Since $\epsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, taking the limit as $M \to \infty$ proves (3).

Now notice that $\{Y_t\}$ is 2M-dependent. Indeed, its spectral density is $h^2(s)$. Therefore $EY_0Y_t = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{its}h^2(s)ds$ is the convolution of the Fourier transform H of h(s) with itself (evaluated at t), c.f. [16, (2.33) page 253]. Since H(t) = 0 for |T| > M, its convolution $H \star H$ vanishes at |t| > 2Mproving 2M-dependence.

Empirical measures for a finitely-dependent process $Y_t = Y_t^M$ satisfy the LDP with the convex rate function, see [6]. By Lemma 3.1(i) the LDP of empirical measures for X_t follows and the rate function is convex. \Box

3.3 Proof of Theorem 2.2

Let $S_n = \sum_{j=1}^n X_j$; analogously, in continuous time let $S_T = \int_0^T X_t dt$. We shall prove Theorem 2.2 by contradiction using the following.

Lemma 3.4 (i) If the spectral density is bounded and for every bounded continuous F the sequence $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} F(X_j)$ satisfies the LDP, then $\frac{1}{n}S_n$ satisfies the LDP.

(ii) If the spectral density is bounded and for every bounded continuous F the sequence $\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T F(X_t) dt$ satisfies the LDP, then $\frac{1}{T}S_T$ satisfies the LDP.

Proof. Let $Y_j^M = X_j I_{|X_j| \le M} + M I_{|X_j| > M}$ sign X_j . Since $Y_j^M = F(X_j)$ with bounded continuous F, for every M the sequence $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n Y_j^M$ satisfies the LDP. Moreover, for $\theta > 0$

$$E \exp \theta \sum_{j=1}^{n} |Y_{j}^{M} - X_{j}| \le E \exp \theta \sum_{j=1}^{n} |X_{j}| I_{|X_{j}| > M} \le E \exp \frac{\theta}{M} \sum_{j=1}^{n} |X_{j}|^{2}.$$

By [5, Theorem 2.2], condition (3) is satisfied. The LDP for $\frac{1}{n}S_n$ follows from Lemma 3.1(ii).

The proof of part (ii) proceeds analogously, and is omitted. \Box

Proof of Theorem 2.2. We first prove part (i). Since f(s) under consideration is bounded, by Lemma 3.4, it is enough to show that the LDP fails for the arithmetic means of the sequence. However, since S_n is Gaussian and (7) holds, it is easy to see that the LDP for $\frac{1}{n} S_n$ holds if and only if

$$\sigma_n = \frac{1}{n} Var(S_n) = \frac{1}{2\pi n} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\sin^2(ns/2)}{\sin^2(s/2)} f(s) ds$$
(10)

converges as $n \to \infty$. It is known, see [12, Theorem C1] (see also [16, Chapter XI, Theorem 2-26(ii)]) that (10) converges if and only if

$$\lim_{t \to 0} \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t (f(u) + f(-u)) du$$
(11)

exists. It is easy to check that for our choice of f and t > 0 we have $\int_0^t (f(u) + f(-u)) du = 4t + t(\sin \log t - \cos \log t)$ so that the limit (11) does not exist.

To prove part (ii), notice that since $x/\sin x$ is monotone hence bounded on $[-\pi/2, \pi/2]$, g(s) is bounded and compactly supported. Following the line of proof of part (i), we check that

$$\sigma_T = \frac{1}{T} Var(S_T) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\sin^2(Ts/2)}{T(s/2)^2} g(s) ds = \frac{1}{T} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\sin^2(Ts/2)}{\sin^2(s/2)} f(s) ds$$

does not converge as $T \to \infty$ by the previous reasoning. \Box

4 Remarks

Remark 4.1 The proof of Theorem A and of Theorem 2.1 uses exponentially good approximations (see [7]). In connection with this method, one can verify that the mapping

$$B[-\pi,\pi] \ni f \mapsto \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log E(\exp(\sum_{j=1}^{n} |X_j| \wedge 1)) \in \mathbb{R}$$

from bounded spectral densities, is not continuous with respect to \mathbb{L}_q norms, $q < \infty$.

Indeed, Theorem 2.2 gives $\{X_k\}$ of bounded spectral density for which empirical measures fail LDP. On the other hand, the spectral density of $\{X_k\}$ can be approximated in \mathbb{L}_q norm by a continuous one (vanishing in the neighborhood of the origin). Hence the empirical measures for the corresponding sequence $\{Y_k^M\}_k$ have LDP by Theorem A. The continuity (applied to $Z_k^M = X_k - Y_k^M$) would imply (by Lemma 3.1(i) and Lemma 3.3) the LDP of empirical measures for $\{X_k\}$, a contradiction.

Remark 4.2 For d = 1 and discrete time, using Lemma 3.2 with i.i.d. sequence V_j of spectral density g(s) = M, it is easy to see that (7) can be replaced by the non-asymptotic estimate

$$\frac{1}{n}\log E \exp \theta \sum_{j=1}^{n} |X_j|^q \le \log E \exp \theta |V_1|^q \le b(q)(\theta^2 M^q)^{1/(2-q)} + \log a(q)$$

for all $1 \le q < 2$, all θ , and some universal finite constants a(q), b(q). In particular, a(1) = 2 and b(1) = 1/2.

Remark 4.3 The following simple argument shows that the LDP for L_T is non-trivial when f(0) > 0. We call LDP trivial if the rate function has two values I = 0 and $I = \infty$ only. It easily follows that both contraction and exponentially good approximation preserve triviality (c.f. (5)). Hence if LDP of Theorem 2.1 is trivial, then so is the LDP for every average of $F(X_t)$ when $F \in C_b(\mathbb{R})$, and by Lemma 3.4(ii) so is the LDP of S_T/T . The latter are $N(0, \sigma_T/T)$ with σ_T given in the proof of Theorem 2.2(ii). In particular, for $f(s) \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$, σ_T converges to $2\pi f(0)$ as $T \to \infty$ (c.f. (9)) and if the latter is strictly positive, the LDP for S_T/T is non-trivial.

Acknowledgement Part of this research was done while the first named author (WB) was visiting the Institute of Applied Mathematics at the University of Minnesota. The authors would like to thank: Naresh Jain for preprint [1] and the discussion that lead to Theorem 2.1, and Corollary 2.3; T. Y. Lee for a comment that motivated shifting X_t to $X_t - m$; T. Seppalainen, W. Smoleński, and O. Zeitouni for helpful discussions. We thank the referee for suggesting an improvement in Lemma 3.1.

A Appendix: Empirical measures in continuous time

Here we show that in the continuous time the empirical measure of a measurable process is well defined as the Pettis integral. Let $\mathcal{P}_a(\Sigma)$ denote the space of all finitely additive regular non-negative set-functions of unit total mass, on the field generated by the closed subsets of Polish space (Σ, d) ; $\mathcal{P}_a(\Sigma)$ is equipped with weak topology, i. e., topology generated by the collection

$$\{\nu \in \mathcal{P}_a(\Sigma) : |\int_{\Sigma} F d\nu - x| < \delta\},\$$

where $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $\delta > 0$ and $F \in C_b(\Sigma, \mathbb{R})$.

Fix T > 0 and let λ be normalized Lebesgue measure on [0, T]. Given a measurable function $x(t) = X_t(\omega)$ (with $\omega \in \Omega$ fixed), the mapping $\Phi : F \mapsto \int F(x(t))\lambda(dt)$ is a continuous, linear, and non-negative functional on $C_b(\Sigma, \mathbb{R})$ such that $\Phi(1) = 1$. Therefore (the explicit reference is [3, page 54, Theorem 1]) $\Phi(F) = \int F(x)d\mu(x)$ for some $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_a(\Sigma)$. Since for $F_n \downarrow 0$ pointwise, $\Phi(F_n) \to 0$ by dominated convergence, it follows that μ has a (unique) countably additive extension $\tilde{\mu}$ on \mathcal{B}_{Σ} . Empirical measure $L_T : \Omega \to M_1(\Sigma)$ is defined now by $L_T(\omega) = \tilde{\mu}$, if $t \mapsto X_t(\omega)$ is measurable and say δ_{x_0} otherwise. By Fubini's theorem $\omega \to \int F dL_T$ is measurable. This shows that $\Omega \ni \omega \mapsto L_T(\omega) \in M_1(\Sigma)$ is weakly-measurable; since $M_1(\Sigma)$ is separable, the latter is the same as measurability.

References

- J. R. Baxter & N. C. Jain, An approximation condition for large deviations and an application to stationary sequences, preprint, 1993.
- [2] G. R. Benitz & J. A. Bucklew, Large Deviation rate calculation for nonlinear detectors in Gaussian noise, IEEE IT 36 (1990), 358–371.
- [3] H. Bergström, Weak convergence of measures, Acad. Press, New York 1982.
- [4] E. Bolthausen & J-D Deuschel, Critical large deviations for Gaussian fields in the phase transition regime, I, Ann. Probab. 21 (1993), 1876–1920.
- [5] W. Bryc & A. Dembo, Large Deviations for quadratic functionals of Gaussian processes (expanded version) IMA Preprint Series # 1179, October 1993.
- [6] T. Chiyonobu & S. Kusuoka, The large deviation principle for hypermixing processes, Probab. Theory Relat. Fields 78, (1988) 627–649.
- [7] A. Dembo & O. Zeitouni, Large Deviations Techniques and Applications. Jones and Bartlett, Boston, MA, 1993.
- [8] J. Deuschel, Invariance principle and empirical mean large deviations of the critical Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process, Ann. Probab. 17 (1989), 74–90.
- [9] M. D. Donsker S. R. S. Varadhan, Large deviations for Stationary Gaussian Processes, Commun. Math. Phys. 97 (1985), 187–210.
- [10] J. Doob, Stochastic Processes, Wiley, New York 1953.
- [11] R. M. Dudley, *Real analysis and probability*, Wadsworth & Brooks/Cole, 1989.
- [12] G. H. Hardy & J. E. Littlewood, Solution of the Cesàro summability problem for power series and Fourier series, Math. Zeitschr. 23 (1924), 67–94.
- [13] A. Puhalskii, On functional principle of large deviations, New Trends In Probab. and Statist. (Ed: V. Sazonov & T. Shervashidze), 1991, 198–218.
- [14] Y. Steinberg & O. Zeitouni, On tests for normality, IEEE Transactions on Information Theory 38 (1992), 1779–1787.
- [15] D. W. Stroock, An introduction to the theory of large deviations Springer, New York 1984.
- [16] A. Zygmund, Trigonometric Series, Vol II, Cambridge University Press 1959, Cambridge.