

On the Conditional Expectation with Respect to a Sequence of Independent σ -fields

W. Bryc and S. Kwapien

ul. Pereca 13/19 m 1412, P-00-849 Warsaw, Poland

Summary. In the paper we characterize those sequences of random variables which are conditional expectations of a p -integrable random variable with respect to a given sequence of independent σ -fields.

Let $(\Omega, \mathfrak{M}, P)$ be a probability space, and (\mathfrak{R}_i) a sequence of independent σ -subfields of \mathfrak{M} (e.g. for each sequence of $A_i \in \mathfrak{R}_i$, $i = 1, \dots, n$ there holds

$$P\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^n A_i\right) = \prod_{i=1}^n P(A_i).$$

If $\mathfrak{R} \subset \mathfrak{M}$ and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ we shall denote by $L_p(\mathfrak{R})$ (resp. L_p if $\mathfrak{R} = \mathfrak{M}$) the Banach space of all random variables X which are \mathfrak{R} -measurable and such that $\|X\|_p = (E|X|^p)^{1/p} < \infty$ if $p < \infty$, and

$$\|X\|_p = \sup_{\omega \in \Omega} |X(\omega)| < \infty \quad \text{if } p = \infty.$$

The closed linear subspace of $L_p(\mathfrak{R})$ of those X such that $EX = 0$ will be denoted by $L_p^0(\mathfrak{R})$ (resp. L_p^0 if $\mathfrak{R} = \mathfrak{M}$).

Theorem 1. a) If X is a random variable such that $EX = 0$ and $E|X| \ln^+ |X| < \infty$ then the series $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} E(X|\mathfrak{R}_i)$ is convergent in L_1 and almost surely.

b) If $X \in L_p^0$, and $1 < p < \infty$ then the series $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} E(X|\mathfrak{R}_i)$ is convergent in L_p .

Moreover there exists a constant C_p depending only on p such that

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} E(X|\mathfrak{R}_i) \right\|_p \leq C_p \|X\|_p.$$

Proof. Let S be a linear operator defined by $S(X) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} E(X|\mathfrak{R}_i)$. Since $(\mathfrak{R}_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ are independent σ -fields S is an orthogonal projection in L^0_2 and

$$\|S(X)\|_2^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \|E(X|\mathfrak{R}_i)\|_2^2 \leq \|X\|_2^2 \quad \text{for } X \in L^0_2.$$

By Kolmogorov theorem the series $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} E(X|\mathfrak{R}_i)$ is convergent almost surely.

Let us define Banach spaces $L^0 \ln, L^0 \exp$ as follow: $L^0 \ln$ (resp. $L^0 \exp$) consists of all random variables such that $EX=0$ and $E|X| \ln^+ |X| < \infty$ (resp. $E \exp \lambda |X| < \infty$ for some $\lambda > 0$). The norms are defined as usually in Orlicz spaces. The bilinear form $\langle X, Y \rangle = EXY$ establishes an isomorphism between $L^0 \exp$ and the dual space of $L^0 \ln$ (cf. [5]). The following Lemma and the closed graph theorem imply that S is a continuous linear operator from L^0_{∞} into $L^0 \exp$.

Lemma 1. *If $(X_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of independent, uniformly bounded random variables and the series $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} X_i$ is convergent almost surely then $E \exp \lambda \left| \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} X_i \right| < \infty$ for each λ .*

The proof of Lemma follows from Hoffmann-Jørgensen’s inequalities (cf. [2]) and was explicitly given by Krakowiak, [4].

Since S is a selfadjoint operator S is a continuous linear operator from $L^0 \ln$ into L^1 . This proves that the series $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} E(X|\mathfrak{R}_i)$ is convergent in L_1 and hence almost surely. To prove the second part of Theorem 1 let us consider an operator H defined by

$$H(X) = \sup_i |E(X|\mathfrak{R}_i)|.$$

H is a subadditive and positively homogeneous operator. Moreover

$$\|H(X)\|_{\infty} \leq \|X\|_{\infty}$$

and

$$\|H(X)\|_2 \leq \|H(X - EX)\|_2 + |E(X)| \leq \|S(X - E(X))\|_2 + \|X\|_2 \leq 2\|X\|_2.$$

Therefore by Marcinkiewicz interpolation theorem, [6], for $2 \leq p \leq \infty$ there exists a constant C_p depending only on p such that

$$\|H(X)\|_p \leq C_p \|X\|_p \quad \text{for } X \in L_p.$$

Lemma 2. *If $(X_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of independent random variables and $p < \infty$ then*

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} X_i \right\|_p \leq K_p \left(\left\| \sup_i |X_i| \right\|_p + \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} X_i \right\|_2 \right)$$

where K_p is a constant depending only on p .

The proof of Lemma 2 follows directly from Theorem 3.1 of Hoffmann-Jørgensen [2].

Now Lemma 2 and the preceding inequality give

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} E(X|\mathfrak{R}_i) \right\|_p \leq K_p(\|H(X)\|_p + \|S(X)\|_2) \leq K_p(C_p\|X\|_p + \|X\|_p) =$$

$K_p(C_p + 1)\|X\|_p$ if $2 \leq p < \infty$, and $X \in L_p^0$. Therefore S is a continuous operator from L_p^0 into L_p^0 for $2 \leq p < \infty$. Since S is a selfadjoint operator by duality arguments S is continuous also for $1 < p \leq 2$. This completes the proof.

Remark 1. Theorem 1 generalizes Basterfield's, [1], result who proved that if $X \in L \ln$ then $E(X|\mathfrak{R}_i)$ is convergent almost surely to EX .

Corollary 1. *Let $1 < p < \infty$, and let $(X_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of random variables such that $X_i \in L_p^0(\mathfrak{R}_i)$ $i = 1, 2, \dots$. Then there exists $X \in L_p^0$ such that $X_i = E(X|\mathfrak{R}_i)$ $i = 1, 2, \dots$ if and only if the series $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} X_i$ is convergent in L_p or equivalently $E\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} X_i^2\right)^{p/2} < \infty$.*

Proof. By Theorem 1 the condition is necessary. On the other hand side if the series $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} X_i$ is convergent in L_p then putting X to be equal to the sum of the series we obtain that X has the desired property. To end the proof let us observe that by Marcinkiewicz theorem [6] the series $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} X_i$ is convergent in L_p , $1 \leq p < \infty$ if and only if $E\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} X_i^2\right)^{p/2} < \infty$.

Theorem 2. *Let $(X_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of random variables such that $X_i \in L_1^0(\mathfrak{R}_i)$ $i = 1, 2, \dots$. Then $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|X_i\|_1 = 0$ is a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of X in L_1^0 such that $X_i = E(X|\mathfrak{R}_i)$ $i = 1, 2, \dots$*

Proof. Let $(T_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of operators in L_1^0 defined by $T_i(X) = E(X|\mathfrak{R}_i)$ $i = 1, 2, \dots$. Then $\|T_i\| \leq 1$ and for each $X \in L_1^0(\sigma(\mathfrak{R}_1 \cup \dots \cup \mathfrak{R}_n))$ $T_i X = 0$ for $i > n$. Since $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} L_1^0\left(\sigma\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n \mathfrak{R}_i\right)\right)$ is a dense subset in $L_1^0\left(\sigma\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{R}_i\right)\right)$ we obtain that $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} T_i X = 0$ for each $X \in L_1^0\left(\sigma\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{R}_i\right)\right)$. If $X \in L_1^0$, then $T_i X = T_i Y$ where $Y = E\left(X|\sigma\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{R}_i\right)\right)$ and thus $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} T_i X = 0$. This proves the necessity of the condition.

Let us denote by $c_0(L_1^0(\mathfrak{R}_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}})$ the Banach space of all sequences $(X_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of random variables such that $X_i \in L_1^0(\mathfrak{R}_i)$ $i = 1, 2, \dots$ and such that $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|X_i\|_1 = 0$. The norm in the space is defined by

$$\|(X_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\|_{1, \infty} = \sup_i \|X_i\|_1.$$

The dual of this space is isomorphic with the space $l_1(L^\infty(\mathfrak{R}_i)_{i \in N})$ – the space of all sequences $(X_i)_{i \in N}$ of random variables such that $\|(X_i)_{i \in N}\|_{\infty, 1} = \sum_{i=1}^\infty \|X_i\|_\infty < \infty$ and such that $X_i \in L^\infty(\mathfrak{R}_i)$ $i=1, 2, \dots$. The isomorphism is established by the bilinear form $\langle (X_i)_{i \in N}, (Y_i)_{i \in N} \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^\infty EX_i Y_i$.

By the first part of this proof the operator T defined by $T(X) = (E(X|\mathfrak{R}_i))_{i \in N}$ is a continuous linear operator from L_1^0 into $c_0(L_1^0(\mathfrak{R}_i)_{i \in N})$.

To end the proof we have to show that the operator T is “onto”. By Banach theorem T is “onto” if the adjoint operator T^* is an isomorphic embedding, e.g. there exists a constant C such that

$$C \|T^*((X_i)_{i \in N})\|_\infty \geq \|(X_i)_{i \in N}\|_{\infty, 1}.$$

But T^* is given by $T^*((X_i)_{i \in N}) = \sum_{i=1}^\infty X_i$ and the existence of $C(C=1)$ follows from the Lemma

Lemma 3. *If $(X_i)_{i \in N}$ is a sequence of independent random variables with $EX_i=0$ $i=1, \dots$ then*

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^\infty X_i \right\|_\infty = \sum_{i=1}^\infty \|X_i\|_\infty.$$

The proof is simple and is omitted.

Remark. 2. Theorem 2 shows that Theorem 1 may not be extended on the case $p=1$. It proves even that there exists $X \in L_1^0$ such that the sequence $(E(X|\mathfrak{R}_i))_{i \in N}$ is not convergent almost surely. It was observed in [1].

Theorem 3. *Let $(X_i)_{i \in N}$ be a sequence of random variables such that $X_i \in L^\infty(\mathfrak{R}_i)$ $i=1, 2, \dots$. A necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of $X \in L^\infty$ such that $X_i = E(X|\mathfrak{R}_i)$ $i=1, 2, \dots$ is that*

$$\sup_i \|X_i\|_\infty < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=1}^\infty \|X_i\|_2^2 < \infty.$$

Proof. Let us consider the Banach space W of all sequences $(X_i)_{i \in N}$ of random variables such that $X_i \in L^\infty(\mathfrak{R}_i)$ $i=1, 2, \dots$, and such that

$$\|(X_i)_{i \in N}\|_W = \max \left\{ \sup_i \|X_i\|_\infty, \left(\sum_{i=1}^\infty \|X_i\|_2^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\} < \infty.$$

The bilinear form $\langle (X_i)_{i \in N}, (Y_i)_{i \in N} \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^\infty EX_i Y_i$ establishes an isomorphism between W and the dual space of V – the space of all sequences $(X_i)_{i \in N}$ of random variables which can be written as $(X_i)_{i \in N} = (Y_i + Z_i)_{i \in N}$ where $Y_i \in L_1^0(\mathfrak{R}_i)$, $Z_i \in L_2^0(\mathfrak{R}_i)$ $i=1, 2, \dots$ and

$$\sum_{i=1}^\infty \|Y_i\|_1 + \left(\sum_{i=1}^\infty \|Z_i\|_2^2 \right)^{1/2} < \infty,$$

the norm $\|(X_i)_{i \in N}\|_V$ is the infimum of the sum over all such representation of $(X_i)_{i \in N}$.

Now Theorem 3 may be reformulated in a way that the operator T (defined in the proof of Theorem 2) is a continuous linear operator from L^0_∞ onto W . Let T' be an operator defined by $T'((X_i)_{i \in N}) = \sum_{i=1}^\infty X_i$. Then the adjoint operator of T' is the operator T , and therefore by Banach theorem to end the proof it is enough to show that T' is an isomorphic embedding of V into L^0_1 , that is there exists a constant C such that

$$C^{-1} \|(X_i)_{i \in N}\|_V \leq \|T'((X_i)_{i \in N})\|_1 \leq C \|(X_i)_{i \in N}\|_V.$$

If $(X_i)_{i \in N} = (Y_i + Z_i)_{i \in N}$, is a representation as before then

$$\begin{aligned} \|T^*(X_i)_{i \in N}\|_1 &= \left\| \sum_{i=1}^\infty (Y_i + Z_i) \right\|_1 \leq \left\| \sum_{i=1}^\infty Y_i \right\|_1 + \left\| \sum_{i=1}^\infty Z_i \right\|_2 \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^\infty \|Y_i\|_1 + \left(\sum_{i=1}^\infty \|Z_i\|_2^2 \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\|T'((X_i)_{i \in N})\|_1 \leq \|(X_i)_{i \in N}\|_V.$$

The other side of the inequality is obtained from the

Lemma 4. *There exists a constant C such that for each sequence $(X_i)_{i \in N}$ of independent random variables with $EX_i = 0$ there holds*

$$\begin{aligned} C \left\| \sum_{i=1}^\infty X_i \right\|_1 &\geq \sum_{i=1}^\infty \|X'_i\|_1 + \left\| \sum_{i=1}^\infty X''_i \right\|_2 \geq \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^\infty \|X'_i - EX'_i\|_1 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left(\sum_{i=1}^\infty \|X''_i - EX''_i\|_2^2 \right)^{1/2} \right), \quad \text{where } X'_i = X_i I_{|X_i| > 1}, \\ X''_i &= X_i I_{|X_i| \leq 1} \quad i = 1, 2, \dots \end{aligned}$$

The proof of this Lemma is contained implicitly in the proof of Theorem 3.6 of Jain, Marcus [3].

References

1. Basterfield, J.: Independent Conditional Expectations and $L \log L$. *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie verw. Gebiete* **21**, 233–240 (1972)
2. Hoffmann-Jørgensen, J.: Sums of independent Banach space valued random variables. *Studia Math.* **52**, 159–186 (1974)
3. Jain, N.C., Marcus, M.B.: Integrability of infinite sums of independent vector-valued random variables. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **212**, 1–36 (1975)
4. Krakowiak, W.: Comparison theorems for and exponential moments. (preprint) [to appear]
5. Krasnosielski, M., Ruticki, B.: *Convex functions and Orlicz spaces*. Groningen: Noordhoff 1961
6. Marcinkiewicz, J., Zygmund, A.: Quelques théorèmes sur les fonctions indépendantes. *Studia Math.* **7**, 104–120 (1938)
7. Marcinkiewicz, J.: Sur l'interpolation d'opérations. *C.R.* **208**, 1272–1273 (1939)