### Two.II Linear Independence

Linear Algebra
Jim Hefferon

http://joshua.smcvt.edu/linearalgebra



# Linear independence

1.4 Definition In any vector space, a set of vectors is linearly independent if none of its elements is a linear combination of the others from the set. Otherwise the set is linearly dependent.

#### Linear independence

1.4 Definition In any vector space, a set of vectors is linearly independent if none of its elements is a linear combination of the others from the set. Otherwise the set is linearly dependent.

Observe that, although this way of writing one vector as a combination of the others

$$\vec{s}_0 = c_1 \vec{s}_1 + c_2 \vec{s}_2 + \dots + c_n \vec{s}_n$$

visually sets off  $\vec{s}_0$ , algebraically there is nothing special about that vector in that equation. For any  $\vec{s}_i$  with a coefficient  $c_i$  that is non-0 we can rewrite to isolate  $\vec{s}_i$ .

$$\vec{s}_{i} = (1/c_{i})\vec{s}_{0} + \dots + (-c_{i-1}/c_{i})\vec{s}_{i-1} + (-c_{i+1}/c_{i})\vec{s}_{i+1} + \dots + (-c_{n}/c_{i})\vec{s}_{n}$$

When we don't want to single out any vector we will instead say that  $\vec{s}_0, \vec{s}_1, \dots, \vec{s}_n$  are in a *linear relationship* and put all of the vectors on the same side.

1.5 Lemma A subset S of a vector space is linearly independent if and only if among its elements the only linear relationship  $c_1\vec{s}_1+\cdots+c_n\vec{s}_n=\vec{0}$  (with  $\vec{s}_i\neq\vec{s}_j$  for all  $i\neq j$ ) is the trivial one  $c_1=0,\ldots,\,c_n=0$ .

1.5 Lemma A subset S of a vector space is linearly independent if and only if among its elements the only linear relationship  $c_1 \vec{s}_1 + \dots + c_n \vec{s}_n = \vec{0}$  (with  $\vec{s}_i \neq \vec{s}_j$  for all  $i \neq j$ ) is the trivial one  $c_1 = 0, \dots, c_n = 0$ .

**Proof** If S is linearly independent then no vector  $\vec{s_i}$  is a linear combination of other vectors from S so there is no linear relationship where some of the  $\vec{s}$ 's have nonzero coefficients.

If S is not linearly independent then some  $\vec{s_i}$  is a linear combination  $\vec{s_i} = c_1 \vec{s_1} + \dots + c_{i-1} \vec{s_{i-1}} + c_{i+1} \vec{s_{i+1}} + \dots + c_n \vec{s_n}$  of other vectors from S. Subtracting  $\vec{s_i}$  from both sides gives a relationship involving a nonzero coefficient, the -1 in front of  $\vec{s_i}$ .

So to decide if a list of vectors  $\vec{s}_0, \ldots, \vec{s}_n$  is linearly independent, set up the equation  $\vec{0} = c_0 \vec{s}_0 + \cdots + c_n \vec{s}_n$ , and calculate whether it has any solutions, other than the trivial one where all coefficients are zero.

*Example* This set of vectors in the plane  $\mathbb{R}^2$  is linearly independent.

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

The only solution to this equation

$$c_1\begin{pmatrix}1\\0\end{pmatrix}+c_2\begin{pmatrix}0\\1\end{pmatrix}=\begin{pmatrix}0\\0\end{pmatrix}$$

is trivial  $c_1 = 0$ ,  $c_2 = 0$ .

*Example* This set of vectors in the plane  $\mathbb{R}^2$  is linearly independent.

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

The only solution to this equation

$$c_1\begin{pmatrix}1\\0\end{pmatrix}+c_2\begin{pmatrix}0\\1\end{pmatrix}=\begin{pmatrix}0\\0\end{pmatrix}$$

is trivial  $c_1 = 0$ ,  $c_2 = 0$ .

Example In the vector space of cubic polynomials

 $\mathfrak{P}_3=\{a_0+a_1x+a_2x^2+a_3x^3\mid a_i\in\mathbb{R}\}$  the set  $\{1-x,1+x\}$  is linearly independent. Setting up the equation  $c_0(1-x)+c_1(1+x)=0$  and considering the constant term and linear term, leads to this system

$$c_0 + c_1 = 0$$
$$-c_0 + c_1 = 0$$

which has only the trivial solution.

Example The nonzero rows of this matrix form a linearly independent set.

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & -3 & 1/2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 5 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

We showed in Lemma One.III.2.5 that in any echelon form matrix the nonzero rows form a linearly independent set.

Example The nonzero rows of this matrix form a linearly independent set.

$$\begin{pmatrix}
2 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\
0 & 1 & -3 & 1/2 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 5 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}$$

We showed in Lemma One.III.2.5 that in any echelon form matrix the nonzero rows form a linearly independent set.

*Example* This subset of  $\mathbb{R}^3$  is linearly dependent.

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1\\1\\3 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -1\\1\\0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1\\3\\6 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

One way to see that is to spot that the third vector is twice the first plus the second. Another way is to solve the linear system

$$c_1 - c_2 + c_3 = 0$$
  
 $c_1 + c_2 + 3c_3 = 0$   
 $3c_1 + 6c_3 = 0$ 

and note that it has more than just the solution  $c_1 = c_2 = c_3 = 0$ .

1.2 Lemma Where V is a vector space, S is a subset of that space, and  $\vec{v}$  is an element of that space,  $[S \cup {\{\vec{v}\}}] = [S]$  if and only if  $\vec{v} \in [S]$ .

1.2 Lemma Where V is a vector space, S is a subset of that space, and  $\vec{v}$  is an element of that space,  $[S \cup \{\vec{v}\}] = [S]$  if and only if  $\vec{v} \in [S]$ .

*Example* The book has the proof; here is an illustration. The span of this set is the xy-plane.

$$P = \{ \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$$

If we expand the set by adding a vector  $\{\vec{p}_1,\vec{p}_2,\vec{q}\}$  then there are two possibilities.

$$P_0 = \{ \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \} \qquad P_1 = \{ \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} \}$$

If the new vector is already in the starting span  $\vec{q} \in [P]$  then the span is unchanged  $[P_0] = [P]$ . But if the new vector is outside the starting span  $\vec{q} \notin [P]$  then the span grows  $[P_1] \supsetneq [P]$ .

1.3 Corollary For  $\vec{v} \in S$ , omitting that vector does not shrink the span  $[S] = [S - \{\vec{v}\}]$  if and only if it is dependent on other vectors in the set  $\vec{v} \in [S]$ .

*Example* These two subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^3$  have the same span

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1\\2\\3 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 4\\5\\6 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 7\\8\\9 \end{pmatrix} \right\} \qquad \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1\\2\\3 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 4\\5\\6 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

because in the first set  $\vec{v}_3 = 2\vec{v}_2 - \vec{v}_1$ .

1.3 Corollary For  $\vec{v} \in S$ , omitting that vector does not shrink the span  $[S] = [S - {\vec{v}}]$  if and only if it is dependent on other vectors in the set  $\vec{v} \in [S]$ .

*Example* These two subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^3$  have the same span

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1\\2\\3 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 4\\5\\6 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 7\\8\\9 \end{pmatrix} \right\} \qquad \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1\\2\\3 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 4\\5\\6 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

because in the first set  $\vec{v}_3 = 2\vec{v}_2 - \vec{v}_1$ .

1.13 Corollary A set S is linearly independent if and only if for any  $\vec{v} \in S$ , its removal shrinks the span  $[S - \{v\}] \subseteq [S]$ .

*Example* This is a linearly independent subset of  $\mathcal{P}_3$ 

$$S = \{1 + x, 1 - x, x^2\}$$

Removal of any element, such as if we remove 1-x to get  $\hat{S} = \{1+x, x^2\}$ , will make the span smaller:  $[\hat{S}] \subseteq [S]$ .

1.14 Lemma Suppose that S is linearly independent and that  $\vec{v} \notin S$ . Then the set  $S \cup \{\vec{v}\}$  is linearly independent if and only if  $\vec{v} \notin [S]$ .

*Example* The book has the proof; here is an illustration. Consider this linearly independent subset of  $\mathcal{P}_2$ .

$$S = \{1 - x, 1 + x\}$$

Its span [S] is the set of linear polynomials  $\{\alpha+bx\mid\alpha,b\in\mathbb{R}\}.$  (To check: consider  $\alpha+bx=r_1(1-x)+r_2(1+x),$  which gives a linear system with equations  $r_1+r_2=\alpha$  and  $-r_1+r_2=b,$  having the solution  $r_2=(1/2)\alpha+(1/2)b$  and  $r_1=(1/2)\alpha-(1/2)b.)$ 

Here are two supersets.

$$S_1 = S \cup \{2 + 2x\}$$
  $S_2 = S \cup \{2 + x^2\}$ 

1.14 Lemma Suppose that S is linearly independent and that  $\vec{v} \notin S$ . Then the set  $S \cup \{\vec{v}\}$  is linearly independent if and only if  $\vec{v} \notin [S]$ .

*Example* The book has the proof; here is an illustration. Consider this linearly independent subset of  $\mathcal{P}_2$ .

$$S = \{1 - x, 1 + x\}$$

Its span [S] is the set of linear polynomials  $\{\alpha+bx\mid \alpha,b\in\mathbb{R}\}$ . (To check: consider  $\alpha+bx=r_1(1-x)+r_2(1+x)$ , which gives a linear system with equations  $r_1+r_2=\alpha$  and  $-r_1+r_2=b$ , having the solution  $r_2=(1/2)\alpha+(1/2)b$  and  $r_1=(1/2)\alpha-(1/2)b$ .)

Here are two supersets.

$$S_1 = S \cup \{2 + 2x\}$$
  $S_2 = S \cup \{2 + x^2\}$ 

On the left, adding a linear polynomial just adds "repeat information" so  $[S_1] = [S]$  and  $S_1$  is linearly dependent.

The right, with "new information," enlarges the span  $[S_2]=\mathcal{P}_2\supsetneq[S]$  and the new set  $S_2$  is also linearly independent. (To check this, use  $a+bx+cx^2=r_1(1-x)+r_2(1+x)+r_3(2+x^2)$  to get a linear system with solution  $r_3=c,\ r_2=(1/2)a+(1/2)b$  and  $r_1=(1/2)a-(1/2)b-c.)$ 

*Proof* If  $S = \{\vec{s}_1, \dots, \vec{s}_n\}$  is linearly independent then S itself satisfies the statement, so assume that it is linearly dependent.

*Proof* If  $S = \{\vec{s}_1, \dots, \vec{s}_n\}$  is linearly independent then S itself satisfies the statement, so assume that it is linearly dependent.

By the definition of dependent, S contains a vector  $\vec{v}_1$  that is a linear combination of the others. Define the set  $S_1 = S - {\vec{v}_1}$ . By Corollary 1.3 the span does not shrink  $[S_1] = [S]$ .

*Proof* If  $S = \{\vec{s}_1, \dots, \vec{s}_n\}$  is linearly independent then S itself satisfies the statement, so assume that it is linearly dependent.

By the definition of dependent, S contains a vector  $\vec{v}_1$  that is a linear combination of the others. Define the set  $S_1=S-\{\vec{v}_1\}$ . By Corollary 1.3 the span does not shrink  $[S_1]=[S]$ .

If  $S_1$  is linearly independent then we are done. Otherwise iterate: take a vector  $\vec{v}_2$  that is a linear combination of other members of  $S_1$  and discard it to derive  $S_2 = S_1 - \{\vec{v}_2\}$  such that  $[S_2] = [S_1]$ . Repeat this until a linearly independent set  $S_j$  appears; one must appear eventually because S is finite and the empty set is linearly independent. QED

*Example* Consider this subset of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

$$S = {\vec{s}_1, \vec{s}_2, \vec{s}_3, \vec{s}_4, \vec{s}_5} = {\left(\frac{2}{2}, \left(\frac{3}{3}\right), \left(\frac{1}{4}\right), \left(\frac{0}{-1}\right), \left(\frac{1}{-1}\right)\right\}}$$

The linear relationship

$$r_1\begin{pmatrix}2\\2\end{pmatrix}+r_2\begin{pmatrix}3\\3\end{pmatrix}+r_3\begin{pmatrix}1\\4\end{pmatrix}+r_4\begin{pmatrix}0\\-1\end{pmatrix}+r_5\begin{pmatrix}1\\-1\end{pmatrix}=\begin{pmatrix}0\\0\end{pmatrix}$$

gives a system of equations.

$$2r_1 + 3r_2 + r_3 + r_5 = 0$$
  
 $2r_1 + 3r_2 + 4r_3 - r_4 - r_5 = 0$ 

$$\xrightarrow{-\rho_1+\rho_2} \quad 2r_1 + 3r_2 + r_3 + r_5 = 0 \\ + 3r_3 - r_4 - 2r_5 = 0$$

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} r_1 \\ r_2 \\ r_3 \\ r_4 \\ r_5 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -3/2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} r_2 + \begin{pmatrix} -1/6 \\ 0 \\ 1/3 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} r_4 + \begin{pmatrix} -5/6 \\ 0 \\ 2/3 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} r_5 \mid r_2, r_4, r_5 \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} r_1 \\ r_2 \\ r_3 \\ r_4 \\ r_5 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -3/2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} r_2 + \begin{pmatrix} -1/6 \\ 0 \\ 1/3 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} r_4 + \begin{pmatrix} -5/6 \\ 0 \\ 2/3 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} r_5 \mid r_2, r_4, r_5 \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

Set  $r_5 = 1$  and  $r_2 = r_4 = 0$  to get  $r_1 = -5/6$  and  $r_3 = 2/3$ ,

$$-\frac{5}{6} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} + 0 \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{2}{3} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix} + 0 \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} + 1 \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

showing that  $\vec{s}_5$  is in the span of the set  $\{\vec{s}_1, \vec{s}_3\}$ .

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} r_1 \\ r_2 \\ r_3 \\ r_4 \\ r_5 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -3/2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} r_2 + \begin{pmatrix} -1/6 \\ 0 \\ 1/3 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} r_4 + \begin{pmatrix} -5/6 \\ 0 \\ 2/3 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} r_5 \mid r_2, r_4, r_5 \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

Set  $r_5 = 1$  and  $r_2 = r_4 = 0$  to get  $r_1 = -5/6$  and  $r_3 = 2/3$ ,

$$-\frac{5}{6} \cdot \binom{2}{2} + 0 \cdot \binom{3}{3} + \frac{2}{3} \cdot \binom{1}{4} + 0 \cdot \binom{0}{-1} + 1 \cdot \binom{1}{-1} = \binom{0}{0}$$

showing that  $\vec{s}_5$  is in the span of the set  $\{\vec{s}_1, \vec{s}_3\}$ . Similarly, setting  $r_4 = 1$  and the other parameters to 0 shows  $\vec{s}_4$  is in the span of the set  $\{\vec{s}_1, \vec{s}_3\}$ . Also, setting  $r_2 = 1$  and the other parameters to 0 shows  $\vec{s}_2$  is in the span of the same set.

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} r_1 \\ r_2 \\ r_3 \\ r_4 \\ r_5 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -3/2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} r_2 + \begin{pmatrix} -1/6 \\ 0 \\ 1/3 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} r_4 + \begin{pmatrix} -5/6 \\ 0 \\ 2/3 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} r_5 \mid r_2, r_4, r_5 \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

Set  $r_5=1$  and  $r_2=r_4=0$  to get  $r_1=-5/6$  and  $r_3=2/3$ ,

$$-\frac{5}{6} \cdot \binom{2}{2} + 0 \cdot \binom{3}{3} + \frac{2}{3} \cdot \binom{1}{4} + 0 \cdot \binom{0}{-1} + 1 \cdot \binom{1}{-1} = \binom{0}{0}$$

showing that  $\vec{s}_5$  is in the span of the set  $\{\vec{s}_1, \vec{s}_3\}$ . Similarly, setting  $r_4 = 1$  and the other parameters to 0 shows  $\vec{s}_4$  is in the span of the set  $\{\vec{s}_1, \vec{s}_3\}$ . Also, setting  $r_2 = 1$  and the other parameters to 0 shows  $\vec{s}_2$  is in the span of the same set. So we can omit the vectors  $\vec{s}_2$ ,  $\vec{s}_4$ ,  $\vec{s}_5$  associated with the free variables without shrinking the span.

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} r_1 \\ r_2 \\ r_3 \\ r_4 \\ r_5 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -3/2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} r_2 + \begin{pmatrix} -1/6 \\ 0 \\ 1/3 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} r_4 + \begin{pmatrix} -5/6 \\ 0 \\ 2/3 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} r_5 \mid r_2, r_4, r_5 \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

Set  $r_5 = 1$  and  $r_2 = r_4 = 0$  to get  $r_1 = -5/6$  and  $r_3 = 2/3$ ,

$$-\frac{5}{6} \cdot \binom{2}{2} + 0 \cdot \binom{3}{3} + \frac{2}{3} \cdot \binom{1}{4} + 0 \cdot \binom{0}{-1} + 1 \cdot \binom{1}{-1} = \binom{0}{0}$$

showing that  $\vec{s}_5$  is in the span of the set  $\{\vec{s}_1,\vec{s}_3\}$ . Similarly, setting  $r_4=1$  and the other parameters to 0 shows  $\vec{s}_4$  is in the span of the set  $\{\vec{s}_1,\vec{s}_3\}$ . Also, setting  $r_2=1$  and the other parameters to 0 shows  $\vec{s}_2$  is in the span of the same set. So we can omit the vectors  $\vec{s}_2$ ,  $\vec{s}_4$ ,  $\vec{s}_5$  associated with the free variables without shrinking the span. The set  $\{\vec{s}_1,\vec{s}_3\}$  is linearly independent and so we cannot omit any members without shrinking the span.

1.18 Corollary A subset  $S = \{\vec{s}_1, \dots, \vec{s}_n\}$  of a vector space is linearly dependent if and only if some  $\vec{s}_i$  is a linear combination of the vectors  $\vec{s}_1$ , ...,  $\vec{s}_{i-1}$  listed before it.

1.18 Corollary A subset  $S = \{\vec{s}_1, \dots, \vec{s}_n\}$  of a vector space is linearly dependent if and only if some  $\vec{s}_i$  is a linear combination of the vectors  $\vec{s}_1$ , ...,  $\vec{s}_{i-1}$  listed before it.

 $\begin{array}{ll} \textit{Proof} & \text{Consider } S_0 = \{\}, \ S_1 = \{\vec{s_1}\}, \ S_2 = \{\vec{s_1}, \vec{s_2}\}, \ \text{etc. Some index } i \geqslant 1 \ \text{is} \\ \text{the first one with } S_{i-1} \cup \{\vec{s_i}\} \ \text{linearly dependent, and there } \vec{s_i} \in [S_{i-1}]. \end{array}$ 

QED

## Linear independence and subset

1.19 *Lemma* Any subset of a linearly independent set is also linearly independent. Any superset of a linearly dependent set is also linearly dependent.

*Proof* Both are clear.

QED

#### Linear independence and subset

1.19 Lemma Any subset of a linearly independent set is also linearly independent. Any superset of a linearly dependent set is also linearly dependent.

*Proof* Both are clear.

QED

This table summarizes the cases.

|               | $\ddot{\mathbb{S}}\subset\mathbb{S}$ | $\hat{S} \supset S$         |
|---------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| S independent | Ŝ must be independent                | Ŝ may be either             |
| S dependent   | Ŝ may be either                      | $\hat{S}$ must be dependent |

An example of the lower left is that the set S of all vectors in the space  $\mathbb{R}^2$  is linearly dependent but the subset  $\hat{S}$  consisting of only the unit vector on the x-axis is independent. By interchanging  $\hat{S}$  with S that's also an example of the upper right.