Beyond the color of the noise: what is "memory" in random phenomena ?

Gennady Samorodnitsky Cornell University

September 19, 2014

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Randomness means lack of pattern or predictability in events

according to Wikipedia



However: certain different patterns are present on the two plots.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

- The two plots are of two stationary stochastic processes with the same marginals.
- The second one has memory, while the first one does not.

Traditionally, in probability the notion of memory applies to stationary stochastic processes $(X_n, n = 0, 1, 2, ...)$: for every h = 1, 2, ...

$$(X_n, n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots) \stackrel{\mathrm{d}}{=} (X_{n+h}, n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots).$$

"The memory" in a stochastic process: how observations far away in time affect each other.

How does one measure memory?

It is obvious: use correlations!

Let

$$\rho_n = \operatorname{Corr}(X_k, X_{k+n}), \ n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Four different correlation functions



What do we see in these plots?

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ★ 国▶ ★ 国▶ - 国 - のへで

Covariances and correlations of a second-order stationary process can be expressed through the spectral measure of the process:

$$\rho_n = \frac{1}{\operatorname{Var} X_0} \int_{(-\pi,\pi]} e^{inx} F(dx), \ n = 0, 1, 2, \dots;$$

F is a finite symmetric measure on $(-\pi, \pi]$. If *F* has a density with respect to the Lebesgue measure on $(-\pi, \pi]$,

the density f is called the power spectral density of the process.

One can view the process as the sum of waves of different frequencies with random and uncorrelated weights:

$$X_n = \int_{(-\pi,\pi]} e^{inx} M(dx), \ n = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

M a random measure governed by the spectral measure (density).

Such a process is also called a noise.

- If the spectral density is constant, the noise is white.
- If some frequencies have a larger weight than some other frequencies, the noise is colored.
- The common colors of the noise: pink, brown, blue, violet, grey.
- The different colors describe which frequencies are preferred and by how much.

Long range dependence (long memory)

Long memory in a stochastic process:

when "the common wisdom goes wrong", for example:

- The "square root of the sample size" rule is no longer valid;
- Objects that used to be approximately normal are no longer so.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

In the second-order language:

• either slowly decaying correlations

$$\rho_n \sim n^{-d} L_n, \ n \to \infty \,,$$

0 < d < 1, L_n is slowly varying,

• or spectral density has a pole at zero

$$f(x) \sim x^{d-1}L(1/x), x \downarrow 0.$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

Four different spectral densities



How much information is in the color of the noise?

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 三臣 - のへで

Very different processes, the same color



Both processes are white noises, with equally heavy tails

▲ロト ▲聞 ト ▲ 臣 ト ▲ 臣 ト 一臣 - の Q ()~

Conclusions:

- the second order characteristics do not provide enough information about the length of the memory;
- long range dependence is far from being determined by correlations;
- with sufficiently heavy tails correlations do not even exist;

• long memory as a phenomenon is a phase transition.

Examples of long memory as a phase transition

1 Suppose that $(X_n, n = 0, 1, 2, ...)$ is a stationary stochastic process with a finite variance.

Let

$$S_n=\sum_{i=1}^n X_i, \ n=1,2,\ldots.$$

We may say that the process has short memory if, as $n \to \infty$,

$$\frac{S_n - nEX_0}{n^{1/2}} \Rightarrow N(0, \sigma^2), \quad 0 < \sigma^2 < \infty.$$

We may require that

$$\left(rac{S_{[nt]}-[nt]EX_0}{n^{1/2}},\ t\geq 0
ight)\Rightarrow \left(\sigma B(t),\ t\geq 0
ight)$$

in $D[0,\infty)$ in the Skorohod topology.

The limit $(B(t), t \ge 0)$ is the Brownian motion. It is

- a Gaussian process,
- has stationary increments,
- is self-similar with H = 1/2.

We may say that the process has long memory if:

• as $n \to \infty$, the normalization $n^{1/2}$ is wrong:

$$\frac{S_n - nEX_0}{a_n}$$
 has a finite nonzero limit;

when a_n >> n^{1/2}, the memory is long and positive;
when a_n << n^{1/2}, the memory is long and negative

(also: medium memory).

Under long memory the limit **Y** in

$$\left(rac{S_{[nt]}-[nt]EX_0}{a_n},\ t\geq 0
ight)\Rightarrow ig(Y(t),\ t\geq 0ig)$$

is a self-similar process with stationary increments, but not a Brownian motion. It could be:

- a Fractional Brownian motion (a Gaussian process);
- a process in a higher order Wiener chaos:

$$Y(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \ldots \int_{\mathbb{R}} Q_t(x_1, \ldots, x_k) dW(x_1) \ldots dW(x_k), \ t \ge 0$$

2 Suppose that $\mathbf{X} = (X_n, n = 0, 1, 2, ...)$ is a stationary stochastic process with infinite variance.

For simplicity: asssume the process to be symmetric: $-\mathbf{X} \stackrel{d}{=} \mathbf{X}$.

Assume that the observations have regularly varying tails:

$$P(|X_n| > x) = x^{-\alpha}L(x), \ x > 0, \ 0 < \alpha < 2,$$

where L is a slowly varying at infinity function.

If the memory is short, then

$$\left(rac{\mathcal{S}_{[nt]}}{a_n},\ t\geq 0
ight)\Rightarrow \left(L_lpha(t),\ t\geq 0
ight);$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

- $a_n = n^{1/\alpha} L_1(n)$, L_1 is slowly varying;
- L_{α} is a symmetric α -stable Lévy motion;
- L_{α} is self-similar with $H = 1/\alpha$.

If the memory is long, one starts getting, as limits, processes such as Linear Fractional Stable Motions:

$$Y(t)=\int_{\mathbb{R}}ig(|t-x|^{H-1/lpha}-|x|^{H-1/lpha}ig) L_{lpha}(dx) \ t\geq 0\,.$$

- The range of *H*: 0 < *H* < 1;
- the process Y is H-self-similar, and has stationary increments.

• The memory can be even longer.

The stationary process X

We consider infinitely divisible processes of the form

$$X_n = \int_E f_n(x) dM(x), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

- *M* is a homogeneous symmetric infinitely divisible random measure on a (*E*, *E*).
- M has an infinite, σ-finite, control measure μ and local Lévy measure ρ: for every A ∈ E with μ(A) < ∞, u ∈ ℝ,

$$Ee^{iuM(A)} = \exp\left\{-\mu(A)\int_{\mathbb{R}}(1-\cos(ux))\rho(dx)
ight\}.$$

The functions f_n , n = 1, 2, ... are deterministic functions of the form

$$f_n(x) = f \circ T^n(x) = f(T^n x), x \in E, n = 1, 2, ...$$

- *f* : *E* → ℝ is a measurable function, satisfying certain integrability assumptions;
- $T: E \rightarrow E$ a measurable map preserving measure μ .

We assume that the local Lévy measure ρ has a regularly varying tail with index $-\alpha$, $0 < \alpha < 2$:

$$ho(\cdot,\infty)\in RV_{-lpha}$$
 at infinity.

With a proper integrability assumption on the function f:

the process X has regularly varying finite-dimensional distributions, with the same tail exponent $-\alpha$.

The key assumption:

the map T is conservative and pointwise dual ergodic: there is a sequence of positive constants a_n → ∞ such that

$$rac{1}{a_n}\sum_{k=1}^n \widehat{T}^k f o \int_E f \, d\mu$$
 a.e.

for every $f \in L^1(\mu)$.

• The dual operator \widehat{T} satisfies the relation

$$\int_{E} \widehat{T}f \cdot g \, d\mu = \int_{E} f \cdot g \circ T \, d\mu$$

for $f \in L^1(\mu)$, $g \in L^{\infty}(\mu)$.

Theorem

Assume that the normalizing sequence (a_n) in the pointwise dual ergodicity is regularly varying with exponent $0 < \beta < 1$ and that $\mu(f) = \int f \ d\mu \neq 0$. Then for some sequence (c_n) that is regularly varying with exponent $\beta + (1 - \beta)/\alpha$,

$$\frac{1}{c_n}\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor n \cdot \rfloor} X_k \Rightarrow |\mu(f)| Y_{\alpha,\beta} \quad \text{in } D[0,\infty).$$

The limiting process

Let $0 < \beta < 1$. We start with inverse process

$$M_eta(t)=S^\leftarrow_eta(t)=\infig\{u\ge0:\ S_eta(u)\ge tig\},\ t\ge 0\,.$$

- $\left(S_{\beta}(t), t \geq 0\right)$ is a (strictly) β -stable subordinator.
- $(M_{\beta}(t), t \ge 0)$ is called the Mittag-Leffler process.

The Mittag-Leffler process has a continuous and non-decreasing version.

- It is self-similar with exponent β .
- Its increments are neither stationary nor independent.
- All of its moments are finite.

$$E \exp\{\theta M_{\beta}(t)\} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(heta t^{eta})^n}{\Gamma(1+neta)}, \quad heta \in \mathbb{R}.$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Define

$$Y_{lpha,eta}(t) = \int_{\Omega' imes [0,\infty)} M_etaig((t-x)_+,\omega'ig) dZ_{lpha,eta}(\omega',x), \quad t\geq 0.$$

Z_{α,β} is a SαS random measure on Ω' × [0,∞) with control measure P' × ν.

- ν a measure on $[0,\infty)$ given by $\nu(dx) = (1-\beta)x^{-\beta} dx$.
- M_{β} is a Mittag-Leffler process defined on $(\Omega', \mathcal{F}', P')$.

Conclusions

- The length of memory should not be measured by correlations or a similar simple measure.
- Deeper features of the process affect the limiting distributions of the partial sums, partial maxima, etc.
- In each given application it is important to use a model that "fits", and not only the spectrum of the noise.