Asymptotics of orthogonal polynomials in normal matrix ensemble

Seung-Yeop Lee (University of South Florida)

Cincinnati, September 20th 2014

1/32

Joint work with Roman Riser.

Many discussions with Marco Bertola, Robert Buckingham, Maurice Duits, Kenneth McLaughlin, ...

Main actors:

- Orthogonal polynomials
- Two dimensional Coulomb gas
- Hele-Shaw flow

Orthogonal polynomials on $\mathbb C$

Orthogonal polynomials: $p_n(z) = z^n + ...$

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}} p_j(z) \,\overline{p_k(z)} \,\mathrm{e}^{-NQ(z)} \mathrm{d}A(z) = h_j \delta_{jk}.$$

 $Q: \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{R}$ is the external field; *N* is a positive parameter. Examples:

- When $Q(z) = |z|^2$, $p_n(z) = z^n$.

- When $Q(z) = (1 - t)(\operatorname{Re} z)^2 + (1 + t)(\operatorname{Im} z)^2$,

$$p_n(z) \propto H_n\left(\sqrt{2n}\frac{z}{F_0}\right);$$
 $F_0 = 2\sqrt{\frac{t n}{(1-t^2)N}}.$

・ロ ・ ・ 一部 ・ ・ 目 ・ ・ 目 ・ の へ ()・ 4/32

2D COULOMB GAS (EIGENVALUES)

Using the same Q, probablity density function of *n* point particles, Using the same we prove $\{z_1, \dots, z_n\} \subset \mathbb{C}$, are given by $\operatorname{PDF}(\{z_j's\}) = \frac{1}{Z_n} \exp\left[-N\left(\sum_{j=1}^n Q(z_j) + \frac{2}{N}\sum_{j < k} \log \frac{1}{|z_j - z_k|}\right)\right].$ 2D Coulomb energy For $Q(z) = |z|^2 - c \log |z - a|$.

5/32

DROPLET K (compact set in \mathbb{C})

- Support of the equilibrium measure.
- Throughout this talk, we assume that $\Delta Q = \text{const.}$
- For logarhthmic/rational Hele-Shaw potential, the exterior of K^c is a **quadrature domain**.
- As T := n/N grows, K grows monotonically in T:



We call T := n/N the **total charge** or **(Hele-Shaw) time**. The deformation of K under T follows **Hele-Shaw flow**.

Exterior conformal map of K

For simplicity, we assume that K is **simply connected** so that we can define the unique **riemann mapping**

 $f: K^c \to \overline{\mathbb{D}}^c$

such that

$$f(z)=rac{z}{
ho}+\mathcal{O}(1), \quad
ho>0, \quad ext{ as } |z| o\infty.$$

Geometry of K is encoded in f.

For example, the **curvature** of the boundary of K is given by

$$\kappa = \operatorname{Re}\left(1 - \frac{f''f}{(f')^2}\right)|f'|$$

where the prime ' stands for the complex derivative.

SIMILAR CASES:

- Bergman orthogonal polynomials:

$$\int_D p_n(z)\overline{p_m(z)}dA(z) = h_n\delta_{nm}.$$

 $p_n(z) = \rho^{n+1} f'(z) f(z)^n (1 + (\text{corrections})).$

- Szegö orthogonal polynomials:

$$\oint_{\Gamma} p_n(z) \overline{p_m(z)} |dz| = h_n \delta_{nm}.$$

 $p_n(z) = \rho^n \sqrt{\rho f'(z)} f(z)^n (1 + (\text{corrections})).$

In both cases, if the relevant geometry has a **smooth boundary**, the correction term is exponentially small in n.

Conjecture

(If the potential Q is such that K has real analytic boundary,) the **strong asymptotics** of $p_n(z)$ as $n \to \infty$ and $N \to \infty$ while T := n/N is finite, is given by

$$p_n(z) = \sqrt{\rho f'(z)} e^{ng(z)} \left(1 + \frac{1}{N} \Psi(z) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N^2}\right) \right), \quad z \notin K.$$

The function g (called g-function) is the complex logarithmic potential generated by the measure $\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{K}}$:

$$g(z) = \frac{1}{\pi T} \int_{K} \log(z - \zeta) dA(\zeta).$$

The function Ψ is in the next page.

The function Ψ is given by

$$\overline{\Psi(z)} = \frac{i}{2\pi} \oint_{\partial K} \frac{\Phi(\zeta) \, df(\zeta)}{f(\zeta) (\overline{f(z)} \, f(\zeta) - 1)},$$

where

$$\Phi := \frac{\kappa^2}{12} + \frac{1}{2}\kappa(|f'| - \kappa) + \frac{1}{4} \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{f'''f^2}{f'^2} - \frac{1}{2}\frac{f''^2f^2}{f'^4}\right)|f'|^2 + \frac{i}{2}\partial_{||}|f'|.$$

Remark. The method (that we will explain) can generate the corrections in the arbitrary order in 1/N.

KNOWN EXAMPLES OF STRONG ASYMPTOTICS:

 $Q(z) = |z|^{2}: K \text{ is a disk}$ $Q(z) = |z|^{2} + a \operatorname{Re} z^{2}: K \text{ is ellipse (Felder-Riser '13)}$ $Q(z) = |z|^{2} + a \operatorname{Re} z^{3}: K \text{ is a hypotrochoid (Bleher-Kuijlaars '12)}$ $Q(z) = |z|^{2} + a \operatorname{Re} z^{p}: (Kuijlaars - Lopez-Garcia)$ $Q(z) = |z|^{2} - c \log |z - a|: K \text{ is a Joukowsky airfoil}$

(Balogh-Bertola-Lee-McLaughlin '13)

*** The correction term is checked explicitly only for the first two cases.

RESTATING THE CONJECTURE...

Claim. If the following (WKB) expansion

(A1)
$$p_n(z) = \exp\left[ng(z) + \Psi_0(z) + \frac{1}{N}\Psi_1(z) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N^2}\right)\right],$$

holds (in some region around the boundary), and if the kernel satisfies certain asymptotic behavior such that the density is given by

(A2)
$$\rho(z) = \frac{1}{\pi} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N^2}\right),$$

(uniformly) inside (a compact subset of) K, then the conjecture is true.

Relation between OP and CG:

Several fundamental facts:

- OP = Average of characteristic polynomial:

$$p_n(z) = \mathbb{E}\Big(\prod_{j=1}^n (z-z_j)\Big).$$

– Density of the CG = Sum of the absolute square of OPs:

$$\rho(z) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} |p_j(z)|^2 e^{-NQ(z)}.$$

$$\left(\quad K_n(z,w) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} p_j(z) \overline{p_j(w)} \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{N}{2} \left(Q(z) + Q(w) \right)}. \quad \right)$$

・ロ ・ ・ 一 ・ ・ 注 ・ く 注 ・ 注 ・ う Q (* 13 / 32

HELE-SHAW POTENTIAL

The density of the Coulomb gas is given by

$$\rho(z) := \int \operatorname{PDF}(z, z_2, \cdots, z_n) \prod_{j=2}^n dA(z_j) \to \frac{\Delta Q}{4\pi} \text{ when } z \in K.$$



 $Q(z) = |z|^2$

 $Q(z)=|z|^2-t\mathrm{Re}(z^2)$

・ロ ・ ・ 一 ・ ・ 三 ・ ・ 三 ・ ・ 三 ・ つ へ (~ 14/32

QUANTUM HELE-SHAW FLOW



Gaussian peak along the boundary is from

 $e^{-N(Q(z)-Tg(z)-T\overline{g(z)})}$.

<ロ> (四) (四) (三) (三) (三) (三)

15/32

D-BAR APPROACH

From the orthogonality we have

$$\frac{1}{\pi}\int_{\mathbb{C}}\frac{\overline{p_n(w)}\,\mathrm{e}^{-NQ(w)}}{z-w}\mathrm{d}A(w)=\mathcal{O}\bigg(\frac{1}{z^{n+1}}\bigg).$$

Again by the orthogonality, we have

$$\frac{1}{\pi}\int_{\mathbb{C}}\frac{\overline{p_n(w)}\,\mathrm{e}^{-NQ(w)}}{z-w}\mathrm{d}A(w)=\frac{1}{\pi}\frac{1}{p_n(z)}\int_{\mathbb{C}}\frac{p_n(w)\,\overline{p_n(w)}\,\mathrm{e}^{-NQ(w)}}{z-w}\mathrm{d}A(w).$$

The numerator in RHS has the following property.

THEOREM (AMEUR-HEDENMALM-MAKAROV) $|p_n(z)|^2 e^{-NQ(z)} dA(z) \rightarrow$ Harmonic measure on K^c 1/N-EXPANSION OF CAUCHY TRANSFORM

For a smooth test function f,

$$\begin{split} \int_{\mathbb{C}} f(\zeta) \, e^{-N\left(Q(\zeta) - g(\zeta) - \overline{g(\zeta)} + \ell\right)} dA(\zeta) \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2N}} \oint_{\partial K} \left(f(\zeta) + \frac{1}{N} \left(\frac{\kappa^2}{12} f(\zeta) + \frac{3\kappa}{8} \partial_{\mathbf{n}} f(\zeta) + \frac{1}{8} \partial_{\mathbf{n}}^2 f(\zeta) \right) \\ &+ \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N^2} \right) \right) |d\zeta|. \end{split}$$

(This is obtained by using Schwarz function.)

We take

$$f(\zeta) = \frac{|\widehat{p}_n(\zeta)|^2}{\zeta - z}$$

where \hat{p}_n is all the subleading parts of p_n :

$$\widehat{p}_n(z) := p_n(z) e^{-ng(z)} = e^{\Psi_0} \left(1 + \frac{1}{N} \Psi_1 + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N^2}\right) \right).$$

17/32

1/N-EXPANSION OF CAUCHY TRANSFORM (CONT.)

One obtains the following.

$$\begin{split} \widehat{C}_n(z) &= \frac{1}{\widehat{p}_n(z)} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2N}} \oint \left[\frac{|\widehat{p}_n(w)|^2}{z - w} \right. \\ &+ \frac{1}{N} \left(\frac{\kappa^2}{12} + \frac{3\kappa}{8} \partial_{\mathbf{n}} + \frac{1}{8} \partial_{\mathbf{n}}^2 \right) \frac{|\widehat{p}_n(w)|^2}{z - w} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N^2} \right) \right] |dw|. \end{split}$$

- Note that this is the "electric force" from the measure $|p_n|^2 e^{-NQ} dA$.
- By using the "convergence to harmonic measure" the leading term of $\widehat{C}(z)$ must vanish **inside** K.

Therefore, in the leading order,

```
|\widehat{p}_n(w)|^2 \approx |e^{2\Psi_0}| \propto |f'|.
```

And this leads to

$$e^{\Psi_0(z)}=\sqrt{\rho f'(z)}.$$

(This is not the main point.)

To calculate the next order, we claim that \widehat{C}_n vanishes even at the second order. This is not proven in general, however it follows from certain asymptotics of the kernel (which is also not proven in general).

$\mathrm{Kernel} \, \rightarrow \, \mathrm{Cauchy} \, \mathrm{transform}$

Recall

$$\begin{split} \rho_n^{(1)}(z) &:= \int \text{PDF}_n(\{z, z_2, \cdots, z_n\}) \, dA(z_2) \cdots dA(z_n). \\ &= \frac{1}{N} K_n(z, z). \\ \rho_n^{(2)}(z, w) &:= \int \text{PDF}_n(\{z, w, z_3, \cdots, z_n\}) \, dA(z_3) \cdots dA(z_n). \\ &= \frac{1}{N(n-1)} \Big(K_n(z, z) K_n(w, w) - |K_n(z, w)|^2 \Big). \end{split}$$

Taking ∂_z on the first equation:

$$\partial \rho_n^{(1)}(z) = \int \Big(-NQ'(z) + \sum_{j=2}^n \frac{1}{z-z_j} \Big) \rho_n(\{z, z_2, \cdots, z_n\}) \prod_{j=2}^n dA(z_j)$$

$$= -NQ'(z)\rho_n^{(1)}(z) + (n-1)\int \frac{dA(w)}{z-w}\rho_n^{(2)}(\{z,w,z_3,\cdots,z_n\})\prod_{j=3}^n dA(z_j)$$

$$= -NQ'(z)\rho_n^{(1)}(z) + \frac{1}{N}\int \frac{dA(w)}{z-w} \Big(K_n(z,z)K_n(w,w) - |K_n(z,w)|^2\Big).$$

Divide the whole equation by $\rho_n^{(1)}(z) = \frac{1}{N}K_n(z,z)$. Obtain the same equation for $\rho_{n+1}^{(1)}$ and take the difference of the two. We obtain

$$\int \frac{|p_n(w)|^2 e^{-NQ(w)} dA(w)}{z - w} = \frac{1}{K_n(z, z)} \int \frac{|K_n(z, w)|^2 dA(w)}{z - w} + (\text{terms with } \partial \rho_n^{(1)}(z))$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Asymptotics of kernel

Theorem [Riser] For ellipse case, $Q(z) = |z|^2 - t \operatorname{Re}(z^2)$,

$$|K_n(z,w)|^2 = \frac{N}{\pi} e^{-N|z-w|^2} (1 + \mathcal{O}(N^{-\infty})),$$

when z and w are both inside the ellipse and sufficiently close to each other.

Proof) Based on the Christoffel-Darboux identity:

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{N} \partial_{\overline{w}} \left(K_n(z,w) \, \mathrm{e}^{\frac{N}{2}(|z|^2 + |w|^2 - 2z\overline{w})} \right) \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{n}{N}} \frac{t \, p_n(z) \, p_{n-1}(w) - p_{n-1}(z) \, p_n(w)}{\sqrt{h_n h_{n-1}} \sqrt{1 - t^2}} \mathrm{e}^{\frac{N}{2}(-2z\overline{w} + t\operatorname{Re}(z^2) + t\operatorname{Re}(w^2))}. \end{split}$$

When z and w are inside the bulk (and close to each other), the polynomials in the right hand side peak on the boundary.

QUESTION: For real analytic potential of the type:

 $Q(z) = |z|^2 + (harmonic)$

the kernel inside the bulk is asymptotically given by

$$|K_n(z,w)|^2 = \frac{N}{\pi} e^{-N|z-w|^2} (1 + \mathcal{O}(N^{-\infty})).$$

This observation shows that the term

$$\frac{1}{K_n(z,z)}\int \frac{|K_n(z,w)|^2 dA(w)}{z-w}$$

and $\partial \rho_n^{(1)}$ are both **exponentially small in** N inside the bulk of the ellipse.

Let us come back to \widehat{C}_n and use the expansion with:

$$\widehat{p}_n(z) = \sqrt{\rho \psi'(z)} \left(1 + \frac{1}{N} \Psi(z) + ... \right)$$

and we define $\Phi(z)$ such that

$$\frac{\rho|\psi'(w)|\Phi(w)}{z-w}dA(w) := \oint_{\partial K} \left(\frac{\kappa^2}{12} + \frac{3\kappa}{8}\partial_{\mathbf{n}} + \frac{1}{8}\partial_{\mathbf{n}}^2\right) \frac{\rho|\psi'(w)|}{z-w}dA(w).$$

Using Plemelj-Sokhotski relation, we get, at the second order in 1/N, the following identity:

$$\left[\widehat{C}_n(z)|_{\rm in} - \widehat{C}_n(z)|_{\rm out}\right]_{1/N} = -\sqrt{\frac{2\pi^3}{N}} \frac{\sqrt{\rho \,\psi'(z)}}{\psi(z)} \big(\overline{\Psi(z)} + \Phi(z)\big).$$

Therefore we get the following **analytic-anti-analytic decomposition problem**:

$$\Phi(z) = -\overline{\Psi(z)} + \sqrt{\frac{N}{2\pi^3}} \frac{\psi(z)}{\sqrt{\rho \,\psi'(z)}} \widehat{C}_n(z)|_{\text{out}}$$

outside the set K.

This is WienerHopf decomposition on the Schottky double. The end.

KERNEL CALCULATION USING THE SUM

Plot of $|p_j|^2 e^{-NQ}$ along the major axis of the ellipse, for j from 10 to 30 for N = 30.



Since each $|p_j(z)|^2 e^{NQ(z)}$ spreads over $1/\sqrt{N}$, and since the center moves with the velocity 1/N, at a single point there are \sqrt{N} of the polynomials that contribute (upto exponentially small correction) to the density (and kernel).

One can calculate the kernel by (upto exponentiall correction)

$$\sum_{j=n_0-N^{1/2+\epsilon}}^{n_0+N^{1/2+\epsilon}} |p_j(z)|^2 e^{-NQ(z)} = \sum_{j=n_0-N^{1/2+\epsilon}}^{n_0+N^{1/2+\epsilon}} \exp\left[N\Psi_{-1}+\Psi_0+\frac{1}{N}\Psi_1+...\right]$$

Above, n_0 is chosen such that p_{n_0} is centered at z.

Each term Ψ_j is a function of the set K hence of the time T. And it has the taylor expansion in T:

$$\Psi_j = \Psi_j(T_0) + \frac{j - n_0}{N} \dot{\Psi}_j(T_0) + \frac{(j - n_0)^2}{2N^2} \ddot{\Psi}_j(T_0) + \dots$$

イロト イロト イヨト イヨト 三日

27 / 32

POISSON SUMMATION FORMULA

One can perform the summation using Poisson summation formula: defining $r = j - n_0$

$$\sum_{r=-\infty}^{\infty} \exp\left(-\frac{A_1}{N}r^2 + A_2r\right) \left[1 + \frac{A_3}{N^2}r^3 + \frac{A_4}{N^3}r^4 + \frac{1}{2}\frac{A_3^2}{N^4}r^6\right]$$
$$= \sqrt{4\pi\alpha} e^{\alpha^2 A_2^2} \left\{1 + i\frac{A_3}{N^2}\alpha^3 H_3(i\alpha A_2) + \frac{A_4}{N^3}\alpha^4 H_4(i\alpha A_2) - \frac{A_3}{2N^2}\alpha^6 H_6(i\alpha A_2)\right\}$$

・ロン ・四 と ・ ヨ と ・ ヨ と … ヨ

28 / 32

Known universality results

Unpublished calculation by Bertola and McLaughlin shows that the following can be obtained by direction summation using only the leading asymptotics of polynomials.

$$\lim_{n,N\to\infty} \frac{1}{N} K_n \left(z_0 + \frac{\xi}{\sqrt{N}}, z_0 + \frac{\eta}{\sqrt{N}} \right) \\ = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\pi} e^{-\frac{1}{2}|\xi-\eta|^2} e^{i\Im(\xi\overline{\eta}) + i\sqrt{N}\Im(\overline{z_0}(\xi-\eta))} & (\text{bulk}, \textit{Berman'08}) \\ (\text{the same}) \times \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{erfc} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\xi\overline{n} + \overline{\eta}n) \right) \\ (\text{boundary, Ameur-Kang-Makarov '1?}) \end{cases}$$

$$\xi \overline{n} + \overline{\eta} n = \xi_{\perp} + \eta_{\perp} + i(\xi_{\parallel} - \eta_{\parallel}).$$

$$\operatorname{erfc}(z) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{z}^{\infty} e^{-t^2} dt.$$

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 田 ・ ・ 田 ・ うへぐ

FURTHER CORRECTION

Taking any boundary point $z_0 \in \partial K$, we define the zooming normal coordinate $y \in \mathbb{R}$ by

$$z=z_0+\frac{y}{\sqrt{N}}\mathbf{n}.$$

Then the following is true on a smooth part of ∂K :

$$\rho_n\left(1+\frac{y}{\sqrt{N}}\right) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \operatorname{Erfc}(\sqrt{2}y) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \frac{\kappa(z_0)}{3\sqrt{2\pi^{3/2}}} (y^2 - 1) e^{-2y^2} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N}\right).$$

<ロ > < 回 > < 回 > < 目 > < 目 > < 目 > 目 の Q (~ 30 / 32 If we use the second assumption (A2) then the correction terms of the density in each order or 1/N must vanish. Using Poisson summation formula, this gives another recursive method to obtain higher order corrections of OP (work in progress with Roman Riser).

Plot of $|\boldsymbol{p}_n|^2 e^{-NQ}$



THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION

<ロト < 回 > < 画 > < 画 > < 画 > < 画 > < 画 > < 画 > < 画 > 32 / 32