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#### Abstract

This note gives an unified treatment of the exact multiplicity results for both $S$-shaped and reversed $S$-shaped bifurcation for positive solutions of the two-point problem $$
u^{\prime \prime}+\lambda f(u)=0, \text { for }-1<x<1, \quad u(-1)=u(1)=0,
$$ depending on a positive parameter $\lambda$, for both concave-convex and convex-concave nonlinearities $f(u)$.
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## 1 Introduction

In this note we give an unified treatment of the exact multiplicity results for both $S$-shaped and reversed $S$-shaped bifurcation for positive solutions of the two-point problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime \prime}+\lambda f(u)=0, \text { for }-1<x<1, \quad u(-1)=u(1)=0 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We show that in essentially the same manner one can derive the result of the authors on $S$-shaped bifurcation [9], and the recent result of K.C. Hung [6] on reversed $S$-shaped bifurcation.

Recall that positive solutions of (1.1) are even functions, with $u^{\prime}(x)<0$ for $x>0$, so that they take the global maximums at $x=0$. Moreover, the maximum value $\alpha=u(0)$ is a global parameter, i.e., it uniquely identifies the solution pair $(\lambda, u(x))$, see e.g., [8]. It follows that the two-dimensional graph of $(\lambda, \alpha)$ gives a faithful representation of the solution curves.

We define $f(u)$ to be convex-concave if there is an $\gamma \in(0, \infty)$, such that

$$
f^{\prime \prime}(u)>0 \text { for } u \in(0, \gamma), f^{\prime \prime}(u)<0 \text { for } u \in(\gamma, \infty) .
$$

Similarly, $f(u)$ is called concave-convex if there is an $\gamma \in(0, \infty)$, such that

$$
f^{\prime \prime}(u)<0 \text { for } u \in(0, \gamma), f^{\prime \prime}(u)>0 \text { for } u \in(\gamma, \infty)
$$

The following result is known, and it has proved to be quite useful, see P. Korman, Y. Li and T. Ouyang [10], or T. Ouyang and J. Shi [12] (it also holds for balls in $R^{n}$ )

Theorem 1.1 (i) Assume that $f(u)$ is convex-concave, and $f(0) \leq 0$. Then the global solution curve admits at most one turn in the $(\lambda, \alpha)$ plane. Moreover, the turn is to the right.
(ii) Assume that $f(u)$ is concave-convex, and $f(0) \geq 0$. Then the global solution curve admits at most one turns in the ( $\lambda, \alpha$ ) plane. Moreover, the turn is to the left.

In this note we shall consider the cases when $f(0)$ has a "wrong sign", i.e., either $f(u)$ is concave-convex and $f(0)<0$, or convex-concave, and $f(0)>0$. Following P. Korman and Y. Li [9], we give conditions under which the solution curve makes exactly two turns, so that it is either $S$ shaped, or reversed $S$-shaped. We show that the argument in P. Korman and Y. Li [9] can cover both cases. We also simplify that argument in several places. We show that the reversed $S$-shaped bifurcation can be seen as a "dual version" of the $S$-shaped bifurcation. In particular, we easily recover one of the main results of K.C. Hung [6], and suggest a generalization. We also provide some extra information on the reversed $S$-shaped curve. K.C. Hung [6] also discusses the broken reversed $S$-shaped curves, in case $f(u)$ is concave-convex and has three roots (Theorems 1.2 and 2.2 in [6]). Our results imply the Theorem 1.2 from that paper (which originated in [13]), but not the stronger Theorem 2.2.

## 2 Solution curves with at most two turns

We shall need the linearized problem corresponding to (1.1)

$$
\begin{equation*}
w^{\prime \prime}+\lambda f^{\prime}(u) w=0, \quad-1<x<1, \quad w(-1)=w(1)=0 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall that $(\lambda, u(x))$ is called a critical point (or a singular solution) of (1.1), if the problem (2.1) admits non-trivial solutions. In such a case $w(x)$ is an even function, and it does not change sign (thus for the rest of this paper we assume that $w(x)>0$ for all $x$ ), see e.g., [8]. We assume that $f(u) \in C^{2}[0, \infty)$, and define a function $I(u) \equiv f^{2}(u)-2 F(u) f^{\prime}(u)$, where $F(u)=\int_{0}^{u} f(t) d t$. The following lemma has originated from P. Korman, Y. Li and T. Ouyang [10], and P. Korman and Y. Li [9]. (Since both $u(x)$ and $w(x)$ are even functions, we may restrict the integrals below to the interval $(0,1)$.

Lemma 2.1 (i) Assume that $f(u)$ is convex-concave and there is a $\beta>\gamma$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(\beta)=f^{2}(\beta)-2 F(\beta) f^{\prime}(\beta) \geq 0 \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $(\lambda, u)$ be any critical point of (1.1), such that $u(0) \geq \beta$, and let $w(x)$ be any non-trivial solution of the linearized problem (2.1). Then

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{0}^{1} f^{\prime \prime}(u(x)) u^{\prime}(x) w^{2}(x) d x>0  \tag{2.3}\\
\int_{0}^{1} f^{\prime \prime}(u(x)) w^{3}(x) d x<0 \tag{2.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

and the solution curve turns to the right.
(ii) Assume that $f(u)$ is concave-convex and there is a $\beta>\gamma$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(\beta)=f^{2}(\beta)-2 F(\beta) f^{\prime}(\beta) \leq 0 \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $(\lambda, u)$ be any critical point of (1.1), such that $u(0) \geq \beta$, and let $w(x)$ be any non-trivial solution of the linearized problem (2.1). Then

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{0}^{1} f^{\prime \prime}(u(x)) u^{\prime}(x) w^{2}(x) d x<0  \tag{2.6}\\
\int_{0}^{1} f^{\prime \prime}(u(x)) w^{3}(x) d x>0 \tag{2.7}
\end{gather*}
$$

and a turn to the left occurs.

Proof: We shall derive a convenient expression for the integral in (2.3). Differentiating (2.1), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{x}^{\prime \prime}+\lambda f^{\prime}(u) w_{x}+\lambda f^{\prime \prime}(u) u^{\prime} w=0 . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying the equation (2.8) by $w$, the equation (2.1) by $w_{x}$, subtracting and integrating over $(0,1)$, we express

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda \int_{0}^{1} f^{\prime \prime}(u) u^{\prime} w^{2} d x=w^{\prime 2}(1)-\lambda w^{2}(0) f^{\prime}(u(0)) \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

By differentiation, we verify that $u^{\prime \prime}(x) w(x)-u^{\prime}(x) w^{\prime}(x)$ is equal to a constant for all $x$, and hence

$$
u^{\prime \prime}(x) w(x)-u^{\prime}(x) w^{\prime}(x)=-\lambda w(0) f(u(0)), \text { for all } x \in[0,1] .
$$

Evaluating this expression at $x=1$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
w^{\prime}(1)=\frac{\lambda w(0) f(u(0))}{u^{\prime}(1)} . \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying (1.1) by $u^{\prime}$, and integrating over ( 0,1 ), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime 2}(1)=2 \lambda F(u(0)) . \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (2.11) and (2.10) in (2.9), we finally express

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1} f^{\prime \prime}(u) u^{\prime} w^{2} d x=\frac{w^{2}(0)}{2 F(u(0))} I(u(0)) . \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us prove part (ii) of the lemma (part (i) is similar, and it was proved in P. Korman and Y. Li [9]). Since

$$
I^{\prime}(u(0))=-2 F(u(0)) f^{\prime \prime}(u(0))<0, \quad \text { for } u(0)>\beta,
$$

we conclude that $I(u(0))<I(\beta) \leq 0$, and, in view of (2.12), the inequality (2.6) follows. (Observe that $F(u(0))>0$ for any positive solution.)

Turning to the proof of (2.7), consider the function $p(x) \equiv \frac{w(x)}{-u^{\prime}(x)}$. Since $p(1)=0$, and since $u^{\prime \prime}(x) w(x)-u^{\prime}(x) w^{\prime}(x)$ is equal to a constant for all $x$, we have

$$
p^{\prime}(x)=-\frac{u^{\prime}(1) w^{\prime}(1)}{u^{\prime}(x)^{2}}<0,
$$

which implies that the function $p(x)$ is positive and decreasing on $(0,1)$. Let $x_{0}$ be the point where $f^{\prime \prime}(u(x))$ changes sign (i.e., $u\left(x_{0}\right)=\gamma$, and $f^{\prime \prime}(u(x))>$ 0 on $\left(0, x_{0}\right)$, and $f^{\prime \prime}(u(x))<0$ on $\left(x_{0}, 1\right)$ ). By scaling $w(x)$, we may achieve that $w\left(x_{0}\right)=-u^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)$, or $p\left(x_{0}\right)=1$. Then $w(x)>-u^{\prime}(x)$ on $\left(0, x_{0}\right)$, and $w(x)<-u^{\prime}(x)$ on ( $x_{0}, 1$ ), and using (2.6), we have

$$
\int_{0}^{1} f^{\prime \prime}(u(x)) w^{3} d x>\int_{0}^{1} f^{\prime \prime}(u(x)) w^{2}\left(-u^{\prime}(x)\right) d x>0
$$

concluding the proof.
We shall use the following lemma (see e.g., [8]).
Lemma 2.2 Assume that $f^{\prime \prime}(u)<0\left(f^{\prime \prime}(u)>0\right)$ for $u \in(0, \delta)$, for some $\delta>0$. Then only turns to the right (left) are possible on the curve of positive solutions of (1.1), while $u(0) \in(0, \delta)$.

Recall that the maximum value of solution, $\alpha=u(0)$, uniquely identifies the solution pair $(\lambda, u(x))$ of (1.1), and the solution set of (1.1) can be faithfully depicted by the planar curves in the $(\lambda, \alpha)$ plane. It is natural to ask: which way the solution curve travels through a given point $(\lambda, \alpha)$ ? Define

$$
h(u)=2 F(u)-u f(u),
$$

where, as usual, $F(u)=\int_{0}^{u} f(t) d t$. The following result is from P. Korman [7], see also the discussion in P. Korman [8] of the preceding results in [11], [2], and [14].

Theorem 2.1 (i) Assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(\alpha)<h(u), \text { for } 0<u<\alpha . \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the positive solution of (1.1), with maximum value $u(0)=\alpha$, travels to the left in the $(\lambda, \alpha)$ plane, i.e., $\lambda^{\prime}(\alpha)<0$. (This solution is unstable, see P. Korman [8] for the definition and details.)
(ii) Assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(\alpha)>h(u), \text { for } 0<u<\alpha . \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the positive solution of (1.1), with maximum value $u(0)=\alpha$, travels to the right in the $(\lambda, \alpha)$ plane, i.e., $\lambda^{\prime}(\alpha)>0$. (This solution is stable.)

The following is the central result of this paper.

Theorem 2.2 (i) Assume that $f(u)$ is convex-concave, and $f(0)>0$. Assume that $h(\gamma) \leq 0$, and $f(u)>0$ for $u>\gamma$. Then the global solution curve admits at most two turns in the $(\lambda, \alpha)$ plane. Moreover, only turns to the right are possible if $u(0)>\gamma$.
(ii) Assume that $f(u)$ is concave-convex, and $f(0)<0$. Assume that $h(\gamma) \geq$ 0 , and $f(u)>0$ for $u>\gamma$. Then the global solution curve admits at most two turns in the $(\lambda, \alpha)$ plane. Moreover, only turns to the left are possible if $u(0)>\gamma$.

Proof: Let us prove the part (ii) first. We have $h(0)=0, h^{\prime}(u)=$ $f(u)-u f^{\prime}(u), h^{\prime \prime}(u)=-u f^{\prime \prime}(u)$. Since $h^{\prime \prime}(u)>0$ on $(0, \gamma)$, and $h(\gamma)>0$, there exists $u_{1} \in(0, \gamma)$ so that $h^{\prime}(u)<0$ on $\left(0, u_{1}\right)$ and $h^{\prime}(u)>0$ on $\left(u_{1}, \gamma\right)$, see Figure 1.


Figure 1: The function $h(u)$ for part (ii)
On $(\gamma, \infty)$ we have $h^{\prime \prime}(u)<0$, so that either $h^{\prime}(u)>0$ on $(\gamma, \infty)$, or there is a point $u_{2}$ where $h^{\prime}\left(u_{2}\right)=0$. In the first case, the solution curve travels to the right for all $u(0)>\gamma$, in view of the Theorem 2.1. In that case the global solution curve has at most one turn, a turn to the right occurring where $u(0)<\gamma(f(u)$ is concave in that range, see Lemma 2.2). Turning to the second case, we have by our assumptions $f\left(u_{2}\right)>0$, and then $h^{\prime}\left(u_{2}\right)=0$ implies that $f^{\prime}\left(u_{2}\right)>0$. Since $h\left(u_{2}\right)>0$, we have $f\left(u_{2}\right) u_{2}<2 F\left(u_{2}\right)$ and then

$$
I\left(u_{2}\right)=f^{2}\left(u_{2}\right)-2 F\left(u_{2}\right) f^{\prime}\left(u_{2}\right)<f^{2}\left(u_{2}\right)-f\left(u_{2}\right) u_{2} f^{\prime}\left(u_{2}\right)=0 .
$$

By the second part of Lemma 2.1 (with $\beta=u_{2}$ ) it follows that only turns to the left are possible when $u(0)>u_{2}$. Since only turns to the right are possible when $u(0)<\gamma$, and the curve travels to the right when $u(0) \in$ $\left(\gamma, u_{2}\right]$, the proof follows. (At most one turn, to the right, is possible for $u(0) \leq u_{2}$.)

The part (i) is proved similarly. The function $h(u)$ for this case is given in Figure 2. This time only turns to the left are possible when $u(0)<\gamma$, the solution curve travels to the left when $u(0) \in\left(\gamma, u_{2}\right]$, and only turns to the right are possible when $u(0)>u_{2}$, see [9] for more details.


Figure 2: The function $h(u)$ for part (i)

The following exact multiplicity result follows easily.
Theorem 2.3 Assume that $f(u)$ is concave-convex, and $f(0)<0$. Assume that $f(u)$ has exactly one root, i.e., $f(u)<0$ on $[0, a), f(u)>0$ on $(a, \infty)$ for some $a>0$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{u \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(u)}{u}=\infty \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume also that $\gamma>a$, and we have $F(\gamma)>0$ and $h(\gamma) \geq 0$. Define $\theta \in(0, \gamma)$ by $F(\theta)=0$. Then all positive solutions of (1.1) lie on a unique solution curve, which is reversed $S$-shaped in the $(\lambda, u(0))$ plane. Namely, one end of this curve starts at $\lambda_{1}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\int_{0}^{\theta} \frac{d u}{\sqrt{-F(u)}}\right)^{2}, u(0)=\theta$ (and also $\left.u^{\prime}( \pm 1)=0\right)$. From the point $\left(\lambda_{1}, \theta\right)$, the curve travels to the left, it makes exactly two turns, and it tends to infinity as $\lambda \rightarrow 0$.

Proof: We begin with the positive solution of (1.1) satisfying $u^{\prime}( \pm 1)=0$. Since $\frac{1}{2} u^{\prime 2}+\lambda F(u)=$ constant, for that solution we have

$$
\frac{1}{2} u^{\prime 2}+\lambda F(u)=0,
$$

and, in particular, $u(0)=\theta$. (Existence of such solution follows by solving $u^{\prime \prime}+f(u)=0, u(0)=\theta, u^{\prime}(0)=1$, and then scaling, so that the first root
occurs at $x=1$.) On $(0,1)$ we have $\frac{d u}{d x}=-\sqrt{2 \lambda} \sqrt{-F(u)}$, and integrating over $(0,1)$, we calculate $\lambda=\lambda_{1}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\int_{0}^{\theta} \frac{d u}{\sqrt{-F(u)}}\right)^{2}$. This solution, call it $u_{1}(x)$, is non-singular in the class of even functions. Indeed the function $u_{1}^{\prime}(x)$ is an odd solution of the linearized problem (2.1) (computed at $u_{1}(x)$ ). Hence, (2.1) has no non-trivial even solutions. By the Implicit Function Theorem, we can continue the solution point $\left(\lambda_{1}, u_{1}(x)\right)$ in $\lambda$ to obtain even solutions. It turns out that we get positive solutions for $\lambda<\lambda_{1}$ (and sign changing even solutions for $\lambda>\lambda_{1}$ ). Indeed, differentiating (1.1) in $\lambda$, we get

$$
u_{\lambda}^{\prime \prime}+\lambda f^{\prime}(u) u_{\lambda}+f(u)=0, \text { for }-1<x<1, \quad u_{\lambda}(-1)=u_{\lambda}(1)=0,
$$

and then it is easy to verify that $u_{\lambda}=\frac{1}{2} x u^{\prime}(x)$. (Both functions satisfy the same equation, and are zero at $x=1$.) Since $u_{\lambda}<0$ for $x \in(0,1)$, we obtain positive solutions for $\lambda<\lambda_{1}$. We now continue this solution curve (which at first travels to the left). The graph of $h(u)$ is as in the Figure 1 (at least for $u \in(0, \gamma))$. By the Theorem 2.1, the solution curve travels to the right, by the time $u(0)=\gamma$. Hence the solution curve has made exactly one turn to the right before that (recall that $f^{\prime \prime}(u)<0$ on $(0, \gamma)$, see Lemma 2.2). Since $f(u)$ is superlinear, the solution curve cannot travel to the right indefinitely, see e.g., [8]. By the Theorem 2.2, only turns to the left are possible for $u(0)>\gamma$, so that the solution curve will make exactly one turn to the left, and then tend to infinity. Using (2.15) again, we conclude that $\lambda \rightarrow 0$. $\diamond$

We remark that the graph of $h(u)$ is exactly as in the Figure 1, i.e., there is $u_{2}$ such that $h^{\prime}\left(u_{2}\right)=f\left(u_{2}\right)-u_{2} f^{\prime}\left(u_{2}\right)=0$. Observe that $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ are the points where a straight line out of the origin is tangent to the graph of $f(u)$. Our conditions on $f(u)$ imply existence of two such points.

The case when $\gamma \leq a$ is covered by the following result.
Theorem 2.4 Assume that $f(u)$ is concave-convex, and $f(0)<0$. Assume that $f(u)$ has exactly one root, i.e., $f(u)<0$ on $[0, a), f(u)>0$ on $(a, \infty)$ for some $a>0$, and the condition (2.15) holds. Assume that $\gamma \leq a$. Then any positive solution of (1.1) is non-singular, i.e., the corresponding linearized problem (2.1) has only the trivial solution. Let $\theta>a$ be such that $F(\theta)=$ 0 . Then all positive solutions of (1.1) lie on a unique solution curve in the $(\lambda, u(0))$ plane. One end of this curve starts at $\lambda_{1}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\int_{0}^{\theta} \frac{d u}{\sqrt{-F(u)}}\right)^{2}$, $u(0)=\theta$ (and also $u^{\prime}( \pm 1)=0$ ). From $\left(\lambda_{1}, \theta\right)$ the curve travels to the left, it makes no turns, and it tends to infinity as $\lambda \rightarrow 0$.

Proof: With $k(u) \equiv f(u)-u f^{\prime}(u)$, we have $k(a)=-a f^{\prime}(a) \leq 0$, $k^{\prime}(u)=-u f^{\prime \prime}(u)<0$ for $u>a$. It follows that $k(u)<0$ for $u>a$, i.e., $f^{\prime}(u)>\frac{f(u)}{u}$ for $u>a$. By the Theorem 3.1 in [8], any positive solution of (1.1) is non-singular, and the proof follows.

We now recall the result of P. Korman and Y. Li [9], which follows from the Theorem 2.2 in the same way as the Theorem 2.3.

Theorem 2.5 Assume that $f(u)>0$ for all $u \geq 0, f(u)$ is convex-concave and $\lim _{u \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(u)}{u}=0$. Assume also that $h(\gamma) \leq 0$. Then the solution curve of (1.1) is exactly $S$-shaped. Namely, it starts at $(\lambda=0, u=0)$, it makes exactly two turns, and then continues for all $\lambda$ without any more turns.

We now present generalizations of the above results, allowing for multiple changes of sign for $f^{\prime \prime}(u)$.

Theorem 2.6 (i) In the conditions of the Theorem 2.3, let $\mu>u_{2}$ be such that $h(\mu)<h(u)$ for all $u \in[0, \mu)$, see Figure 1. Then the Theorem 2.3 remains true if for $u>\mu$ we no longer require that $f^{\prime \prime}(u)>0$, replacing this by a weaker condition that $h^{\prime}(u)=f(u)-u f^{\prime}(u)<0$.
(ii) In the conditions of the Theorem 2.5, let $\mu>u_{2}$ be such that $h(\mu)>h(u)$ for all $u \in[0, \mu)$, see Figure 2. Then the Theorem 2.5 remains true if for $u>\mu$ we no longer require that $f^{\prime \prime}(u)<0$, replacing this by a weaker condition that $h^{\prime}(u)=f(u)-u f^{\prime}(u)>0$.

Proof: Let us prove the case (i), and the other one is similar. We know from the proof of the Theorem 2.3 that the solution curve is exactly reversed $S$-shaped for $u(0) \in[0, \mu)$. By the Theorem 2.1, the solution curve continues to travel to the left for all $u(0)>\mu$.
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