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#### Abstract

We consider positive solutions of a class of semilinear problems $$
u^{\prime \prime}+\lambda a(x) f(u)=0, \quad-1<x<1, \quad u(-1)=u(1)=0,
$$


with even and positive $a(x)$, depending on a positive parameter $\lambda$. In case $f(u)$ is convex, an exact multiplicity result was given in P. Korman, Y. Li and T. Ouyang [6]; see also P. Korman [4] for the details. It was observed by P. Korman and J. Shi [7] that convexity requirement can be relaxed for large $u$ (see also [5]). We show that convexity requirement can also be relaxed for small $u$.
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We consider positive solutions of a class of non-autonomous problems

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime \prime}+\lambda a(x) f(u)=0, \quad-1<x<1, \quad u(-1)=u(1)=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with even and positive $a(x)$, depending on a positive parameter $\lambda$. We assume that the function $a(x) \in C^{1}(-1,1) \cap C[-1,1]$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(x)>0, \quad a(-x)=a(x), \quad a^{\prime}(x)<0 \quad \text { for } x \in(0,1) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

while $f(u) \in C^{2}\left(\bar{R}_{+}\right)$satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(u)>0 \quad \text { for } u \geq 0 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We study exactly how many solutions the problem (1) has, and how these solutions are connected when $\lambda$ is varied.

It follows from B. Gidas, W.-M. Ni and L. Nirenberg [3] that under these conditions any positive solution of (1) is an even function, with $u^{\prime}(x)<0$ for $x>0$
(i.e., $u(0)$ gives the maximum value of the solution). It is also known that in this case the problem (1) has properties similar to those of autonomous problems; see a recent review paper [4]. In particular, any non-trivial solution of the corresponding linearized problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
w^{\prime \prime}+\lambda a(x) f^{\prime}(u) w=0, \quad-1<x<1, \quad w(-1)=w(1)=0 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

is an even function of one sign, so that we may assume that $w(x)>0$ on $(-1,1)$. It is also known that as one varies $\lambda$, solutions of (1) lie on smooth solution curves, which admit only simple turns at singular solutions (i.e., solutions $(\lambda, u(x))$ of (1), at which the problem (4) admits non-trivial solutions).

We now make a further assumption on $f(u)$. We assume there is an $\gamma>0$, so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}(u)<0 \quad \text { for } 0<u<\gamma, \quad f^{\prime}(u)>0 \quad \text { and } \quad f^{\prime \prime}(u)>0 \quad \text { for } u>\gamma \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

(Observe that we do not restrict convexity on the interval $(0, \gamma)$.)
Lemma 1. Under the assumption (5), for any singular solution $u(x)$ and the corresponding non-trivial solution of (4) one has

$$
p(x) \equiv 3 u^{\prime}(x) w^{\prime}(x)-u^{\prime \prime}(x) w(x)>0 \quad \text { for all } x \in[0,1] .
$$

Proof. It follows by maximum principle that (4) cannot have a non-trivial solution in the region where $f^{\prime}(u)<0$, i.e. $u(0)>\gamma$ at any singular solution. Since $w(x)>0$, we see from the equation (4) that $w(x)$ changes concavity exactly once on $(0,1)$, being concave for small $x$ and convex near $x=1$. It follows that $w(x)$ is a non-increasing function, i.e., $w^{\prime}(x) \leq 0$ for all $x \in(0,1)$. But then $p(x)=$ $3 u^{\prime}(x) w^{\prime}(x)+\lambda a(x) f(u(x)) w(x)>0$.

Theorem 1. For the problem (1) assume that the conditions (2), (3) and (5) hold. Then the problem (1) has at most two positive solutions, and moreover all solutions lie on a unique smooth solution curve, starting at $(\lambda=0, u=0)$. This curve makes at most one turn, and it tends to infinity at some $\bar{\lambda} \geq 0$. If, in addition, we assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{u \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(u)}{u}=\infty \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

then this curve of solutions makes exactly one turn at some $\lambda=\lambda_{0}$, and it tends to infinity as $\lambda \downarrow 0$, so that for $0<\lambda<\lambda_{0}$ the problem (1) has exactly two strictly ordered positive solutions, it has exactly one positive solution at $\lambda=\lambda_{0}$, and none for $\lambda>\lambda_{0}$.

Proof. By the implicit function theorem there is a curve of positive solutions, starting at $(\lambda=0, u=0)$. We can continue this curve for increasing $\lambda$ by using
either the implicit function theorem or the Crandall-Rabinowitz bifurcation theorem [2]; see [4] for the details. Since $f(u)$ is increasing and convex for large $u$, it follows that it grows at least linearly, and then the Sturm comparison theorem implies that this curve cannot extend for all $\lambda>0$, so that it will either go to infinity at a finite $\bar{\lambda}$, or it will turn left eventually. If condition (6) holds, then the second possibility must be true, again by the Sturm comparison theorem.

The key is to show that a turn to the left in the $(\lambda, u(0))$ plane occurs at any turning point. This will imply that there is only one turning point, and the theorem will follow.

Let $u(x)$ be a singular solution of (1). We denote by $\alpha=u(0)$ its maximal value. By maximum principle $\alpha>\gamma$. It then follows by our assumption (5) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}(u(x))-f^{\prime}(\alpha)<0 \quad \text { for all } x \in(0,1) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The direction of turn at any critical solution of (1) is governed by the sign of the integral $I \equiv \int_{0}^{1} a(x) f^{\prime \prime}(u) w^{3} d x$. We show next that this integral is positive, which implies that a turn to the left must occur at any singular solution; see, e.g., [4]. Indeed

$$
\begin{align*}
I & =\int_{0}^{1} \frac{d}{d x}\left[f^{\prime}(u)-f^{\prime}(\alpha)\right] \frac{a(x) w^{3}(x)}{u^{\prime}(x)} d x \\
& =\left.\left[f^{\prime}(u)-f^{\prime}(\alpha)\right] \frac{a w^{3}}{u^{\prime}}\right|_{0} ^{1}-\int_{0}^{1}\left[f^{\prime}(u)-f^{\prime}(\alpha)\right] \frac{a^{\prime} u^{\prime} w^{3}+a w^{2} p}{u^{\prime 2}} d x \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

The first term on the right vanishes, since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{f^{\prime}(u)-f^{\prime}(\alpha)}{u^{\prime}}=\lim _{x \rightarrow 0} f^{\prime \prime}(u) u^{\prime} u^{\prime \prime}=0 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

while the second term is positive by (7) and Lemma 1. (Observe that the integrand in the second term is bounded, as seen by a computation similar to (9).)

We remark that our result might be new even for constant $a(x)$, although in that case it can probably be obtained by the time-map method; see, e.g., I. Addou and S.-H. Wang [1].
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