A REMARK ON THE MAXIMUM EIGENVALUE FOR CIRCULANT MATRICES

WŁODEK BRYC AND SUNDER SETHURAMAN

ABSTRACT. We point out that the method of Davis-Mikosch [1] gives for a symmetric circulant $n \times n$ matrix composed of i.i.d. entries with mean 0 and finite $(2 + \delta)$ -moments in the first half-row that the maximum eigenvalue is on the order $\sqrt{2n \log n}$, and the fluctuations are Gumbel.

Let $\{X_0, X_1, \ldots\}$ be i.i.d. mean-zero, variance 1, random variables. For $m \ge 1$, consider the $(2m + 1) \times (2m + 1)$ "palindromic" circulant matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} X_0 & X_1 & X_2 & \cdots & X_m & X_m & X_{m-1} & \cdots & X_1 \\ \vdots & & & \vdots & & & & \vdots \\ X_m & X_{m-1} & \cdots & X_0 & X_1 & X_2 & \cdots & X_m \\ \vdots & & & \vdots & & & & \vdots \\ X_1 & X_2 & X_3 & \cdots & X_m & X_{m-1} & X_{m-2} & \cdots & X_0 \end{bmatrix} .$$
(1)

In this note, we observe, for circulant matrices (1), that an argument of [1] for the maximum of periodograms easily applies to deduce that the maximum eigenvalue is on the order $\sqrt{2m \log m}$, and the fluctuations are Gumbel (Theorem 1). In particular, a sort of "universality" with respect to the entries $\{X_i\}$, much discussed in other contexts in the random matrix literature, is established for the asymptotic maximum eigenvalue distribution. We refer to [3] for more discussion of random circulant matrices, and note the result for Gaussian entries is as well given in [3, Corollary 5].

Theorem 1. Suppose X_1, X_2, \ldots are *i.i.d.* with $E(X_1) = 0$, $E(X_1^2) = 1$, and $E(|X_1|^s) < \infty$ for some s > 2. Denote by λ_m the maximum eigenvalue of (1), and let $a_m = \sqrt{2\log m} - \log(4\pi \log m)/(2\sqrt{2\log m})$. Then

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} P\left(\left(\frac{\lambda_m}{\sqrt{2m+1}} - a_m\right)\sqrt{2\log m} \le x\right) = G(x)$$

$$\exp(-e^{-x})$$

where $G(x) = \exp(-e^{-x})$.

The proof follows closely the method used to prove [1, Theorem 2.1] which is based on Einmahl's multivariate extension of the Komlos-Major-Tusnady theorem (cf. Lemma 3). Indeed, Lemmas 4, 5 are similar to [1, Lemmas 3.3, 3.4] with analogous proofs. The well known Bonferroni inequalities (Lemma 2) and Lemma 3 are stated as [1, Lemmas 3.1, 3.2].

Date: February 26, 2009.

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. primary 15A52.

Key words and phrases. maximum, eigenvalue, circulant, Gumbel, random, matrix.

Research supported in part by Taft Research Seminar 2008-2009. Research supported in part by NSF grant DMS-0504193.

Lemma 2. Let A_1, \ldots, A_n be measurable events. Then for every $1 \le k \le \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$,

$$\sum_{d=1}^{2k} (-1)^{d-1} S_d \le P(A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_n) \le \sum_{d=1}^{2k-1} (-1)^{d-1} S_d$$

where $S_d = \sum_{1 \le j_1 < \cdots > j_d \le n} P(A_{j_1} \cap \cdots \cap A_{j_d}).$

The next statement is Einmahl's Corollary 1(b), page 31, in combination with the Remark on page 32 [2].

Lemma 3. Let ξ_1, \ldots, ξ_n be independent random vectors in \mathbb{R}^d . Assume that the moment generating function of $\{\xi_i\}$ exists in a neighborhood of the origin, and that

$$\operatorname{cov}(\xi_1 + \dots + \xi_n) = B_n I_d$$

where $B_n > 0$ and I_d is the *d* dimensional identity matrix. Let η_k be independent $N(0, \sigma^2 \operatorname{cov}(\xi_k))$ random vectors for $1 \le k \le n$ independent of $\{\xi_i\}$, and $0 < \sigma^2 \le 1$. Let $\xi_k^* = \xi_k + \eta_k$ for $1 \le k \le n$, and write p_n^* as the density of $B_n^{-1/2} \sum_{k=1}^n \xi_k^*$. Choose $0 < \alpha < 1/2$ such that

$$\alpha \sum_{k=1}^{n} E|\xi_k|^3 \exp(\alpha |\xi_k|) \leq B_n.$$
⁽²⁾

Let

$$\beta_n = \beta_n(\alpha) = B_n^{-3/2} \sum_{k=1}^n E|\xi_k|^3 \exp(\alpha |\xi_k|).$$
(3)

If

$$x| \leq c_1 \alpha B_n^{1/2}, \quad \sigma^2 \geq -c_2 \beta_n^2 \log \beta_n \quad \text{and} \quad B_n \geq c_3 \alpha^{-2}, \tag{4}$$

where c_1, c_2, c_3 are constants depending only on d, then

$$p_n^*(x) = \phi_{(1+\sigma^2)I_d}(x) \exp(\bar{T}_n(x)) \quad \text{with} \quad |\bar{T}_n(x)| \le c_4 \beta_n(|x|^3 + 1), \tag{5}$$

where ϕ_C is the density of the d-dimensional centered Gaussian vector with covariance matrix C and c_4 is a constant depending only on d.

Let now $\{X_j\}_{j\geq 0}$ be as in Theorem 1. For $j,m\geq 0$, define $\bar{X}_j = \bar{X}_j^{(m)} = X_j \mathbf{1}_{|X_j|\leq m^{1/s}} - E(X_1 \mathbf{1}_{|X_1|\leq m^{1/s}}).$

Lemma 4. We have a.s. that

$$\frac{2}{\sqrt{2m+1}} \max_{1 \le j \le m} \sum_{k=1}^{m} \cos\left(\frac{2\pi jk}{2m+1}\right) X_k - \frac{2}{\sqrt{2m+1}} \max_{1 \le j \le m} \sum_{k=1}^{m} \cos\left(\frac{2\pi jk}{2m+1}\right) \bar{X}_k^{(m)} = O(m^{-1/2}).$$

Proof. First, we can add and subtract $(2m+1)^{-1/2}\bar{X}_0$ on the left-side. Since

$$1 + 2\sum_{k=1}^{m} \cos\left(\frac{2\pi jk}{2m+1}\right) = 0,$$

we can replace

$$\bar{X}_0 + 2\sum_{k=1}^m \cos\left(\frac{2\pi jk}{2m+1}\right) \bar{X}_k$$

with

$$X_0 1_{|X_0| \le m^{1/s}} + 2\sum_{k=1}^m \cos\left(\frac{2\pi jk}{2m+1}\right) X_k 1_{|X_k| \le m^{1/s}}.$$

Now, by Borel-Cantelli, as $\sum_t P(|X_t| > t^{1/s}) < \infty$, we have $|X_t| \le t^{1/s}$ for all $t \ge N(\omega)$ a.s. Then,

$$\begin{split} \sum_{t=1}^{m} \left| X_t - X_t \mathbf{1}_{|X_t| \le m^{1/s}} \right| &= \sum_{t=1}^{m} |X_t| \mathbf{1}_{|X_t| > m^{1/s}} \\ &\leq \sum_{t=1}^{N(\omega)} X_t \mathbf{1}_{|X_t| > m^{1/s}} + \sum_{t=N(\omega)+1}^{m} X_t \mathbf{1}_{|X_t| > t^{1/s}} \\ &\leq \sum_{t=1}^{N(\omega)} |X_t| \mathbf{1}_{|X_t| > m^{1/s}} + \sum_{t>N(\omega)} X_t \mathbf{1}_{|X_t| > t^{1/s}} = 0 \end{split}$$

for $m \ge \max\{N(\omega), |X_1|^s, \dots, |X_{N(\omega)}|^s\}$. Hence, the sums

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m} \cos\left(\frac{2\pi jk}{2m+1}\right) X_k \text{ and } \sum_{k=1}^{m} \cos\left(\frac{2\pi jk}{2m+1}\right) X_k \mathbb{1}_{|X_k| \le m^{1/4}}$$

agree for all large m a.s.

We finish by noting the extra term

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2m+1}} [\bar{X}_0 - X_0 \mathbf{1}_{|X_0| \le m^{1/s}}] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2m+1}} E[X_0 \mathbf{1}_{|X_0| \le m^{1/s}}] = O(m^{-1/2}).$$

For $d \ge 1$, define $v_d(t) = \left\langle \cos\left(\frac{2\pi j_1 t}{2m+1}\right), \ldots, \cos\left(\frac{2\pi j_d t}{2m+1}\right) \right\rangle$ with respect to distinct integers $1 \le j_1, \ldots, j_d \le m$. Let also $\{N_j\}$ be a sequence of i.i.d. N(0,1) random variables independent of $\{X_j\}$.

Lemma 5. For $d \geq 1$, let \tilde{p}_m be the density of

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{E[\bar{X}_1^2](2m+1)}} \Big[\sqrt{2} \big(\bar{X}_0 + \sigma_m N_0 \big) v_d(0) + 2 \sum_{k=1}^m \big(\bar{X}_k + \sigma_m N_k \big) v_d(k) \Big]$$

where $\sigma_m^2 = E[\bar{X}_1^2]s_m^2$. If $m^{-2c_5}\log m \le s_m^2 \le 1$ for $c_5 = 1/2 - (1-\delta)/s > 0$ and some $0 < \delta < 1$, then, uniformly for $|x|^3 = o(m^{1/2-1/s})$,

$$\tilde{p}_m(x) = \phi_{(1+s_m)I_d}(x)(1+o(1)).$$

Proof. Apply Lemma 3 to the centered vectors $\sqrt{2}\bar{X}_0v_d(0), 2\bar{X}_1v_d(1), \dots 2\bar{X}_mv_d(m)$ where, after some calculation,

$$\operatorname{cov}\left(\sqrt{2}\bar{X}_{0}v_{d}(0) + 2\bar{X}_{1}v_{d}(1) + \dots + 2\bar{X}_{m}v_{d}(m)\right) = B_{m}I_{d}$$

and

$$B_m = E\bar{X}_1^2 \Big[2 + 4\sum_{k=1}^m \cos^2\left(\frac{2\pi k}{2m+1}\right) \Big] = (2m+1)E\bar{X}_1^2.$$

Choose for a fixed constant $c_6 > 0$,

$$\tilde{\alpha} = c_6 m^{-1/s} d^{-1/2}.$$

3

Note for each $0 \le t \le m$, that

$$|v_d(t)|^2 = \sum_{l=1}^d \cos^2\left(\frac{2\pi j_l t}{2m+1}\right) \leq d.$$

Then, for large m,

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\alpha} E |\sqrt{2}\bar{X}_0 v_d(0)|^3 \exp\{\tilde{\alpha}\sqrt{2}\bar{X}_0 v_d(0)\} + \tilde{\alpha} \sum_{t=1}^m E |2\bar{X}_t v_d(t)|^3 \exp\{\tilde{\alpha}|2\bar{X}_t v_d(t)|\} \\ &\leq 8d^{3/2}\tilde{\alpha}(m+1)E|\bar{X}_1|^3 \exp\{2\tilde{\alpha}|\bar{X}_1|d^{1/2}\} \\ &\leq 10dc_6 m^{1-1/s}E|\bar{X}_1|^3 \exp\{2c_6\} \\ &\leq 10dc_6 \exp\{4c_6\}m^{1-\delta/s}E|X_1|^{2+\delta} \end{split}$$

where $0 < \delta < 1$ is chosen so that $E|X_1|^{2+\delta} < \infty$. Then, (2) holds with $\alpha = \tilde{\alpha}$ for sufficiently small c_6 .

Now choose

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\beta}_m &= B_m^{-3/2} E |\sqrt{2} \bar{X}_0 v_d(0)|^3 \exp\{\tilde{\alpha} \sqrt{2} \bar{X}_0 v_d(0)\} \\ &+ B_m^{-3/2} \sum_{t=1}^m E |2 \bar{X}_t v_d(t)|^3 \exp\{\tilde{\alpha} |2 \bar{X}_t v_d(t)|\} \\ &\leq 8 d^{3/2} B_m^{-3/2} (m+1) E |\bar{X}_1|^3 \exp\{2 \tilde{\alpha} |\bar{X}_1| d^{1/2}\}. \end{split}$$

Then,

$$\tilde{\beta}_m \leq \text{const}(B_m^{-3/2}m^{1+(1-\delta)/s}E|\bar{X}_1|^{2+\delta}) \leq \text{const}(m^{-c_5})$$

where $c_5 = 1/2 - (1 - \delta)/s > 0$. Next, we consider (4). We can choose x so that

$$|x| \leq c_1 \tilde{\alpha} B_m^{1/2} \sim \text{const}(m^{1/2-1/s}).$$

Then, we can choose $\sigma^2=s_m^2$ so that

$$1 ~\geq~ s_m^2 ~\geq~ \operatorname{const}(m^{-2c_5}\log m)$$

and note

$$B_m \sim m \geq c_3 \tilde{\alpha}^{-2} \sim m^{2/s}$$

Noting (5), we have

$$\tilde{p}_m = \phi_{(1+s_m^2)I_d}(x) \exp\left(\bar{T}_m(x)\right) \text{ with } |\bar{T}_m(x)| \le c_4 \tilde{\beta}_m(|x|^3 + 1).$$

However, uniformly over $|x|^3 = o(m^{1/2-1/s})$,

$$|\bar{T}_m(x)| \leq c_4 \tilde{\beta}_m(|x|^3 + 1) \leq \operatorname{const}(m^{1/2 - 1/s - c_5}) = \operatorname{const}(m^{-\delta/s}) = o(1).$$

Proof of Theorem 1. From properties of circulants, we have that the eigenvalues of (1) are $\lambda_j = X_0 + 2\sum_{k=1}^m \cos\left(\frac{2\pi k j}{2m+1}\right) X_k$ for $0 \le j \le 2m$, and also $\lambda_j = \lambda_{2m+1-j}$ for $1 \le j \le m$. Since $m^{-1/2}\sqrt{2\log m} \to 0$, the variable X_0 in the expression for λ_j can be replaced by $\sqrt{2X_0}$. We will also be able to omit the contribution of λ_0 to the maximum. By Lemma 4, it will be enough to prove

$$\sqrt{2\log m} \left[\frac{\sqrt{2}\bar{X}_0}{\sqrt{2m+1}} + \max_{1 \le j \le m} \frac{2}{\sqrt{2m+1}} \sum_{k=1}^m \cos\left(\frac{2\pi jk}{2m+1}\right) \bar{X}_k - a_m \right] \Rightarrow G.$$
(6)

A REMARK ON THE MAXIMUM EIGENVALUE FOR CIRCULANT MATRICES

To this end, let $\sigma_m^2 = E[\bar{X}_1^2] s_m^2 = E[\bar{X}_1^2] m^{-2c_5} \log m.$ We first show

$$\sqrt{2\log m} \left[\frac{\sqrt{2}(\bar{X}_0 + \sigma_m N_0)}{\sqrt{E[\bar{X}_1^2](2m+1)}} \right]$$
(7)

+
$$\max_{1 \le j \le m} \frac{2}{\sqrt{E[\bar{X}_1^2](2m+1)}} \sum_{k=1}^m \cos\left(\frac{2\pi jk}{2m+1}\right) (\bar{X}_k + \sigma_m N_k) - a_m \Big] \Rightarrow G$$

For $1 \leq j \leq m$, let

$$\lambda_j^{\bar{X}+N} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{E[\bar{X}_1^2](2m+1)}} \Big[\sqrt{2}(\bar{X}_0 + \sigma_m N_0) + 2\sum_{k=1}^m \cos\left(\frac{2\pi jk}{2m+1}\right) (\bar{X}_k + \sigma_m N_k) \Big].$$

Since $1 - e^{-e^{-u}} = \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{d-1} (e^{-du}/d!)$, by Lemma 2, (7) will follow from the statement

$$P\left(\lambda_{j_1}^{\bar{X}+N} > a_m + \frac{u}{\sqrt{2\log m}}, \dots, \lambda_{j_d}^{\bar{X}+N} > a_m + \frac{u}{\sqrt{2\log m}}\right) = m^{-d} \exp(-du)(1+o(1)) \quad (8)$$

uniformly over the *d*-tuples $1 \leq j_1 < \cdots < j_d \leq m$ for each $d \geq 1$ as $m \uparrow \infty$. Let A_m^d denote the event in the probability on the left-side. Then, noting $s_m^2 = m^{-2c_5} \log m$,

$$\int_{A_m^d} \phi_{(1+s_m^2)I_d}(x) dx = m^{-d} \exp\{-du\}(1+o(1))$$

as $m \uparrow \infty$. Note that we can neglect the parts in (8) when there is $l \leq d$ such that

$$|\lambda_{j_l}^{\bar{X}+N}|^3 > m^{1/2-1/s-\epsilon}$$

for a small $\epsilon > 0$. Indeed, given $d \ge 1$ and s > 2 choose $0 < \varepsilon < 1/2 - 1/s$ and $\gamma > 2$ such that $\gamma(1/2 - 1/s - \varepsilon) > d + 1$. Note also $1/2 \le E[\bar{X}_1^2] \le 2$ for m large enough. Then, by Rosenthal's inequality there is a constant $C(\gamma)$ such that

$$\begin{split} P\left(\left|\sqrt{2}\bar{X}_{0}+2\sum_{k=1}^{m}\cos\left(\frac{2\pi j_{l}k}{2m+1}\right)\bar{X}_{k}\right|^{3} > m^{2-1/s-\epsilon}\right) \\ &\leq \frac{C(\gamma)}{m^{\gamma(2-1/s-\epsilon)}}\left(\left(\sum_{k=0}^{m}E|\bar{X}_{k}|^{2}\right)^{3\gamma/2} + mE|\bar{X}_{1}|^{3\gamma}\right) \\ &\leq C(\gamma)\left(\frac{2}{m^{\gamma(1/2-1/s-\varepsilon)}} + \frac{m}{m^{\gamma(2-4/s-\varepsilon)}}\right) = o(m^{-d}). \end{split}$$

On the other hand, also

$$P\left(\left|\frac{\sqrt{2}N_0}{\sqrt{2m+1}} + \frac{2}{\sqrt{2m+1}}\sum_{k=1}^m \cos\left(\frac{2\pi j_l k}{2m+1}\right)\sigma_m N_k\right|^3 > m^{1/2-1/s-\varepsilon}\right) = o(m^{-d}).$$

We conclude by Lemma 5 (which does not depend on the choice of j_1, \ldots, j_d) that (8) holds.

 $\mathbf{5}$

To deduce (6), note $E[\bar{X}_1^2] \rightarrow E[X_1^2] = 1$, and

$$\frac{\sqrt{2}\sigma_{m}N_{0}}{\sqrt{2m+1}} - \max_{1 \le j \le m} \frac{2}{\sqrt{2m+1}} \sum_{k=1}^{m} \cos\left(\frac{2\pi jk}{2m+1}\right) (-\sigma_{m}N_{k}) \\
\le \frac{\sqrt{2}(\bar{X}_{0} + \sigma_{m}N_{0})}{\sqrt{2m+1}} + \max_{1 \le j \le m} \frac{2}{\sqrt{2m+1}} \sum_{k=1}^{m} \cos\left(\frac{2\pi jk}{2m+1}\right) (\bar{X}_{k} + \sigma_{m}N_{k}) \\
- \frac{\sqrt{2}\bar{X}_{0}}{\sqrt{2m+1}} - \max_{1 \le j \le m} \frac{2}{\sqrt{2m+1}} \sum_{k=1}^{m} \cos\left(\frac{2\pi jk}{2m+1}\right) \bar{X}_{k} \\
\le \frac{\sqrt{2}\sigma_{m}N_{0}}{\sqrt{2m+1}} + \max_{1 \le j \le m} \frac{2}{\sqrt{2m+1}} \sum_{k=1}^{m} \cos\left(\frac{2\pi jk}{2m+1}\right) \sigma_{m}N_{k}. \tag{9}$$

Let $(2m+1)^{1/2}\lambda_j^N = \sqrt{2}\sigma_m N_0 + 2\sum_{k=1}^m \cos\left(2\pi jk/2m+1\right)\sigma_m N_k$ for $1 \le j \le m$. One can calculate that that $\{\lambda_j^N\}_{j=1}^m$ are i.i.d. $N(0, \sigma_m^2)$ variables. Hence, to finish, the bounds (9) correspond to the maximum of m i.i.d. $N(0, \sigma_m^2)$ random variables, well known to be on order $\sigma_m \sqrt{2\log m} \sim m^{-c_5}\log m \to 0$ in probability.

Acknowledgement. The authors benefited from a discussion with Magda Peligrad.

References

- [1] Davis, R.A., Mikosch, T. The maximum of the periodogram of a non-Gaussian sequence. Ann. Probab. 27 (1999) 522-536.
- [2]Einmahl, U. Extensions of results of Komlos, Major and Tusnady to the multivariate case. J. Multivariate Anal. 28 (1989) 20-68.
- [3] Meckes, M. W. Some results on random circulant matrices. arXiv:0902.2472 (2009).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF CINCINNATI, 2855 CAMPUS WAY, PO Box 210025, Cincinnati, OH 45221-0025, USA

E-mail address: Wlodzimierz.Bryc@UC.edu

Department of Mathematics, 396 Carver Hall, Iowa State University, Ames, IA 50011, USA

E-mail address: sethuram@iastate.edu